1. CALL TO ORDER / PLEDGE / INVOCATION / ROLL CALL – Chairperson Pamn Henderson

2. PUBLIC FORUM
   Only board/committee members will able to speak during the meeting, community members participating via phone will be muted during the meeting and are only able to listen. There is opportunity for the public to provide comments however, as we do with all TPO meetings. Comments can be provided in the chat box, by email, or by phone.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
   Any new action items to be added to the agenda must be approved by a vote of two thirds (2/3) of the TPO members present.

PLANNING

4. PLANNING AND TRANSIT CONSENT AGENDA:
   1) ALL COMMITTEES- Approval of the February 2020 Meeting Minutes
   2) TPO & CAC CONSENT - Consideration of Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) Application from Mr. Paul Bohac

5. PLANNING ACTION ITEMS:

   A. ENCLOSURE A- ALL COMMITTEES: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-10 Supporting the City of Callaway’s Request to Split-Phase Project from the Florida Department of Transportation of the Widening of SR22 from Star Avenue to US 98B; and Providing an Effective Date – Ms. Mary Beth Washnock, ECRC Transportation Manager
B. ENCLOSURE B - TPO ONLY: Consideration of Resolution Bay 20-08 Authorizing Emergency Approvals Under a Declared County, State or Federal State of Emergency to Ensure Compliance with State and Federal Requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; Repealing all Resolutions in Conflict; Providing an Effective Date – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC Chief Executive Officer

C. ENCLOSURE C - TPO ONLY: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-07 Amending the FY 2019-FY 2020 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to De-obligate Funds in the Amounts of $37,009 (PL Funds) and $145,859 (SA Funds) – Ms. Mary Beth Washnock, ECRC Transportation Manager

D. ENCLOSURE D - TPO ONLY: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-06 Reaffirming the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement – Ms. Jill Lavender, ECRC Staff

E. ENCLOSURE E - ALL COMMITTEES: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-05 to Adopt the Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

F. ENCLOSURE F - ALL COMMITTEES: Election of Transportation Planning Organization (TPO), Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) Chair and Vice Chair for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 (July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021) – Ms. Mary Beth Washnock, ECRC Transportation Manager

6. FDOT UPDATE- Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, FDOT Urban Liaison or Ms. Donna Green, FDOT Urban Liaison

7. EMERALD COAST REGIONAL COUNCIL CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS UPDATE – TPO ONLY – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC CEO

8. PLANNING PRESENTATION ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED):

F. ENCLOSURE G - ALL COMMITTEES: Review of Draft Bay County TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

9. PLANNING INFORMATION PACKAGE (no presentation necessary)

H. ENCLOSURE H - ALL COMMITTEES
- TCC and CAC February 2020 Meeting Minutes
- Bay February 2020 Actions Report
- FDOT Critical Infrastructure Acceleration Letter
- 2020 Tentative TPO Schedule
TRANSIT

10. TRANSIT ACTION ITEMS: Transit Add-on Enclosure

11. TRANSIT UPDATE – Ms. Angela Bradley, Bay County Staff

12. TRANSIT INFORMATION PACKAGE (no presentations necessary):

13. LEGAL UPDATE – Burke, Blue, Hutchison, Walters & Smith, P.A.

OTHER BUSINESS- The next Bay TPO meeting will be Wednesday, July 22, 2020, Location TBD. The TCC will meet at 11:00 a.m., the CAC will meet at 1:30 p.m., and the TPO will meet at 3:30 p.m.

14. ADJOURNMENT

Stay up to date with the TPO events and activities on Facebook: www.Facebook.com/EmeraldCoastRegionalCouncil or by subscribing to the Bay County TPO Interested Parties list by clicking here: Bay County TPO Interested Parties

Questions? Email Cameron Smith, TPO Coordinator, at Cameron.Smith@ecrc.org

Public participation is solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, disability or family status. Reasonable accommodations for access will be made in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and for languages other than English. Please notify Brittany Ellers of requirements at 1-800-226-8914 Extension 220 or 1-800-995-8771 for TTY-Florida at least 48 hours in advance.

Introduzca la participación del público se solicita, sin distinción de raza, color, origen nacional, sexo, edad, religión, discapacidad o estado familiar. La OPC hará arreglos razonables para el acceso a esta reunión de acuerdo con el Americans with Disabilities Act, y para los requisitos de idioma que no sean inglés. Notifique a la Ada Clark ( ada.clark@ecrc.org ) de los requisitos de acceso o el idioma en el 1-800-226-8914, Extension 227 o 1-800-995-8771 para TTY-Florida al menos 48 horas de antelación
CONSENT AGENDA
CONSENT AGENDA
ALL COMMITTEES

FOR APPROVAL UNDER CONSENT:

1) **ALL COMMITTEES**: Approval of February 2020 Meeting Minutes
2) **TPO & CAC CONSENT**: Consideration of Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) Application from Mr. Paul Bohac
MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE
Mayor Pamn Henderson, Chairperson City of Callaway
Commissioner David Griggs City of Callaway
Commissioner Pat Perno City of Lynn Haven
Commissioner Jenna Flint Haligas City of Panama City
Commissioner Billy Rader City of Panama City
Commissioner Mike Nichols City of Panama City
Councilman Geoff McConnell City of Panama City Beach
Councilman Ken Jones City of Parker
Commissioner Topeka Humphries City of Springfield
Commissioner Keith Baker Bay County
Commissioner Robert Carroll Bay County
Commissioner William Dozier Bay County
Commissioner Philip Griffitts Bay County
Commissioner Tommy Hamm Bay County

MEMBERS NOT IN ATTENDANCE
Mayor Margo Anderson City of Lynn Haven
Councilman Jerry Smith City of Mexico Beach
Commissioner Kenneth Brown City of Panama City
Mayor Greg Brudnicki City of Panama City
Councilman Hector Solis City of Panama City Beach

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE
Angela Bradley Bay County
Keith Bryant Bay County
Joel Schubert Bay County
Carol Roberts Bay County Chamber
Andrew Rowell Bay County Chamber
Mike Smith Bay County Chamber
Lynn Cherry Carpe Diem Community Solutions, Inc.
Denise Beach City of Callaway
Leon Miller City of Lynn Haven
Mario Gisbert City of Mexico Beach
Jerry Smith City of Mexico Beach
Mark McQueen City of Panama City
Larry Messenger City of Panama City
George Stevenson City of Panama City
1. CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE/INVOCATION
Chairperson Henderson called the meeting to order.
2. **PUBLIC FORUM**

Chairperson Henderson stated that there were speakers for the public forum.

**George Stevenson, Panama City FL**

Mr. Stevenson said he had two issues to address. The first issue was turn lanes on Airport Road at 19th Street. He said he understood that all phases were planned except construction. He said he would like to see the turn lanes constructed.

Mr. Stevenson next spoke regarding the 15th Street/Harrison Avenue/US 231 intersection. He stated when he moved to Panama City in the late 1950’s, 15th Street was two lane concrete, and was congested at that time. He said congestion in the summer months is horrendous. He said the situation was somewhat relieved with the addition of connecting to 23rd Street. He thought more needed to be done. He stated the railroad traffic will increase. He stated the traffic from Tyndall Air Force Base, and from east of that, passes through the center of the intersection. He said the project should have been done 50 years ago.

**Lynne Schneider, Panama City, FL**

Ms. Schneider addressed the flyover that Mr. Stevenson had addressed. She said the intersection does have some conflicts. She was concerned about doing something that is going to have a detrimental impact on other parts of the city. She said other cities are taking flyovers down because the concrete deteriorates and fractures. She said they are being torn down because repairs are exceedingly expensive. Businesses fail in the areas. She said there has been discussion indicating it will take four years to start over. She did not agree it would take that long or be as expensive to build an at-grade street. She asked that the long-term impact be considered.

**Debra Williams, Panama City, FL**

Ms. Williams spoke as a citizen and business owner regarding US 231. She asked that the continuation of the project be supported. She said FDOT put a lot of effort into the project over the last several years. She said the intersection is a nightmare. She said now they can enhance the infrastructure to move the traffic flow. She understood that there is concern regarding the revitalization of downtown and the project impacting negatively. She did not feel the project will have significant impact on the redevelopment. She asked that the project move forward. She felt the project and revitalization of downtown can happen at the same time.

**Edwin Lambert, Panama City, FL**
Mr. Lambert spoke about the intersection project. He said he has owned a car lot at “malfunction junction” over 20 years and has been in the car business 41 years in Panama City. He said there were problems with the railroad, ambulances and fire trucks trying to get through the intersection. He said there are tremendous problems getting in and out of his car lot. He said they have been preparing for the project for five years. His customers have known he is leaving that corner and his business has dwindled. He asked that the project move forward. He said the improvements would enhance the value of downtown Panama City because it will be easier to get in and out of the area.

Chris Moser, Panama City, FL

Ms. Moser said it was her understanding that most of the right-of-way would still be required to be accessed, even if they do not do the overpass, and they decided to go with FDOT's new standards of complete streets. She said there would not be a total reboot. She stated the city manager at one time suggested starting the project north because a great deal of that would still have to happen. She said the reevaluation of the widening of SR 390 and some of the other ingress roads that take people straight to the beach will alleviate the US 231 traffic. She said some of that will impact the traffic flow at “malfunction junction.” She said study of the design indicated that there will still be somewhat of a bottleneck when the project ends. She asked that the re-evaluation occur because there will be a difference of the traffic flows once they bring the other roadways on, and it will reduce some of the traffic. She asked that DOT's new standards be reviewed and consider the big wall and the retention ponds. She said it would create a blended area and that would be unfortunate as they move forward.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Chairperson Henderson asked if there were any add-ons to the agenda.

Ms. Washnock said there was an add-on item for the consideration of Citizens' Advisory Committee application from Mr. Larry Messinger.

Commissioner Nichols moved to amend the agenda to include the CAC action item. Commissioner Carroll seconded the motion and it was passed unanimously.

4. PLANNING AND TRANSIT CONSENT AGENDA
Approval of December 2019 Meeting Minutes
Ms. Washnock noted that in the December 2019 TPO minutes Commissioner Robert Schad for the City of Lynn Haven was listed as a member. She advised Commissioner Schad had passed away and will be removed from the minutes.

**Commissioner Rader moved to approve the consent agenda, Commissioner Baker seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

5. **PLANNING ACTION ITEMS**

A. **Florida Department of Transportation Presentation on U.S. 231 and U.S. 98 Interchange – Mr. Rodney Chamberlain, Design Engineer, FDOT**

Mr. Chamberlain said the US 231 corridor study is a PD&E study. He stated that the purpose and need of the project includes the goals as adopted in the Long Range Transportation Plan. The purpose is to improve capacity and freight movement from US 231, increase safety, facilitate emergency evacuation, move people and goods, tourism, and enhance bike ped safety and access.

Mr. Chamberlain said the US 231 corridor vision currently is a PD&E study starting in the south, at the US 98 intersection of US 231 (“malfunction junction”). It runs north to Highway 20 and is approximately a 24-mile corridor study. The project has been broken into three separate design pieces. All three design phases are currently funded.

Mr. Chamberlain stated that the US 231 corridor is part of the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS). US 231 is a four-lane divided highway all the way to the state line and above. He said the project started in 2005. In 2014 the PD&E design started. In 2017 the design process started. The project started in 2019 and will be a five-year process. When right-of-way is completed, construction will begin.

Mr. Chamberlain said budget estimates from US 98 south to 23rd Street are: $10 million for design, $150 million for right-of-way, and $140 million for construction. The design budget from 23rd Street to Pipeline Road is $6.5 million, right-of-way is $43 million, and construction is $77 million. The design budget from Pipeline Road to Penny Road is $7.2 million, right-of-way $39 million, construction $129 million.

Mr. Chamberlain said expenditures to date are approximately $43 million.

Mr. Chamberlain said the original design plan did not include a signal at 19th Street; however, a signal has been added. He is working with the city on gateway features.
Mr. Chamberlain said the biggest design change is the bridge, i.e. the flyover itself. The original design concept included two small bridges separated by a large retaining wall. The city expressed that this would create a negative visual. The bridge was reconfigured by the design team to remove the wall. This allowed considerable unused space under the bridge. Due to the extra space a large roundabout was designed (called a loop road) where people on Harrison Drive and Jenks Avenue would come down from the north, enter the roundabout, and come around and egress to the south. This freed up 6-7 acres of unused space under the bridges. A community park area is envisioned for the extra space.

Mr. Chamberlain said construction cost for “malfunction junction” is approximately $140 million. This includes two flyovers. The railroad would be removed from US 231, US 98, and SR 77.

Mr. Chamberlain explained FDOT’s complete streets policy. The change made was that FDOT started implementing “context base design.” This is a process that combines engineering and planning expertise into the project.

Commissioner Nichols said it is important that there are businesses that are planned. He said the board wanted to move forward with a flyover. He stated there are a lot of homes being built in northern Panama City. He asked if it would be possible to start construction and move towards town, or if it would be better to begin at the north end, or if it will all be done at the same time.

Commissioner Dozier asked what the timeframe is relative to land acquisition for construction. He asked if they will be acquiring land for four more years, and then move into the construction phase. Mr. Chamberlain said that is correct and that funding occurs in the next four years.

There was general discussion.

Commissioner Flint Haligas expressed that maintaining the beautification aspect of the project is important. Next, she spoke on US 231 north, explaining that there will be thousands of homes built in that area. She asked what the timeline is from 23rd Street to Pipeline Road, and Pipeline Road to Penny Road. Mr. Chamberlain said the timeline is dependent upon funding.

Ms. Washnock advised a motion was needed to approve continuation with the project. She stated that the Citizens’ Advisory Committee recommended to continue the US 231 project.
Commissioner Nichols moved authorize FDOT to move forward with the US
231 and US 98 Interchange project. Commissioner Carroll seconded the
motion. The motion passed unanimously.

B. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-01 to Adopt Targets for Safety
Performance Measures – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer stated the item was being presented for action. He said the
performance measures were an emerging issue by the Federal Highway
Administration during development of the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan.
The targets for safety performance measures are adopted annually by February
27th. The TPO can accept the FDOT targets or determine its own targets.

Commissioner Dozier moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution
BAY 20-01 to adopt FDOT targets for safety performance measures. Commissioner Baker seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously
approved.

C. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-02 to Adopt the Bay County TPO Long
Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Goals and Objectives – Mr. Gary Kramer,
ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer said the next LRTP needs to be adopted by June 22, 2021. The TPO
meeting will be June 23, 2021. The goal is to adopt the 2045 LRTP at the April 2021
TPO meeting. Mr. Kramer explained the five important elements in the LRTP.

Mr. Kramer said during the July 2019 TPO meeting a steering committee was
appointed to oversee the development of the LRTP.

Commissioner Nichols moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign
Resolution BAY 20-02 to adopt the Bay County TPO 2045 LRTP goals and
objectives. Commissioner Dozier seconded the motion. The motion was
unanimously approved.

D. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-03 Adopting the 2020 Transportation
Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside Ranked Projects for FDOT District 3 Fiscal Year
2022-2026 Tentative Work Program – Mr. Cory Wilkinson, HDR Planning
Consultant

Mr. Wilkinson explained the TA Program. The TA Program is a federally funded
program administered by FDOT for small scale transportation projects. Two
projects are to be submitted from the Bay County TPO for consideration for funding.
Applications were due to the TPO in December 2019. Applications were reviewed and scored in January 2020 at a workshop.

Mr. Wilkinson said five projects were submitted: two from Callaway, two from Bay County, and one from Lynn Haven. He reviewed the projects submitted for consideration. The workshop concluded that the Callaway Cherry Street project had the highest score and was named the first priority. The second highest scored project was the Lynn Haven sidewalk project, Phase One.

**Commissioner Griggs moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-03 adopting the 2020 TA Set-Aside Ranked projects for FDOT District 3 Fiscal Year 2022-2026 Tentative Work Program. Commissioner Hamm seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.**

E. **Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-04 Adopting the Bay County TPO Title VI Program Major Update – Ms. Brittany Ellers, ECRC Staff**

Ms. Ellers said suggestions were received from Federal Transit Administration and Federal Highway Administration for the plan. The suggestions were incorporated into the document. Ms. Ellers further reviewed the plan.

**Commissioner Brudnicki moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-04 adopting the Bay County TPO Title VI Program major update. Commissioner Dozier seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.**

F. **Add-on Item #1 – Consideration of Citizens’ Advisory Committee application from Mr. Larry Messinger**

Ms. Ellers said Mr. Messinger’s application was reviewed and he would be considered an asset to the Citizens’ Advisory Committee.

**Commissioner Nichols moved to approve Mr. Messinger’s application to become a member of the CAC. Commissioner Carroll seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

6. **FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) UPDATE**

Mr. Paulk said FDOT looked at the intersection of Tyndall Parkway and SR 22. FDOT’s Traffic Operations office considered adding a U-turn sign on Tyndall Parkway
southbound, turning onto SR 22. FDOT will coordinate with the County and FDOT to install a sign.

Commissioner Dozier said there is a section of 6th Street near First Baptist Street, that runs into Beach Drive—just south of Harrison Avenue. He said the section is in great need of repair. Commissioner Dozier asked FDOT to look at the section to determine if any repairs can be done. Mr. Paulk said FDOT will see what can be done to improve the section.

Commissioner Perno said there is an ongoing problem near the First Baptist Church on Highway 77. Upon meeting with Lynn Haven’s city engineer, it was discovered that there is a FDOT stormwater project that may alleviate the issue. Commissioner Perno asked FDOT to look at funding (combination of funding between Lynn Haven and FDOT) for the project. Mr. Paulk agreed to consider what funding may be utilized for the project.

**PLANNING PRESENTATIONS** (no action)

**G. Review of the Draft Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff**

Mr. Kramer said that at the January 2020 steering committee meeting, the evaluation criteria and the financial resources were the main topics discussed. The purpose of the evaluation criteria is to rank the Needs Plan, based on the goals and objectives.

Mr. Kramer said the steering committee, at their next meeting, will provide a detailed recommendation for use for the 2045 Long Range Plan.

Mr. Kramer said regarding the evaluation criteria in the agenda package, the changes were indicated from 2040 to 2045.

Regarding financial resources, Mr. Kramer stated that the enclosure in the agenda package indicated what funding sources will be available. He further explained funding projections and sources.

Mr. Kramer said the steering committee will meet March 19, 2020 and provide a recommendation at the April TPO meeting for the evaluation criteria and financial resources.

Commissioner Griffits noted one of the funding sources shown in the agenda enclosure was lodging tax. He said that is not possible currently, due to Florida
Statute. It would require legislation from the state to change it, and it is to be directed for promotion and advertising only.

Mr. Kramer reviewed revenue projections included in the agenda enclosure.

H. Review of the Draft Bay County TPO UPWP for FY 2020/2021 – Mr. Cameron Smith, ECRC Staff

Mr. Smith said the UPWP defines the tasks, activities, responsible parties, and anticipated budget for planning activities for FY 2021 and FY 2022. The draft UPWP was sent to the TPO and advisory committee members on February 4, 2020. Comments are to be received by March 15, 2020.

7. PLANNING INFORMATION ITEMS

Ms. Washnock advised the following information items were included in the agenda:

- TCC and CAC December Meeting Minutes
- Bay December 2019 Actions Report
- Bay County Chamber Letter January 2020
- TTIP Amendment Letter – ROW for US 231 from 23rd Street to Pipeline Road
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW for US 231 from US 98 15th Street to 23rd Street
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW and PE Railroad Construction Phase – Project #2179104
- TIP Amendment Letter – Advancing ROW Phase from FY 2022/2023 and 2023/2024 to FY 2019/2020 and adding PE Railroad Construction Phases for Project #2179103
- 2020 Tentative TPO Schedule

8. TRANSIT ACTION ITEMS - Ms. Washnock advised there were no items.

TRANSIT UPDATE – Ms. Angela Bradley, Bay County Staff

Ms. Washnock advised there were no transit updates.

9. TRANSIT INFORMATION PACKAGE - None

10. LEGAL UPDATE – Burke, Blue, Hutchinson, Walters & Smith, P.A.

Ms. Washnock advised there were no legal updates.

11. OTHER BUSINESS
Ms. Washnock advised the next Bay County TPO meeting will be Wednesday, April 22, 2020 at 3:30 pm. The location is to be determined.

12. **ADJOURNMENT** - There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.
CONSENT ITEM #2
TPO AND CAC CONSENT

SUBJECT: Consideration of Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) Application from Mr. Paul Bohac

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: Citizen Request

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: The TPO’s Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) was created in accordance with federal and state requirements to provide a forum for citizen review and input to the TPO on the performance of the transportation planning process in the Bay County TPO study area. The CAC provides citizen review of TPO plans, programs, and projects and offers comments to the TPO with respect to the concerns of various segments of the population to determine need, feasibility, and desirability from the citizen perspective.

Attached are the following:

- Mr. Paul Bohac’s CAC Application

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion approving Mr. Paul Bohac as new member of the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization Citizens’ Advisory Committee. This action is recommended because the applicant meets all qualifications for CAC membership and to maintain an active Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC). Please contact Ms. Brittany Ellers, ECRC staff, at (850) 332-7976, Extension 220 or brittany.ellers@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
Transportation Planning Organization (TPO)

Advisory Committees Citizens (CAC)

Name: Paul D. Bohac
Organization (if applicable):
Address: 7010 Mike Lane Callaway, FL 32404
Phone: (850) 871-0027 Email: p.bohac@yahoo.com
Race (optional): Caucasian Sex (optional): Male
Age (optional): 71

I am applying for the following committee:

CAC

☐ I am a returning committee member.
☐ My information is still current.

My reasons for wanting to be involved in this committee are:

As a long time resident of Callaway who has endured several hurricanes, including Michael, I am very interested in the transportation issues that affect the recovery, growth and development of Callaway and surrounding areas.

Potential Conflicts of Interest:

N/A

These affiliations do not necessarily prohibit you from being on a committee. This information is only requested to insure that potential committee members do not have a conflict of interest.

Please complete the attached background form or attach a recent resume. A completed background form or resume must accompany this application.
Background Form

Name: Paul D. Bohac

Address: 7010 Mike Lane Callaway, FL 32404

Phone: (850) 871-0027 Email: p_bohac@yahoo.com

Community Involvement:

Have been a member of the Chemical Addictions Recovery Effort, Inc Board of Directors for more than thirty years, currently serving as the Secretary/Treasurer for the Board. Have been an adjunct instructor for Gulf Coast State College Educator's Preparation Institute program (EPI) until the EPI program was terminated. Have been a member of the Callaway Audit Committee and my tenure was recently extended.

Work Experience:

Sixteen years in the Florida juvenile justice system, including several years as the state-level coordinator of a federally funded education program. Twelve years in the Florida adult prison system as an on-site education administrator of inmate academic, vocational and Special Education programs. Two years as a Research Associate for the University of West Florida, serving as the Superintendent of the Blackwater Career Development Center.

Education:

Bachelor degree from Stetson University in DeLand, Florida. Master's degree in Exceptional Child Education from Florida Atlantic University in Boca Raton, Florida. Teacher certification in Social Studies grades 6-12; Exception Child Education, grades PK-12; Administration and Supervision grades 1-12. Doctor of Education from the University of West Florida in Curriculum and Instruction.
The West Florida Regional Planning Council serves as staff to TPO

Please read and confirm your understanding of the following:

A Voting Membership may be attained in one of the following ways:

a. A citizen of the TPO Study Area may be nominated for membership by a TPO member, local government, the CAC, or other organizations and be approved by the TPO; or

b. A citizen of the TPO Study Area may directly petition the CAC for nomination for membership and be approved by the TPO.

CAC citizen members shall not be elected officials or employees of public or private agencies directly involved in transportation or land-use planning.

Any member who expects to be absent from a meeting shall inform the TPO staff as soon as practicable to receive an excused absence. If a member is consistently absent without an excused absence, the CAC may recommend to the TPO that the member be removed from the CAC. Three consecutive unexcused absences by a member shall be grounds for such recommendation. CAC citizen members are expected to attend meetings in the planning area by their own means. The meeting facilities meet all ADA requirements. CAC citizen members must be legal U.S. citizens and residents of the TPO Study Area. CAC citizen members are approved by the TPO and members serve at the pleasure of the TPO. The TPO conducts an annual review of advisory committee membership.

In order to serve on the CAC, the applicant MUST have attended a committee meeting, be recommended for approval by the committee, and attend the TPO meeting where they will be presented for membership (when scheduling permits), and be approved by the TPO. Both the committee members and TPO members may ask questions of the proposed new committee applicant. The advisory committee members may be removed at any time by the TPO.

Information provided in this document becomes a public record.
I have been given the opportunity to review the above information, read the By-Laws, and I understand and will comply with all stipulations. Bay CAC bylaws may be found at www.wfrpc.org.

Paul D. Bohac

Signature

05/18/2020

Printed Name

Date

I understand that refusal to sign will result in the termination of the application to serve on either committee.

If you have any questions concerning this application please contact WFRPC Staff at (850) 332-7976 x 224. Persons needing a special accommodation to participate in an advisory committee meeting should contact Brittany Ellers by telephone at 800-226-8914 or e-mail at brittany.ellers@wfrpc.org

Information provided in this document becomes a public record.
ENCLOSURE A
SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-10 Supporting the City of Callaway’s Request to Split-Phase Project from the Florida Department of Transportation of the Widening of SR22 from Star Avenue to US 98B; and Providing an Effective Date

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: City of Callaway

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: The City of Callaway passed a resolution on February 25th as follows:

Due to public health and safety issues resulting from poor drainage and repeated flooding, the City of Callaway requests of FDOT:

1. That the SR22 Widening project number 425803-1 be split into two (2) Phases as follows:
   a. Phase 1 – from Star Avenue to Tyndall Parkway
   b. Phase 2 – from Tyndall Parkway to Highway 98B
2. That FDOT make Phase 1 a priority

Attached are the following items:
- Resolution BAY 20-10
- City of Callaway Resolution 20-08
- Map of Described Project

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO Chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-10 to recommend that FDOT split the SR22 Widening project and follow the requests from the City of Callaway. This action is recommended to ensure FDOT has documentation of the City’s request and Please contact Mary Beth Washnock, TPO staff, at marybeth.washnock@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
RESOLUTION BAY 20-10

A RESOLUTION OF THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION RECOMMENDING THAT THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SPLIT THE SR22 WIDENING PROJECT AND FOLLOW THE REQUEST FROM THE CITY OF CALLAWAY

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the metropolitan planning organization designated by the Governor of Florida as being responsible for carrying out a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Bay County Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, The Federal Highway Administration and the Florida Department of Transportation rely on the Bay Transportation Planning Organization to provide input, consideration, and guidance to the transportation systems in the Bay County TPO region; and

WHEREAS, as a member of the Bay County TPO, the City of Callaway has requested that due to several factors, the SR22 widening project should be a split-phase project from Star Avenue to US 98B. This request is due to public health and safety issues resulting from poor drainage and repeated flooding.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The Bay County TPO supports the City Commission of the City of Callaway in the splitting of SR22 widening project into two (2) phases and requests the following of FDOT:

That the SR22 Widening project number 425803-1 be split into two (2) Phases as follows:
   a. Phase 1 – from Star Avenue to Tyndall Parkway
   b. Phase 2 - from Tyndall Parkway to Highway 98B

2. That FDOT make Phase 1 a priority.

Passed and duly adopted by the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization held on this 27th day of May 2020.

BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: __________________________
   Pamn Henderson, Chairperson

ATTEST: ________________________
RESOLUTION NO. 20-08

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF CALLAWAY, FLORIDA, SUPPORTING A SPLIT-PHASE PROJECT FROM THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE WIDENING OF SR22 FROM STAR AVENUE TO US 98B; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has approved Project Number 425803-1, the widening of SR22 Weva Hwy. from SR30 (US 98B) to CR2315 (Star Avenue); and,

WHEREAS, the City of Callaway is concerned for public health and safety issues due to poor drainage and repeated flooding on Hwy 22, which causes multiple accidents; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Callaway requests the project be split into two (2) phases; and,

WHEREAS, a prior corridor study shows improvement of Phase 1 is warranted a priority.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the City Commission of the City of Callaway as follows:

SECTION 1. The City Commission of the City of Callaway supports the splitting of the SR22 widening project into two (2) phases.

SECTION 2. That the City of Callaway, due to public health and safety issues resulting from poor drainage and repeated flooding, hereby requests of FDOT the following:

1. That the SR22 Widening project number 425803-1 be split into two (2) Phases as follows:
   a. Phase 1 – from Star Avenue to Tyndall Parkway
   b. Phase 2 – from Tyndall Parkway to Highway 98B

2. That FDOT make Phase 1 a priority.

SECTION 3. This resolution shall become effective upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 25th day of February, 2020, by the CALLAWAY CITY COMMISSION meeting in regular session.

CITY OF CALLAWAY, FLORIDA

By: Pamn Henderson, Mayor

Attest: Janice L. Peters, MMC, City Clerk
APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
FOR THE CITY OF CALLAWAY ONLY:

Kevin D. Obos, City Attorney

VOTE OF COMMISSION:
Davis  
Fairbanks  
Griggs  
Henderson  
Jones  

\[\text{Signature}\]
State Road 22 from Star Avenue to Highway 98B

- Phase 1 - Star Avenue to Tyndall Parkway
- Phase 2 - Tyndall Parkway to Highway 98B
ENCLOSURE B
SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution Bay 20-08 Authorizing Emergency Approvals Under a Declared County, State or Federal State of Emergency to Ensure Compliance with State and Federal Requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; Repealing all Resolutions in Conflict; Providing an Effective Date

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: Emerald Coast Regional Council Staff

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: Florida Statutes require that urbanized areas, as a condition to receipt of federal funds, have a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process that results in plans and programs consistent with the comprehensively planned development of the designated urbanized area.

The ECRC manages the three Metropolitan Planning Organizations across the Florida Panhandle, locally referred to as TPOs: the Florida-Alabama TPO, the Okaloosa-Walton TPO, and the Bay County TPO. The Bay County TPO works for and represents the interests of Bay County and the municipalities of Panama City, Panama City Beach, Callaway, Lynn Haven, Mexico Beach, Parker, and Springfield.

TPOs are required to develop and amend as appropriate, plans and programs required by 23 Code of Regulations 450.300 through 450.324. These include but are not limited to the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the Public Involvement Plan (PIP) and the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP).

It is possible, that during special circumstances, such as a declared State of Emergency, the TPO may be required to take immediate action to respond to urgent requests that cannot be delayed for a meeting and quorum to be established. Resolution Bay 20-08 would authorize the Emerald Coast Regional Council’s Executive Director the authority to amend documents for consistency purposes, revise the UPWP and/or TIP as appropriate, and to submit information reports, applications or documents of behalf of the TPO as deemed essential by the Florida Department of Transportation and/or the Federal Highway Administration.

Attached is the following:

- Resolution Bay 20-08

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion authorizing the TPO chairman to sign Resolution Bay 20-08 authorizing emergency approvals under a declared county, state or federal State of Emergency to ensure compliance with state and federal requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; repealing all resolutions in conflict; providing an effective date. This action is recommended to allow the work of the TPO to continue in times of emergencies. Please contact Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC CEO, at 850-332-7976, Extension 201 or Austin.mount@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
RESOLUTION BAY 20-08
A RESOLUTION OF THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AUTHORIZING EMERGENCY APPROVALS UNDER A DECLARED COUNTY, STATE OR FEDERAL STATE OF EMERGENCY TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH STATE AND FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATIONS; REPEALING ALL RESOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT; PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, Florida Statutes 339.175; 23 United States Code 134; and 49 United States Code 5303 require that an urbanized area, as a condition to the receipt of federal capital or operating assistance, have a continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process that results in plans and programs consistent with the comprehensively planned development of the designated urbanized area; and

WHEREAS, the Bay County TPO is a regional organization representing the interests of Bay County and the municipalities of Panama City, Panama City Beach, Callaway, Lynn Haven, Mexico Beach, Parker, and Springfield; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to 23 United States Code 134, 23 Code of Regulations 450, and Section 339.175, Florida Statutes, require the TPO and the Florida Department of Transportation to enter into an agreement clearly identifying the responsibilities for cooperatively carrying out the Federal Highway Administration portion of the metropolitan planning process and accomplishing the transportation planning requirements of state and federal law; and

WHEREAS, the Bay County TPO is required to develop and amend as appropriate, the plans and programs required by 23 Code of Regulations 450.300 through 450.324, including but not limited to the Unified Planning Work Program; Transportation Improvement Program, Public Involvement Plan and Long-Range Transportation Plan; and

WHEREAS, under the special circumstances occurring during a declared county, state or federal State of Emergency, the Bay County TPO may be required to take immediate action to respond to urgent requests that cannot be delayed until a meeting and quorum can be established;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

1. While operating under a declared county, state or federal State of Emergency, the Executive Director (Emerald Coast Regional Council), with the approval of the Bay County TPO chairman or vice-chair, in the absence of the chair is hereby authorized to:
a. Amend a program document to maintain consistency with state or federal programs, or amend program or project funding if the time constraint is such that action at the next scheduled meeting of the Bay County TPO would significantly delay progress on a project previously supported by the TPO; and

b. Approve revisions of the Unified Planning Work Program and amendments to the Transportation Improvement Program, provided that the process for seeking approval of these actions shall follow all applicable procedures when the county, state or federal State of Emergency is suspended; and

c. Submit information, reports, applications or documents on behalf of the Bay County TPO that is deemed essential by the Federal Highway Administration and/or the Florida Department of Transportation; and

d. Submit executed copies of this resolution to the Florida Department of Transportation; the Federal Transit Administration (through the Florida Department of Transportation); and the Federal Highway Administration (through the Florida Department of Transportation).

2. All resolutions or portions of resolutions in conflict with this Resolution Bay 20-08 are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

3. This resolution shall become effective upon adoption.

Passed and duly adopted by the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization on this 27th day of May 2020.

BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: __________________________
    Pamn Henderson, Chairman

ATTEST: ________________________
ENCLOSURE C
SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-07 Amending the FY 2019-FY 2020 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to De-obligate Funds in the Amounts of $37,009 (PL Funds) and $145,859 (SA Funds)

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: FDOT/ECRC

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: The UPWP is a required product of the TPO. The Work Program describes the planning work tasks and budget for the TPO fiscal year (July through June). It is adopted annually. The 2021 UPWP was submitted for review in February. Comments were due March 15.

If a TPO has projected unexpended funds that can be programmed in the following two-year UPWP, the TPO must take action to inform the District so that the funds are available for the new UPWP budget beginning July 1. If these funds are not identified for de-obligation, the funds will not be available until the following fiscal year (July 2021.)

The Florida-Alabama TPO has identified the following funds for de-obligation:
- PL (Planning) $37,009
- SA (State/LRTP) $145,859
- Total De-obligation $182,868

The TPO has provided this information to FDOT for consideration.

Attached is the following:
- Resolution BAY 20-07

RECOMMENDATION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO chairman to sign BAY 20-07 amending the FY2019- FY2020 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to de-obligate funds. This action is recommended in order to continue with the planning products that may continue between fiscal years and to receive funding in the next fiscal year. Please contact Ms. Mary Beth Washnock, Transportation Planning Manager, at marybethwashnock@wfrpc.org or (850) 332-7976, x229 if additional information is needed.
RESOLUTION BAY 20-07
A RESOLUTION OF THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AMENDING THE FY 2019-FY 2020 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM (UPWP) TO DE-OBLIGATE PL FUNDS, SU FUNDS AND SA FUNDS

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the organization designated by the governor of Florida as being responsible, together with the state of Florida, for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation decision making process for the Florida-Alabama TPO Area; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Government, under the authority of 23 United States Code and any subsequent applicable amendments requires each metropolitan area, as a condition to the receipt of federal capital or operating assistance, to have a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process in designated metropolitan areas to develop and implement plans and programs consistent with the comprehensively planned development of the metropolitan area; which includes the Unified Planning Work Program that contains a work plan and budget; and

WHEREAS, if a TPO has projected unexpended funds that can be programmed in the following two-year UPWP, the TPO must take action to inform the District, so that the funds are available for the new UPWP budget beginning July 1. If these funds are not identified for de-obligation, the funds will not be available until the following fiscal year. (July 2021)

WHEREAS, The Bay County TPO has identified the following funds for de-obligation:

- PL (Planning) $37,009
- SA (State) $145,859
- Total De-obligation $182,868

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The TPO affirms that a de-obligation of the above referenced funds has been submitted to FDOT to consider in the development of the Five-Year Work Program.

Passed and duly adopted by the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization on this 27th day of May 2020.

BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: ____________________________
   Pamn Henderson, Chairman

ATTEST: ________________________
ENCLOSURE D
ENCLOSURE D
TPO ONLY

SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-06 Reaffirming the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: UPWP

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None.

BACKGROUND: The Bay County Transportation Planning Organization’s Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement (ICAR) update was approved on April 28, 2010 and reaffirmed on April 22, 2015. There have been no significant changes to the parties of the agreement. The ICAR states “This Agreement shall have a term of (5) years and shall automatically renew at the end of said (5) years for another (5) term and every (5) years thereafter. At the end of the (5) year term and at least every (5) years thereafter, the parties hereto shall examine the terms hereof and agree to amend the provisions or reaffirm the same.”

Attached are the following:
- Resolution BAY 20-06
- Letter to FDOT from TPO Staff
- Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-06. This action is recommended because there are no amendments needed to the current provisions of the agreement. Please contact Ms. Jill Lavender, TPO staff, at 850-332-7976, Ext. 212 or jill.lavender@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
RESOLUTION BAY 20-06
A RESOLUTION OF THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION REAFFIRMING THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION AND REVIEW AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION COORDINATION JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT (ICAR)

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the metropolitan planning organization designated by the governor of Florida as being responsible for carrying out a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Bay County Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Government, under the authority of 23 United States Code and any subsequent applicable amendments requires each metropolitan area, as a condition to the receipt of federal capital or operating assistance, to have a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process in designated metropolitan areas to develop and implement plans and programs consistent with the comprehensively planned development of the metropolitan area; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 339.175(10)(a)2., Florida Statutes, the Transportation Planning Organization shall execute and maintain an agreement with the metropolitan and regional intergovernmental coordination and review agencies serving the Metropolitan Area; and

WHEREAS, the aforesaid agreement must describe the means by which activities will be coordinated and specify how transportation planning and programming will be part of the comprehensively planned development of the Metropolitan Area;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The TPO reaffirms the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement.

Passed and duly adopted by the Bay County TPO on this 27th day of May 2020.

BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION
BY: _______________________
    Pamn Henderson, Chairperson

ATTEST: _____________________
March 25, 2020

Bryant Paulk, AICP
Milton Operations Center
Florida Department of Transportation
6025 Old Bagdad Highway
Milton, FL 32583

RE: Bay County Transportation Planning Organization’s Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement

Dear Mr. Paulk,

The Bay County Transportation Planning Organization’s Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement (ICAR) was updated ten years ago on April 28, 2010 and reaffirmed on April 22, 2015. There have been no significant changes to the parties of the Agreement. The TPO requests the Florida Department of Transportation review the attached adopted ICAR Agreement so the TPO can reaffirm the Agreement at the next regular TPO meeting.

Sincerely,

Mary Beth Washnock
Transportation Planning Manager

ENCLOSURE: ICAR Agreement

CC: Mayor Pamn Henderson, TPO Chair
Phillip Gainer, FDOT District 3 Secretary
Bob Majka, Bay County Manager
Wayne Stubbs, Panama City Port Authority Executive Director
Parker McClellan, Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport Authority Executive Director
INTEGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION AND REVIEW
AND
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION COORDINATION
JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT

THIS JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT is made and entered into this 28th day of April, 2010 by
and between the FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION; the BAY COUNTY
TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION; the WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING
COUNCIL; the BAY COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS; the PANAMA CITY PORT AUTHORITY,
as owner and operator of the Port of Panama City; and the PANAMA CITY-BAY COUNTY AIRPORT and
INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT as owner and operator of the Panama City-Bay County International Airport.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Federal Government, under the authority of 23 United States Code (U.S.C.) and any
subsequent applicable amendments require each metropolitan area, as a condition to the receipt of federal
capital or operating assistance, to have a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning
process in designated metropolitan areas to develop and implement plans and programs consistent with the
comprehensively planned development of the metropolitan area;

WHEREAS, 23 U.S.C. 134, and Section 339.175, Florida Statutes, provide for the creation of
metropolitan planning organizations to develop transportation plans and programs for metropolitan areas;

WHEREAS, 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 450.314 require that the State, the Metropolitan
Planning Organization, and the operators of publicly owned transportation systems shall enter into an agreement
clearly identifying the responsibilities for cooperatively carrying out such transportation planning (including
corridor and subarea studies pursuant to 23 CFR 450.212 and 450.318) and programming;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 20.23, Florida Statutes, the Department has been created by the State of
Florida, and the Department has the powers and duties relating to transportation, all as outlined in Section
334.044, Florida Statutes;

Florida Statutes, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization has been designated and its membership
apportioned by the Governor of the State of Florida, with the agreement of the affected units of general purpose
local government, to organize and establish the Transportation Planning Organization (TPO);

WHEREAS, pursuant to an interlocal agreement executed on March 24, 2004, and filed with the Clerk
of the Circuit Court of Bay County, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization was established;

WHEREAS, pursuant to a special act of the Florida Legislature, the Panama City Port Authority was
created and established to manage the operations of the Port of Panama City;

WHEREAS, pursuant to a special act of the Florida Legislature, the Panama City-Bay County Airport
and Industrial District was created and established to manage the operations of the Panama City-Bay County
International Airport;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 339.175(10)(a)2., Florida Statutes, the Transportation Planning
Organization shall execute and maintain an agreement with the metropolitan and regional intergovernmental
coordination and review agencies serving the Metropolitan Area;

WHEREAS, the aforesaid agreement must describe the means by which activities will be coordinated
and specify how transportation planning and programming will be part of the comprehensively planned
development of the Metropolitan Area;
WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 186.504, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 29A-1, Florida Administrative Code (FAC), the West Florida Regional Planning Council was established and operates with a primary purpose of intergovernmental coordination and review;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 186.505(24), Florida Statutes, the West Florida Regional Planning Council is to review plans of metropolitan planning organizations to identify inconsistencies between those agencies’ plans and applicable local government comprehensive plans adopted pursuant to Chapter 163, Florida Statutes;

WHEREAS, the Regional Planning Council, pursuant to Section 186.507, Florida Statutes, is required to prepare a Strategic Regional Policy Plan, which will contain regional goals and policies that address regional transportation issues;

WHEREAS, based on the Regional Planning Council’s statutory mandate to identify inconsistencies between plans of transportation planning organizations and applicable local government comprehensive plans, and to prepare and adopt a Strategic Regional Policy Plan, the West Florida Regional Planning Council is appropriately situated to assist in the intergovernmental coordination of the intermodal transportation planning process;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 186.509, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 29A-3, Florida Administrative Code, the West Florida Regional Planning Council has adopted a conflict and dispute resolution process;

WHEREAS, the purpose of the dispute resolution process is to reconcile differences in planning and growth management issues between local governments, regional agencies, and private interests;

WHEREAS, the parties hereto have determined that the voluntary dispute resolution process is useful in the process of resolving conflicts and disputes arising in the transportation planning process;

WHEREAS, pursuant to 23 CFR 450.314 and Section 339.175(10)(a3), Florida Statutes, the Transportation Planning Organization must execute and maintain an agreement with the operators of public transportation systems, including transit systems, commuter rail systems, airports, and seaports, describing the means by which activities will be coordinated and specifying how public transit, commuter rail, aviation, and seaport planning (including corridor and subarea studies pursuant to 23 CFR 450.212 and 450.318) and programming will be part of the comprehensively planned development of the Metropolitan Area;

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest that the TPO, operators of public transportation systems, including transit systems, commuter rail systems, port and aviation authorities, jointly pledge their intention to cooperatively participate in the planning and programming of transportation improvements within this Metropolitan Area;

WHEREAS, the undersigned parties have determined that this Agreement satisfies the requirements of and is consistent with 23 CFR 450.314 and Section 339.175(10), Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the parties to this Agreement desire to participate cooperatively in the performance, on a continuing basis, of a coordinated, comprehensive transportation planning process to assure that highway facilities, mass transit, rail systems, air transportation and other facilities will be properly located and developed in relation to the overall plan of community development.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, promises, and representation herein, the parties desiring to be legally bound, do agree as follows:
ARTICLE 1
RECATALS: DEFINITIONS

Section 1.01. Recitals. Each and all of the foregoing recitals be and the same hereby incorporated herein and acknowledged to be true and correct. Failure of any of the foregoing recitals to be true and correct shall not operate to invalidate this Agreement.

Section 1.02. Definitions. The following words when used in this Agreement (unless the context shall clearly indicate the contrary) shall have the following meanings:

Agreement means and refers to this instrument, as amended from time to time.

Corridor or Subarea Study shall mean and refer to studies involving major investment decisions or as otherwise identified in 23 CFR 450.318.

Department shall mean and refer to the Florida Department of Transportation, an agency of the State of Florida, created pursuant to Section 20.23, Florida Statutes.

FHWA means and refers to the Federal Highway Administration.

Long Range Transportation Plan is at a minimum a 20-year plan which identifies transportation facilities; includes a financial plan that demonstrates how the plan can be implemented and assesses capital improvements necessary to preserve the existing transportation system and make efficient use of existing transportation facilities, indicates proposed transportation enhancement activities; and, in ozone/carbon monoxide nonattainment areas, is coordinated with the State Implementation Plan, all as required by 23 U.S.C. 134(i), 23 CFR 450.322, and Section 339.175(7), Florida Statutes.

Metropolitan Area means and refers to the planning area as determined by agreement between the Transportation Planning Organization and the Governor in the urbanized areas designated by the United States Bureau of the Census as described in 23 U.S.C. 134(b)(1) and Section 339.175, Florida Statutes, which shall be subject to the Transportation Planning Organization’s planning authority.

TPO means and refers to the metropolitan planning organization formed pursuant to Interlocal Agreement dated March 24, 2004 as amended or superseded from time to time.

Regional Planning Council means and refers to the West Florida Regional Planning Council created pursuant to Section 186.504, Florida Statutes, and identified in Chapter 29A-1 Florida Administrative Code.

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is the staged multi-year program of transportation improvement projects developed by a metropolitan planning organization consistent with the Long-Range Transportation Plan and developed pursuant to title 23 U.S.C. 134(j), 49 U.S.C. 5304, 23 CFR 450.324 and Section 339.175(8), Florida Statutes.

Unified Planning Work Program is a biennial program developed in cooperation with the Department and public transportation providers, that lists all planning tasks to be undertaken during a two year time frame, with a complete description thereof and an estimated budget, all as required by 23 CFR 450.308, and Section 339.175(9), Florida Statutes.
ARTICLE 2

PURPOSE

Section 2.01. Coordination with public transit operators. As set forth in Article 3 of this Agreement, the purpose of this Agreement is to provide for cooperation with the Department, Bay County Board of Commissioners, Panama City Port Authority (Port of Panama City), Panama City-Bay County Airport, and Industrial District (Panama City-Bay County International Airport and the Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport) in the development and preparation of the Unified Planning Work Program, the Transportation Improvement Program, the Long-Range Transportation Plan, and any applicable Corridor or Subarea Studies.

Section 2.02. Intergovernmental coordination; Regional Planning Council. As set forth in Article 4 of this Agreement, the purpose of this Agreement is to provide a process through the West Florida Regional Planning Council for intergovernmental coordination and review and identification of inconsistencies between proposed Transportation Planning Organization transportation plans and local government comprehensive plans adopted pursuant to Chapter 163, Florida Statutes, and approved by the Florida Department of Community Affairs.

Section 2.03. Dispute resolution. As set forth in Article 5 of this Agreement, the purpose of this Agreement is to provide a process for conflict and dispute resolution through the West Florida Regional Planning Council.

ARTICLE 3

COOPERATIVE PROCEDURES FOR PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING
WITH OPERATORS OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

Section 3.01. Cooperation with operators of public transportation systems: coordination with local government approved comprehensive plans.

(a) The Transportation Planning Organization shall cooperate with the Bay County Board of Commissioners, Panama City Port Authority (Port of Panama City), and Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District (Panama City-Bay County International Airport and the Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport) to optimize the planning and programming of an integrated and balanced intermodal transportation system for the Metropolitan Area.

(b) The Transportation Planning Organization shall implement a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process that is consistent, to the maximum extent feasible, with port and aviation master plans, and public transit development plans of the units of local governments whose boundaries are within the Metropolitan Area.

(c) As a means towards achievement of the goals in paragraphs (a) and (b) and in an effort to coordinate intermodal transportation planning and programming, the Transportation Planning Organization may include as part of its membership officials of agencies that administer or operate major modes or systems of transportation, including but not limited to transit operators, sponsors of major local airports, maritime ports, and railroad operators. The representative of the major modes or systems of transportation may be accorded voting or non-voting advisor status. In the Metropolitan Area if authorities or agencies have been or may be created by law to perform transportation functions and that are not under the jurisdiction of a general purpose local government represented on the Transportation Planning Organization, the Transportation Planning Organization shall request the Governor to designate said authority or agency as a voting member of the TPO in accordance with the requirements of Section 339.175, F.S. If the new member would alter local government representation in the Transportation Planning Organization, the Transportation Planning Organization shall propose a revised apportionment plan to the Governor to ensure voting membership on the Metropolitan Planning to an elected official representing public transit authorities which have been, or may be, created by law.
(d) The Transportation Planning Organization shall ensure that representatives of ports, transit authorities, and airports within the Metropolitan Area are provided membership on the Transportation Planning Organizations Technical Advisory Committee.

Section 3.02. Preparation of transportation related plans.

(a) Although the adoption or approval of the Unified Planning Work Program, the Transportation Improvement Program, and the Long-Range Transportation Plan is the responsibility of the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization, development of such plans or programs shall be viewed as a cooperative effort involving the Department, Bay County Board of Commissioners, Airport and Industrial District. In developing its plans and programs, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization shall solicit the comments and recommendations of the parties to this Agreement in the preparation of such plans and programs.

(b) At the commencement of the process of preparing the Unified Planning Work Program, the Transportation Improvement Program, or the Long-Range Transportation Plan, or preparing other than a minor amendment thereto (as determined by the Transportation Planning Organization), the Transportation Planning Organization shall extend notice to the Department, the Bay County Board of Commissioners, Panama City Port Authority (Port of Panama City), and Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District (Panama City-Bay County International Airport and the Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport), and advising the scope of the work to be undertaken and inviting comment and participation in the development process. The MPO shall ensure that the chief operating officials of the Department, Bay County Board of Commissioners, Panama City Port Authority (Port of Panama City), and Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District (Panama City-Bay County International Airport) shall receive approximate 15 days written formal notice of all public workshops and hearings relating to the development of such plans and programs. It is stipulated by the parties to this Agreement that the failure by the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization to properly extend written or other notice shall not invalidate, or lodged as a claim to invalidate, the adoption of the aforementioned plans and programs.

(c) Local government comprehensive plans.

(1) In developing the TIP, Long-Range Transportation Plan, or Corridor or Subarea Studies, or preparing other than a minor amendment thereto (as determined by the TPO), the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization, Bay County Board of Commissioners, Panama City Port Authority (Port of Panama City), and Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District (Panama City-Bay County International Airport and the Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport) shall analyze for each local government in the Metro Area: (i) the comprehensive plan future land use elements; (ii) the goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plans; and (iii) the zoning, of each local governments in the Metropolitan Area. Based upon the foregoing review and a consideration of other growth management factors, the TPO, the Bay County Board of Commissioners, Panama City Port Authority (Port of Panama City), and Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District (Panama City-Bay County International Airport and the Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport), shall provide written recommendations to local governments in the Metropolitan Area in the development, amendment, and implementation of their comprehensive plans. A copy of the recommendations shall be sent to the Regional Planning Council.

(2) The Bay County Transportation Planning Organization agrees that, to the maximum extent feasible, the Long-Range Transportation Plan and the project and project phases within the Transportation Improvement Program shall be consistent with the future land use element and goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plans of local governments in the Metropolitan Area. If the TPO’s Transportation Improvement Program is inconsistent with a local government comprehensive plan, the TPO shall so indicate, and the TPO shall present, as part of the Transportation Improvement Program, justification for including the project in the program.

(d) Multi-modal transportation agency plans.
(1) In developing the Transportation Improvement Program, Long-Range Transportation Plan, or a Corridor or Subarea Studies, or preparing other than a minor amendment thereto as determined by the Transportation Planning Organization, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization shall analyze the affected: master plans of the Panama City Port Authority, Panama City-Bay County Airport. Based upon the foregoing review and a consideration of other transportation-related factors, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization shall from time to time and as appropriate, provide recommendations to the parties to this Agreement as well as local governments within the Metropolitan Area, for the development, amendment, and implementation of their master, development, or comprehensive plans.

(2) In developing or revising their respective master or development plans, the parties to this Agreement shall analyze the draft or approved Unified Planning Work Program, Transportation Improvement Program, Long-Range Transportation Plan, or Corridor and Subarea Studies, or amendments thereto. Based upon the foregoing review and a consideration of other transportation-related factors, the parties to this Agreement shall from time to time and as appropriate, provide written recommendations to the Transportation Planning Organization with regard to development, amendment, and implementation of the plans, programs, and studies.

(3) The Transportation Planning Organization agrees that, to the maximum extent feasible, the Transportation Improvement Program shall be consistent with the affected master plans and development plans of the parties to this Agreement.

(e) By letter agreement to be executed by the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization and the affected, Port Authority, Airport and Industrial District, and public transit providers represented by Transportation Planning Organization members, the Transportation Planning Organization and the affected agency or authority shall mutually develop a process for planning coordination, forwarding recommendations, and project programming consistency to be referred to as the “letter agreement”. The parties to this Agreement agree that the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization need only include in the Transportation Improvement Program those state-funded airport and seaport projects that directly relate to surface transportation activities. The process agreed to in the letter agreement shall provide flexible deadlines for interagency comment on affected plans referenced in this section. Upon approval, the letter agreement shall be appended to this Agreement and shall be an exhibit hereto. The signatories to the letter agreement may revise or terminate the Agreement upon 30 days written notice to all other parties to this Agreement but without approval of other parties hereto.

ARTICLE 4
INTEGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION AND REVIEW

Section 4.01. Coordination with Regional Planning Council. The Regional Planning Council shall perform the following tasks:

(a) Within 30 days of receipt, review the draft of the proposed Transportation Improvement Program, Long-Range Transportation Plan, Corridor and Subarea Studies, or amendments thereto, as requested by the Transportation Planning Organization, to identify inconsistencies between the foregoing plans and programs and applicable local government comprehensive plans adopted pursuant to Chapter 163 et seq., Florida Statutes, for counties and cities within the Metropolitan Area and the adopted Strategic Regional Policy Plan.

(1) The parties hereto recognize that, pursuant to Florida law, the Long-Range Transportation Plan and the Transportation Improvement Program of the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization must be considered by cities and counties within the Metropolitan Area in the preparation, amendment, and update/revision of their comprehensive plans. Further, the Long-Range Transportation Plan and the projects and project phases within the Transportation Improvement Program are to be consistent with the future land use element and goals, objectives, and policies of the comprehensive plans of local governments.
n the Metropolitan Area to the maximum extent feasible. Therefore, promptly upon completion of its review of the draft proposal, the Regional Planning Council shall advise the Transportation Planning Organization and each affected county or city of its findings;

(2) If, after completing its review of the draft proposal, the West Florida Regional Planning Council deems that the plans and programs submitted are not acceptable, the Regional Planning Council shall promptly advise the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization in writing of its concerns and identify those portions of the submittals which need to be reevaluated and potentially modified; and

(3) Upon final adoption of the proposed Transportation Improvement Program, Long-Range Transportation Plan, Corridor and Subarea Studies, or amendments thereto, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization may request that the West Florida Regional Planning Council consider adoption of regional transportation goals, objectives, and policies in the Strategic Regional Policy Plan implementing the adopted Transportation Improvement Program, Long-Range Transportation Plan, Corridor and Subarea Studies, or amendments thereto. If the proposed plan, program, or study, or amendments thereto, was the subject of previous adverse comment by the West Florida Regional Planning Council, the Transportation Planning Organization will identify the change in the final adopted plan intended to resolve the adverse comment, or alternatively, the Transportation Planning Organization shall identify the reason for not amending the plan as suggested by the West Florida Regional Planning Council.

(b) Provide the availability of the conflict and dispute resolution process as set forth in Article 5 below.

ARTICLE 5
CONFLICT AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS

Section 5.01. Disputes and conflicts under this Agreement. This process shall apply to conflicts and disputes relating to matters subject to this Agreement, or conflicts arising from the performance of this Agreement. Except as otherwise provided in this Article 5, only representatives of the agencies with conflicts or disputes shall engage in conflict resolution.

Section 5.02. Initial resolution. The affected parties to this Agreement shall, at a minimum, ensure the attempted early resolution of conflicts relating to such matters. Early resolution shall be handled by direct discussion between the following officials:

for the Florida Department of Transportation: by the District Director for Planning and Programs
for the Bay County Board of Commissioners: The current County Manager
for the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization: The current TPO Chair
for the West Florida Regional Planning Council: The current Transportation Director
for the Panama City Port Authority: The current Executive Director
for the Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District: The current Executive Director

Section 5.03. Resolution by senior agency official. If the conflict remains unresolved, the conflict shall be resolved by the following officials:

for the Florida Department of Transportation: by the District Secretary
for the Bay County Board of Commissioners: The current Board of Commissioners
for the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization: The current TPO Chair
for the West Florida Regional Planning Council: The current Executive Director

for the Panama City Port Authority: The current Port Authority Board

for the Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District: The current District Board

Section 5.04. Alternative Regional Planning Council dispute resolution. If a resolution is not possible, the parties may undertake dispute resolution pursuant to the Regional Planning Council procedure set forth in Chapter 29A-3, Florida Administrative Code. All parties to the dispute must agree to undertake this procedure before it may be invoked.

Section 5.05. Resolution by the Office of the Governor. If the conflict is not resolved through conflict resolution pursuant to Sections 5.02, 5.03, and 5.04 of this Agreement, the parties shall petition the Executive Office of the Governor for resolution of the conflict pursuant to its procedures. Resolution of the conflict by the Executive Office of the Governor shall be binding on all parties.

ARTICLE 6
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISION

Section 6.01. Constitutional or statutory duties and responsibilities of parties. This Agreement shall not be construed to authorize the delegation of the constitutional or statutory duties of any of the parties. In addition, this Agreement does not relieve any of the parties of an obligation or responsibility imposed upon them by law, except to the extent of actual and timely performance thereof by one or more of the parties to this Agreement or any legal or administrative entity created or authorized by this Agreement, in which case this performance may be offered in satisfaction of the obligation or responsibility.

Section 6.02. Amendment of Agreement. Amendments or modifications of this Agreement may only be made by written agreement signed by all parties hereto with the same formalities as the original Agreement.

Section 6.03. Duration; withdrawal procedure.

(a) Duration. This Agreement shall have a term of five years and shall automatically renew at the end of said five years for another five year term and every five years thereafter. At the end of the five year term and at least every five years thereafter, the parties hereto shall examine the terms hereof and agree to amend the provisions or reaffirm the same. However, the failure to amend or to reaffirm the terms of this Agreement shall not invalidate or otherwise terminate this Agreement.

(b) Withdrawal procedure. Any party may withdraw from this Agreement after presenting in written form a notice of intent to withdraw to the other parties to this Agreement and the TPO, at least (90) days prior to the intended date of withdrawal; provided, that financial commitments made prior to withdrawal are effective and binding for their full term and amount regardless of withdrawal.

Section 6.04. Notices. All notices, demands and correspondence required or provided for under this Agreement shall be in writing and delivered in person or dispatched by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested. Notice is required to be given and shall be addressed as follows:

Bay County:

Board of County Commissioners
840 W. 11th Street
Panama City, Fl 32401
ATTN: Board of County Commissioners
Florida Department of Transportation:

District Three
1074 Highway 90
Chipley, Fl 32428
ATTN: District Secretary

TPO:

Bay County Transportation Planning Organization
651 West 14th Street, Suite E
Panama City, FL 32401
ATTN: TPO Chairman

Regional Planning Council:

West Florida Regional Planning Council
P.O. Box 9759
Pensacola, FL 32513-9759
ATTN: Executive Director

Panama City-Bay County Airport and Industrial District:

Panama City-Bay County International Airport
ATTN: Executive Director
3173 Airport Road, Box A
Panama City, FL 32405

Panama City Port Authority:

Panama City Port Authority
5321 W. Highway 98
Panama City FL 32401

A party may unilaterally change its address or addressee by giving notice in writing to the other parties as provided in this section. Thereafter, notices, demands and other pertinent correspondence shall be addressed and transmitted to the new address.

Section 6.05. Interpretation.

(a) Drafters of Agreement. All parties hereto were each represented by, or afforded the opportunity for representation by legal counsel, and participated in the drafting of this Agreement and in the choice of wording. Consequently, no provision hereof should be more strongly construed against any party as drafter of this Agreement.

(b) Severability. Invalidation of any one of the provisions of this Agreement or any part, clause or word hereof, or the application thereof in specific circumstances, by judgment, court order, or administrative
hearing or order shall not affect any other provisions or applications in other circumstances, all of which shall remain in full force and effect; provided, that such remainder would then continue to conform to the terms and requirements of applicable law.

(c) **Rules of construction.** In interpreting this Agreement, the following rules of construction shall apply unless the context indicates otherwise:

1. The singular of any word or term includes the plural;
2. The masculine gender includes the feminine gender; and
3. The word “shall” is mandatory, and “may” is permissive.

**Section 6.06. Attorney’s Fees.** In the event of any judicial or administrative action to enforce or interpret this Agreement by any party hereto, each party shall bear its own attorney’s fees in connection with such proceeding.

**Section 6.07. Agreement execution; use of counterpart signature pages.** This Agreement, and any amendments hereto, may be simultaneously executed in several counterparts, each of which so executed shall be deemed to be an original, and such counterparts together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

**Section 6.08. Effective date.** This Agreement shall become effective upon its execution by all parties hereto.

**Section 6.09. Other authority.** In the event that any election, referendum, approval, permit, notice, or other proceeding or authorization is required under applicable law to enable the parties to enter into this Agreement or to undertake the provisions set forth hereunder, or to observe, assume or carry out any of the provisions of the Agreement, said parties will initiate and consummate, as provided by law, all actions necessary with respect to any such matters for required.

**Section 6.10. Parties not obligated to third parties.** No party hereto shall be obligated or liable hereunder to any party not a signatory to this Agreement. There are no express or intended third party beneficiaries to this Agreement.

**Section 6.11. Rights and remedies not waived.** In no event shall the making by the Department of any payment to the Transportation Planning Organization constitute or be construed as a waiver by the Department of any breach of covenant or any default which may then exist on the part of the Transportation Planning Organization, and the making of any such payment by the Department while any such breach or default exists shall in no way impair or prejudice any right or remedy available to the Department in respect of such breach or default.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned parties have executed this Joint Participation Agreement on behalf of the referenced legal entities.

Signed, Sealed, and Delivered in the presence of:
BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION, an agency of the State of Florida created and operating pursuant to Section 339.175, Florida Statutes

BY: William T. Dagen
Chairman

DATE: EXECUTED 5-4-10

WEST FLORIDA REGIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL, a council of the State of Florida created and operating pursuant to Section 186.504, Florida Statutes

BY: Andy Fain
Chairman

DATE: EXECUTED 21-June 2010

STATE OF FLORIDA, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, an agency of the State of Florida created pursuant to Section 20.23, Florida Statutes, by and through its duly authorized District Secretary

BY: James T. Barfield, District Secretary

DATE: EXECUTED 8/17/10

BAY COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BY: William T. Dagen
Chairman of the Board

DATE: EXECUTED 5-20-10
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PANAMA CITY PORT AUTHORITY, on behalf of the Port of Panama City

BY: 
Chairman of the Board of Directors

DATE: EXECUTED ____________________________

PANAMA CITY-BAY COUNTY AIRPORT AND INDUSTRIAL DISTRICT, on behalf of the Panama City-Bay County International Airport

BY: 
Chairman of the Board of Directors

DATE: EXECUTED 7/6/10
RESOLUTION BAY 10-13

A RESOLUTION OF THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION AND REVIEW AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION COORDINATION JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT (ICAR)

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the metropolitan planning organization designated by the Governor of Florida as being responsible with the State of Florida, for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process for the TPO Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) has certain duties and responsibilities as outlined and described in the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement (ICAR); and

WHEREAS, 23 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 450.314 require that the State, Transportation Planning Organization, and the operators of publicly owned transportation systems shall enter into an agreement clearly identifying the responsibilities for cooperatively carrying out transportation planning and intergovernmental coordination;

WHEREAS, the TPO has the authority to enter into an agreement and to undertake the duties there described;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The TPO authorizes the Chairman or his designee to execute the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement (ICAR).

Passed and duly adopted by the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization on this 28th day of April 2010.

BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY:  William T. Dozier, Chairman

ATTEST:  
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ENCLOSURE E
SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-05 to Adopt the Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: 23 Code of Federal Regulations Section 134(i), Chapter 339.175 (7) Florida Statutes, Bay County TPO Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Task C.2

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: The TPO updates the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) every 5 years. The current LRTP was adopted on June 22, 2016. The 2045 Bay County TPO LRTP Scope of Services was approved by the TPO on July 24, 2019. Some of the tasks identified in the Scope of Services are: Public Participation, Goals and Objectives, Congestion Management Process Update, 2045 Needs Plan, 2045 Cost Feasible Plan, Evaluation Criteria, and Financial Resources.

Evaluation Criteria are developed based on the adopted Goals and Objectives and are used to rank projects in the Needs Plan. Once this ranking is established, it will be used to create a draft Cost Feasible Plan.

Financial Resources are developed based on the FDOT Revenue Forecasts, and consider other federal, state, and local funding sources. A listing of alternative revenue sources is listed for informational purposes only.

The 2045 LRTP Steering Committee met on the two dates listed below to review and comment on the 2045 LRTP Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources:
- January 17, 2020 at Panama City Hall
- April 27, 2020 GoToMeeting

Attached are the following:
- Resolution Bay 20-05
- Steering Committee Meeting #3 Summary
- Steering Committee Meeting #4 Summary
- 2040 to 2045 Evaluation Criteria Comparison Chart
- Financial Resources
- Alternative Revenue Sources (For Informational Purposes Only)

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO chair to sign Resolution BAY 20-05 to adopt the Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources with any changes that may have been presented. This alternative is recommended to maintain the adoption date of the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan by June 22, 2021. Please contact Mr. Gary Kramer if additional information is needed at gary.kramer@ecrc.org or (850) 332-7976 Ext. 219.
RESOLUTION BAY 20-05

A RESOLUTION OF THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION APPROVING THE 2045 BAY COUNTY LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN EVALUATION CRITERIA AND FINANCIAL RESOURCES

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the organization designated by the governor of Florida as being responsible for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Bay County Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long Range Transportation Plan 2045 update is being developed pursuant to Part 23 Section 134(i), Code of Federal Regulations and Chapter 339.175 (7) Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) approved the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Update Scope of Services on July 24, 2019; and

WHEREAS, two tasks in the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Update Scope of Services are Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources; and

WHEREAS, the Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources were reviewed by a Steering Committee consisting of members of the TPO’s Technical Coordinating Committee, Citizens’ Advisory Committee, and other members of the Transportation Industry, and

WHEREAS, the Steering Committee considered and incorporated updated federal and state guidance, Department of Transportation and local government revenue forecasts, and potential alternative revenue sources;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:


Passed and duly adopted by the Bay County TPO on this 27th day of May 2020.

BAY COUNTY TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: ____________________________
    Pamn Henderson, Chairperson

ATTEST: _______________________
Steering Committee Meeting #3 Summary

Members Attending
Donna Green, FDOT Urban Liaison
John Skaggs, Naval Coastal Systems Center
Richard McConnell, Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport
Angela Bradley, Bay Town Trolley
Tim Smith, Technical Coordinating Committee
Kelly Jenkins, Technical Coordinating Committee
Leon Miller, Citizens’ Advisory Committee
Thomas Robinson, Citizens’ Advisory Committee
Robert Waddell, Citizens’ Advisory Committee

Members Not Attending
Rickey Fitzgerald, FDOT (Freight)
Bryant Paulk, FDOT Urban Liaison
Traycee Verdun Chapman, Tyndall Air Force Base
Wayne Stubbs, Port Panama City
Keith Bryant, Technical Coordinating Committee
John Lincoln, Citizens’ Advisory Committee

Others Attending
Jerry Smith, Mexico Beach
Mario Gisbert, Mexico Beach
Brandi DeRuiter, Bay County Chamber of Commerce
Larry Messinger, Citizen
Jennifer Shook, Citizen
Jessica Smith, HDR
Tiffany Bates, Emerald Coast Regional Council
Gary Kramer, Emerald Coast Regional Council

A. Introductions and Welcome

- Gary Kramer welcomed the participants to the Steering Committee Meeting for the 2045 Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long Range Transportation Plan. Each of the participants stated their names and the organization they represent.

B. Overview of LRTP Process and Steering Committee

- Gary Kramer iterated that 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan was adopted on June 22, 2016. The 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan needs to be adopted by June 22, 2021 to maintain the 5-year requirement between Long Range Transportation Plan Updates.
- Gary Kramer mentioned the members of the Steering Committee will be seeing and commenting on various products for the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. The Steering Committee will be providing the detailed review of the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan documents. Therefore, when the TPO and Advisory Committees review the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan documents most of the questions and comments will already have been addressed by the Steering Committee.
C. **Project Schedule**

- In a PowerPoint slide, Jessica Smith reviewed the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Project Schedule for the next three months. She indicated this meeting will focus on a recommendation for Goals and Objectives, initial comments on the Evaluation Criteria, and a brief overview of the Financial Resources. The Goals and Objectives will be action item for the TPO and Advisory Committees in February. The next Steering will focus on developing a recommendation for the Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources. Other tasks such as the Congestion Management Process, Needs Plan, and Cost Feasible Plan will be the focus of future Steering Committee Meetings. The 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan needs to be adopted by June 22, 2021 to maintain the five year mandate between Long Range Transportation Plans.

D. **Comments from the January 16, 2020 Public Meeting**

- Tiffany Bates stated that a public meeting was held at the Gulf Coast State College on January 16, 2020. The eight Goals were condensed to seven and were presented for the individuals to assigned six $100 dollars in play money. The following lists results from the public meeting
  - Goal 1 “A multi-modal transportation system that is safe” and Goal 7 “A multi-modal transportation system that provides for the security of residents, visitors and commerce”
    - “Safety” **7.49%**
  - Goal 2 “A multi-modal network of integrated transportation systems for the movement of people and goods”
    - “Move People and Goods” **8.07%**
  - Goal 3 “A multi-modal transportation system that is operated and maintained efficiently”
    - “Maintain What we Have” **19.60%**
  - Goal 4 “A multi-modal transportation system that protects, preserves and enhances a high quality of life”
    - “Quality of Life” **29.39%**
  - Goal 5 “A multi-modal transportation system that includes consistent, continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive planning processes”
    - “Plan for Everyone” **17.29%**
  - Goal 6 “A multi-modal transportation system that supports economic vitality”
    - “Support Local Economy” **6.92%**
  - Goal 8 “A Multimodal transportation system that maintains acceptable roadway level of service on all major facilities”
    - “Level of Service” **11.24%**

E. **Recommended Draft 2045 Goals and Objectives**

- Jessica Smith stated that the recommended Draft Goals and Objectives are included in the agenda package as the clean copy version. Also included in the agenda package are Goals and Objectives from the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan and a strike-thru underline version to show the changes from the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan to the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan.
Jessica Smith mentioned that the TPO and Advisory Committees did not have any comments on the Draft Goals and Objectives at their December 4, 2019 meetings. After reviewing the results from the December 4, 2019 TPO and Advisory Committee meetings, and the January 16, 2020 Public Meeting, the Steering Committee did not recommend any additional changes to the Goals and Objectives.

G. Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources

Evaluation Criteria

Jessica Smith indicated that three separate handouts were provided pertaining to the Evaluation Criteria. The three handouts are: (1) Adopted 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan Evaluation Criteria; (2) a Comparison Chart showing the difference between the Adopted 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan Evaluation Criteria and the Draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Evaluation Criteria; and (3) Draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Evaluation Criteria.

Gary Kramer explained the Evaluation Criteria will be used the rank the Needs Plan projects and the weights need to equal 100%.

The participants were divided into four groups to recommend any changes to the Draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Evaluation Criteria and corresponding weights.

The following were the recommend changes to the Draft 2045 Long Transportation Plan Evaluation Criteria.

- **Multimodal Transportation Safety**
  - Complete Streets Systems
- **Multimodal Choices and Connections**
  - Pedestrian
    - >2 miles within 2 miles
    - >1/2 mile within ½ mile
  - Public Transportation
    - >2 miles within 2 miles
    - >1/2 mile within ½ miles
  - It was mentioned that the categories pedestrian, bicycle, and public transportation resemble complete street improvements which is a category under Safety.
- **System Efficiency and Preservation**
  - 2010 2015
  - It was mentioned that Functional Classification maybe a better category than AADT.
  - A discussion occurred on future deficiencies instead of just existing deficiencies.
- **System Sustainability and Livability**
  - No recommended changes
- **Economic Vitality**
  - Tourism. Would like to see what impact the project has on Tourism. The Regional Transportation Model is a Peak Season Model. Therefore, the impact of tourist is accounted for in the socio-economic projections.
- **Multimodal Transportation Security**
  - It was mentioned that Security issues are very important.
- **Congestion Management**
  - Facility Level of Service
    - C-D Failing
    - C D-F
The following were the recommendations of the four groups for the corresponding weights of the Evaluation Criteria. A consensus recommendation on the weights for each of the Evaluation Criteria categories will need to be agreed upon at the next Steering Committee.

- **Group 1**
  - **Multimodal Transportation Safety** 20 15
  - **System Sustainability and Livability** 40 15
  - **Economic Vitality** 15 20
  - **Congestion Management** 20 15

- **Group 2** (No Changes)

- **Group 3**
  - **Multimodal Transportation Safety** 20 15
  - **System Sustainability and Livability** 40 20
  - **Congestion Management** 20 15

- **Group 4**
  - **System Efficiency and Preservation** 10 5
  - **Multimodal Transportation Security** 40 15

### Financial Resources

- Jessica Smith presented an overview of the Draft Financial Resources based upon Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Revenue Forecasts through 2045 for the following categories: (1) Strategic Intermodal System (SIS); (2) Non-SIS; (3) Transit; and (4) Transportation Management Area (TMA)/Statewide Urban (SU).

- Gary Kramer stated the Bay County TPO has the most control over the Non-SIS funds. The SIS funds are identified from existing projects in the FDOT SIS 2045 Cost Feasible Plan. Transit funds are existing funds from the Federal Transit Administration and the FDOT. The Bay County TPO is not a TMA (population of more than 200,000). Therefore, the Bay County TPO does not quality for SU funds.

- Gary Kramer added these revenues estimates will be used against the cost of the Needs Plans projects to develop a Cost Feasible Plan.

### Comments from Committee Members and Public

- Thomas Robinson requested that Right-of-Way and Eminent Domain be added to the Evaluation Criteria. Gary Kramer added that the Evaluation Criteria needs to be measurable and requested that Thomas Robinson word the Evaluation Criteria and Eminent Domain so it can be measurable and bring such wording to the next Steering Committee Meeting. Further discussion on this topic occurred after the meeting with Gary Kramer, Tim Smith, and Thomas Robinson.

- Mario Gisbert mentioned a bypass of US 98 is needed in Northwest Florida and provided an example of growth in south Florida between different cities on US 1 before I-95 was constructed.

### Next Steps

- Gary Kramer iterated that the Goals and Objectives will be an action item for the TPO and Advisory Committee in February. The Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources will be review items at the February TPO and Advisory Committee Meetings with requested action at their April Meetings. The next Steering Committee Meeting will focus on developing a recommendation for the Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources.
J. **Adjournment**

- The meeting was adjourned at 12:20 p.m.
Steering Committee Meeting #4 Summary

Members Attending

Bryant Paulk, FDOT Urban Liaison
Donna Green, FDOT Urban Liaison
John Skaggs, Naval Coastal Systems Center
Wayne Stubbs, Port Panama City
Tim Smith, Technical Coordinating Committee
Kelly Jenkins, Technical Coordinating Committee
Cliff Johnson, Technical Coordinating Committee
Robert Waddell, Citizens’ Advisory Committee

Members Not Attending

Rickey Fitzgerald, FDOT (Freight)
Traycee Verdun Chapman, Tyndall Air Force Base
Richard McConnell, Northwest Florida Beaches International Airport
Angela Bradley, Bay Town Trolley
Keith Bryant, Technical Coordinating Committee
John Lincoln, Citizens’ Advisory Committee
Leon Miller, Citizens’ Advisory Committee
Thomas Robinson, Citizens’ Advisory Committee (Had a meeting conflict)

Others Attending

Brandi DeRuiter, Bay County Chamber of Commerce
Larry Messinger, Citizens’ Advisory Committee
Jordan McCool, WJHG-TV
Britney Moore, Office of Greenways and Trails
Dan Beaty, HTNB
Peter Ogonowski, HDR
Cory Wilkinson, HDR
Jessica Smith, HDR
Mary Beth Washnock, Emerald Coast Regional Council
Tiffany Bates, Emerald Coast Regional Council
Caitlin Cerame, Emerald Coast Regional Council
Jill Lavender, Emerald Coast Regional Council
Rob Mahan, Emerald Coast Regional Council
Howard Vanselow, Emerald Coast Regional Council
Gary Kramer, Emerald Coast Regional Council

A. Introductions and Welcome

- Gary Kramer welcomed the participants to the Steering Committee Meeting for the 2045 Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long Range Transportation Plan.

B. Overview of LRTP Process and Steering Committee
• Gary Kramer iterated that 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan was adopted on June 22, 2016. The 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan needs to be adopted by June 22, 2021 to maintain the 5-year requirement between Long Range Transportation Plan Updates.

• Gary Kramer mentioned the members of the Steering Committee will be seeing and commenting on various products for the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. The Steering Committee will be providing the detailed review of the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan documents. Therefore, when the TPO and Advisory Committees review the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan documents most of the questions and comments will already have been addressed by the Steering Committee.

C. **Project Schedule**

• In a PowerPoint slide, Jessica Smith mentioned the Goals and Objectives were approved by the TPO in February. The Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources are the focus of today's meeting. A brief overview of the next tasks (Congestion Management Process and Needs Plan) will be introduced today. The final task is the Cost Feasible Plan which needs to be adopted by the TPO by June 22, 2021 to maintain the five year mandate between Long Range Transportation Plans.

D. **Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources Update**

• Jessica Smith explained that the Evaluation Criteria is based on the Goals and Objectives and is used to rank the projects in the adopted Needs Plan. At the January Steering Committee, the Evaluation Criteria was thoroughly reviewed by the Steering Committee and was presented as an information item at the February TPO and Advisory Committee Meetings.

• Jessica Smith reviewed the proposed changes from the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan Evaluation Criteria to the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Evaluation Criteria by showing the shaded highlights in a word document. Complete Streets and Crash Rates were added to the Safety Category. Tourism was added to the Economic Vitality Category. 2045 was changed from 2040 for the Congestion Management Strategies. Lastly, the total points changed from 300 to 335.

• Jessica Smith added at the last Steering Committee Meeting the Steering Committee Members were divided into four groups to provide a recommendation for the weights for each of the Evaluation Criteria Categories. The recommendations are listed below. **Since no apparent consensus appeared when reviewing the four group recommendations, the Steering Committee recommended Group 2’s recommendation which is “No Change” from the 2040 Evaluation Criteria Weights.**

   o **Group 1**
     • **Multimodal Transportation Safety** 20 15
     • **System Sustainability and Livability** 40 15
     • **Economic Vitality** 15 20
     • **Congestion Management** 20 15
   o **Group 2 (No Changes)**
   o **Group 3**
     • **Multimodal Transportation Safety** 20 15
     • **System Sustainability and Livability** 10 20
     • **Congestion Management** 20 15
Jessica Smith presented the Financial Resources estimates for 2020, 2021-2025, 2026-2030, 2031-2035, and 2036-2045 for the following four funding categories: Strategic Intermodal System, Non-Strategic Intermodal System, Transit, and Transportation Management Area/State Urban Funds. The Transportation Management Area/State Urban Funds are for the Transportation Planning Organizations with Urbanized Area populations of 200,000 or greater. For the 2010 Census, the Bay TPO did not exceed this population so no funds are projected for this category. The funding projections have been provided by FDOT District III. Local government funding from Bay County, each of the cities in the TPO area, and the Panama City Beach Community Redevelopment Agency can also be considered as funding sources for transportation projects in the Long Range Transportation Plan.

Jessica Smith concluded the Financial Resources presentation by stating that Alternative Revenue sources can be used to fund projects in the Long Range Transportation Plan. These potential revenue sources are: Bonds, Tax Increment Financing, Public Private Partnerships, Grants, Local Option Sales Tax, and Local Option Gas Tax. However, the TPO Staff is not advocating the implementation of these revenue sources.

E. Comments on Draft Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources

There were no additional comments on the Draft Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources.

F. Area Profiles

Jessica Smith mentioned that Area Profiles are included in the Long Range Transportation Plan to show different trends regarding various sectors in the TPO region. She introduced Peter Ogonowski, Principal Economist for HDR, to present this information.

Peter Ogonowski explained the purpose of the Area Profile task within the LRTP update, and used PowerPoint slides for the following variables to show the related historical and forecasted future trends:
- Population.
- Households.
- Employment and Unemployment.
- Income and Poverty.
- Real Growth Regional Product.
- Hotel/Motel Units.
- Education.
- Land Use.
- Vehicles (Registrations, Drivers, Commuting Patterns).
- Airport Enplanements.

After reviewing these categories, the following summary was developed for consideration in developing the Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan.

- Bay County has grown substantially over the last quarter century, but the growth has been largely below the corresponding state averages.
Growth is expected to continue through 2045 but at a decelerated pace. Population is concentrated in Panama City and Panama City Beach urban areas, and along major transportation corridors. People mostly stay within Bay County when commuting to work. Vehicle registrations have declined since 2017. Employment and the unemployment rate have improved since 1990. Evolving industry structure—largely service-sector oriented. Enplanements are projected to continue to increase significantly through 2045. Will need to keep monitoring various impacts from COVID-19 and Hurricane Michael in the coming years.

G. Brief overview of the Congestion Management Process Major Update and the Needs Plan

Jessica Smith mentioned a task in the Long Range Transportation Plan is to produce a Congestion Management Process document. There are eight items a Congestion Management Process must include. These eight items are:
- Develop regional objectives for congestion management.
- Define the Congestion Management Process network.
- Develop multimodal performance measures.
- Collect data/monitor performance.
- Analyze congestion problems and needs.
- Identify and assess strategies.
- Program and implement strategies.
- Evaluate strategy effectiveness.

Jessica Smith also reviewed proposed objectives and performance measures for the Congestion Management Process with the Steering Committee and mentioned these are a work in progress and will be examined in further detail at the next Steering Committee Meeting.

Jessica Smith presented the steps involved in developing the Needs Plan for the Long Range Transportation Plan. These steps, which will be presented in greater detail at the next Steering Committee Meeting, are:
- Existing Plus Committed Network.
- Development of Deficiencies.
- Steering Committee Meetings.
- Public Review.
- Adopted Needs Plan and Costs.

H. Comments from Committee Members and Public

Larry Messinger questioned the population growth being decelerated in the future in the Area Profiles presentation.
Peter Ogonowski responded that sources of the population data are the Census (historical), and the Bureau of Economic and Business Research (projected), which indicate a decelerated future growth rate. He also pointed out that the presented forecast is for the County as a whole, and not for some
specific pockets/neighborhoods, some of which may be experiencing more robust recent growth than others because of the impacts of Hurricane Michael or for some other localized reasons.

I. Next Steps

• Gary Kramer iterated that the Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources will be action items for the TPO and Advisory Committees in May. The Congestion Management Process and the Needs Plan will be review items at the TPO and Advisory Committee Meetings in May and will be discussed in further detail at the next Steering Committee Meeting in June.
• Gary Kramer added that even though the Corona Virus Pandemic has delayed the TPO from having a Steering Committee Meeting since January, the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan is still on schedule for approval in April 2021.
• Gary Kramer asked for the participants that called in to introduce themselves to ensure their participation is included in the attendance for this meeting. Three participants introduced themselves that called into the meeting.
• Kelly Jenkins asked when is the next TPO Meeting?
• Gary Kramer responded that the next TPO Meeting will be held on May 27.
• Mary Beth Washneck added that the type of meeting in person, virtual, or a combination has not been decided yet for the May 27 TPO and Advisory Committee Meetings.

J. Adjournment

• The meeting was adjourned at 10:50 a.m.
# 2040 to 2045 Evaluation Criteria Comparison Chart

## Bay 2045 LRTP Evaluation Criteria Update – Comparison from 2040 to 2045

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub Category</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Max Points</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Sub Category</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Max Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal Transportation Safety</td>
<td>School Activity</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Complete Streets</td>
<td>Transportation Safety</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety Improvement Strategies</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Safety Improvement Strategies</td>
<td>Safety Improvement Strategies</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identified by Community Traffic Safety Team</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Identified by Community Traffic Safety Team</td>
<td>Identified by Community Traffic Safety Team</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal Choices and Connections</td>
<td>Pedestrian</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Multimodal Choices and Connections</td>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Transportation</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Public Transportation</td>
<td>Public Transportation</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Efficiency and Preservation</td>
<td>AADT</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>System Efficiency and Preservation</td>
<td>AADT</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Route Significance</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Route Significance</td>
<td>Route Significance</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Existing Deficiency</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Existing Deficiency</td>
<td>Existing Deficiency</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Sustainability and Livability</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>System Sustainability and Livability</td>
<td>Environmental and Social</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recreational Opportunity</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Recreational Opportunity</td>
<td>Recreational Opportunity</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local Planning</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Local Planning</td>
<td>Local Planning</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Vitality</td>
<td>Economic Reach</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Economic Vitality</td>
<td>Economic Reach</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base Access</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Base Access</td>
<td>Intermodal Goods Movement</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermodal Goods Movement</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Intermodal Goods Movement</td>
<td>Tourism Enhancement</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal Transportation Security</td>
<td>Emergency Response</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Emergency Response</td>
<td>Emergency Response</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Identified Security Issues</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Identified Security Issues</td>
<td>Identified Security Issues</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Service Disruption</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Service Disruption</td>
<td>Service Disruption</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion Management</td>
<td>Correct Deficiency</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Correct Deficiency</td>
<td>Correct Deficiency</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Congestion Management Strategies (References 2040)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Congestion Management Strategies (Updated to Reference 2045)</td>
<td>Congestion Management Strategies (Updated to Reference 2045)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facility Level of Service (LOS)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Facility Level of Service (LOS)</td>
<td>Facility Level of Service (LOS)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total                            | 100%                            | 300     | Total      | 100%                            | 335     |

**Financial Resources**

State and Federal Funds from 2045 Revenue Forecast (*Millions of Dollars*)
### Capacity Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021-2025</th>
<th>2026-2030</th>
<th>2031-2035</th>
<th>2036-2045</th>
<th>26-Year Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SIS Highways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction &amp; ROW</td>
<td>$72.53</td>
<td>$151.77</td>
<td>$261.94</td>
<td>$183.84</td>
<td>$59.86</td>
<td>$729.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-SIS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$8.13</td>
<td>$59.65</td>
<td>$72.46</td>
<td>$78.17</td>
<td>$162.66</td>
<td>$381.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3.21</td>
<td>$17.86</td>
<td>$22.52</td>
<td>$24.67</td>
<td>$51.39</td>
<td>$119.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TMA / SU Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capacity Programs</strong></td>
<td>$83.87</td>
<td>$229.28</td>
<td>$356.92</td>
<td>$286.68</td>
<td>$273.91</td>
<td>$1,230.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIS projects from July 2019 SIS Adopted 5-Year Plan, Second 5-Year Plan, and 2029-2045 Long Range Cost Feasible Plan (Programmed Year-of-Expenditure Costs for projects in SIS First Five-Year Plan, and SIS Second Five-Year Plan. Present Day Costs for projects in Long Range Plan). Other costs listed in September 27, 2018 FDOT Revenue Forecast for Bay County TPO and Operations and Maintenance Costs are listed by programs, not costs in this report.
Alternative Revenue Sources (For Informational Purposes Only)

Other Funding Sources

- Statewide (Florida)
  - Aviation
  - Transit
  - Rail
  - Intermodal
  - Seaports
  - Shared Use Non-motorized (SUN) Trail
  - Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP)
  - Infrastructure Bank

- Bonds
  - Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicles (GARVEE)
  - Florida Transportation Revenue Bonds

- Tax Increment Financing

- Transportation Alternatives Program

- Public Private Partnerships (PPPs)

- Grants

- Local Option Sales Tax

- Local Option Gas Tax
ENCLOSURE F
SUBJECT: Election of Transportation Planning Organization (TPO), Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) and Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) Chair and Vice Chair for Fiscal Year (FY) 2021 (July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021)

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: TPO Bylaws

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: Each year at the June meeting the TPO and advisory committees should elect a chairman and vice chairman for the next fiscal year. Because June meetings are not scheduled this year, this action needs to take place in May. The 2021 fiscal year begins July 1, 2020.

Attached are the following:
- TPO Membership Roster
- TCC Membership Roster
- CAC Membership Roster

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Election of Bay County TPO, TCC, and CAC chair and vice chairs to serve for FY 2021. This action is recommended to comply with the TPO bylaws. Please contact Mr. Cameron Smith, ECRC staff, at 1-800-332-7976, Extension 207 or Cameron.Smith@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
Bay County TPO Members Roster

**BAY COUNTY COMMISSION**

KEITH BAKER COMMISSIONER  
BAY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
840 W. 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401

Contact email: kbaker@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 248-8142

ROBERT CARROLL COMMISSIONER VICE CHAIR  
BAY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
840 W. 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401

Contact email: rcarroll@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 248-8142

WILLIAM T DOZIER COMMISSIONER  
BAY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
840 W. 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401

Contact email: wdozier@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 248-8142  
Work Phone: (850) 248-8142

PHILIP GRIFFITTS COMMISSIONER  
BAY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
840 W. 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401

Contact email: pgriffitts@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 248-8142

TOMMY HAMM COMMISSIONER  
BAY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
840 W. 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401

Contact email: thamm@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 248-8142

**CITY OF CALLAWAY**

DAVID GRIGGS COMMISSIONER  
CITY OF CALLAWAY  
7111 WYNONA STREET  
CALLAWAY FL 32404

Contact email: commissionergriggs@cityofcallaway.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 819-1951

PAM HENDERSON MAYOR CHAIR  
CITY OF CALLAWAY  
133 H. L. SUDDUTH DRIVE  
CALLAWAY FL 32404

Contact email: mayor@cityofcallaway.com  
Contact FAX: (850) 871-2444  
Contact Phone: (850) 874-8496
## CITY OF LYNN HAVEN

**MARGO ANDERSON MAYOR**  
CITY OF LYNN HAVEN  
513 TENNESSEE AVENUE  
LYNN HAVEN FL 32444

Contact email: mayor@cityoflynnhaven.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 814-6001

---

**PAT PERNO COMMISSIONER**  
CITY OF LYNN HAVEN  
825 OHIO AVENUE  
LYNN HAVEN FL 32444

Contact email: comm_perno@cityoflynnhaven.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 265-2121  
Contact FAX: (850) 265-8951

---

## CITY OF MEXICO BEACH

**JERRY SMITH COUNCIL MEMBER**  
CITY OF MEXICO BEACH  
609 GEORGIA AVENUE  
MEXICO BEACH FL 32410

Contact email: j.smith@mexicobeachgov.com  
Contact Phone: (229) 349-7528

---

## CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH

**MICHAEL JARMIN COUNCILMAN**  
CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH  
116 SOUTH ARNOLD ROAD  
PANAMA CITY BEACH FL 32413

Contact email: mjarman@pcbgov.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 233-5100

---

**GEOFF MCCONNELL COUNCIL MEMBER**  
CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH  
110 SOUTH ARNOLD ROAD ROOM 214  
PANAMA CITY BEACH FL 32413

Contact email: gmconnell@pcbgov.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 233-5100  
Contact FAX: (850) 233-5108

---

## CITY OF PARKER

**KEN JONES COUNCILMAN**  
CITY OF PARKER  
1001 WEST PARK STREET  
PARKER FL 32404

Contact email: kenjones@cityofparker.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 871-4104

---

## CITY OF SPRINGFIELD

**TOPEKA HUMPHRIES COMMISSIONER**  
CITY OF SPRINGFIELD  
3529 E 3RD STREET  
SPRINGFIELD FL 32401

Contact email: thumphries@springfield.fl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 872-7570  
Contact FAX: (850) 474-5663
### PANAMA CITY COMMISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Contact email</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Work Phone</th>
<th>Contact FAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KENNETH BROWN COMMISSIONER</td>
<td>CITY OF PANAMA CITY</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kbrown@pcgov.org">kbrown@pcgov.org</a></td>
<td>(850) 872-3002</td>
<td>(850) 785-2519</td>
<td>(850) 747-5964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREG BRUDNICKI MAYOR</td>
<td>CITY OF PANAMA CITY</td>
<td><a href="mailto:gbrudnicki@pcgov.org">gbrudnicki@pcgov.org</a></td>
<td>(850) 872-3002</td>
<td></td>
<td>(850) 747-5964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JENNA FLINT HALIGAS COMMISSIONER</td>
<td>CITY OF PANAMA CITY</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Jhaligas@pcgov.org">Jhaligas@pcgov.org</a></td>
<td>(850) 872-3001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIKE NICHOLS COMMISSIONER</td>
<td>CITY OF PANAMA CITY</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mnichols@pcgov.org">mnichols@pcgov.org</a></td>
<td>(850) 872-3000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BILLY RADER COMMISSIONER</td>
<td>CITY OF PANAMA CITY</td>
<td><a href="mailto:brader@pcgov.org">brader@pcgov.org</a></td>
<td>(850) 872-3002</td>
<td></td>
<td>(850) 747-5964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Bay County TCC Voting Members Roster

## Bay County

### County Engineering Office

KEITH BRYANT ENGINEER  
BAY COUNTY  
840 W 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401  
Contact email: kbryant@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 248-8740  
Contact FAX: (850) 248-8749

### County Planning Office

IAN CRELLING PLANNER  
BAY COUNTY  
840 W 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401  
Contact email: icrelling@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 784-4025

### County Traffic Engineering Office

MARC MACKEY ENGINEER VICE CHAIR  
BAY COUNTY  
840 WEST 11TH STREET  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401  
Contact email: mmackey@baycountyfl.gov  
Contact Phone: (850) 248-8741  
Contact FAX: (850) 248-8749

### Engineering Services Department

JOHNNY C SIMS  
501 HARRISON AVENUE  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401  
Contact email: jsims@pcgov.org  
Contact Phone: (850) 872-3015

## Bay County School District

DOUG LEE  
BAY COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT  
1311 BALBOA AVENUE  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401  
Contact email: leedo@bay.k12.fl.us  
Contact Phone: (850) 767-5378

## Bay Town Trolley

GENE KEEN OPERATIONS/SAFETY MANAGER CHAIR  
BAY TOWN TROLLEY  
919 MASSALINA DRIVE  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401  
Contact email: gene.keen@firstgroup.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 215-7083

## City of Callaway

### City Manager's Office

EDDIE COOK CITY MANAGER  
CITY OF CALLAWAY  
6601 E HIGHWAY 22  
CALLAWAY FL 32404  
Contact email: citymanager@cityofcallaway.com  
Contact Phone: (850) 215-6691  
Contact FAX: (850) 871-2444
# Bay County TCC Voting Members Roster

## CITY OF LYNN HAVEN

**Planning Department**

AMANDA RICHARD CITY PLANNER  
CITY OF LYNN HAVEN  
825 OHIO AVENUE  
LYNN HAVEN FL 32444

**Contact**  
Email: arichard@cityoflynnhaven.com  
Phone: (850) 265-2121  
Fax: (850) 265-8951

## CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH

**KELLY P JENKINS PLANNER**  
CITY OF PANAMA CITY BEACH  
110 SOUTH ARNOLD ROAD  
PANAMA CITY BEACH FL 32413

**Contact**  
Email: kjenkins@pcbgov.com  
Phone: (850) 233-5054 Ext: 2414  
Fax: (850) 233-5116

## NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS STATION

**Public Works Office**

JOHN SKAGGS COMMUNITY PLANNER  
NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS STATION  
101 VERNON AVENUE  
PANAMA CITY BEACH FL 32408

**Contact**  
Email: john.skaggs1@navy.mil  
Phone: (850) 234-4154  
Fax: (850) 234-4774

## NORTHWEST FLORIDA BEACHES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

**RICHARD MCCONNELL**  
NORTHWEST FLORIDA BEACHES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT  
6500 WEST BAY PARKWAY  
PANAMA CITY FL 32409

**Contact**  
Email: rmcconnell@pcairport.com

## PANAMA CITY PORT

**Port Authority Director's Office**

CHARLIE LEWIS DEPUTY DIRECTOR  
PANAMA CITY PORT AUTHORITY  
5321 W HIGHWAY 98  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401

**Contact**  
Email: clewis@portpanamacityusa.com  
Phone: (850) 767-3220  
Fax: (850) 767-3235

WAYNE STUBBS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR  
PANAMA CITY PORT AUTHORITY  
5321 W HIGHWAY 98  
PANAMA CITY FL 32401

**Contact**  
Email: wstubbs@portpanamacityusa.com  
Phone: (850) 767-3220  
Fax: (850) 767-3235

## PANAMA CITY/BAY CO AIRPORT

**PARKER W MCCLELLAN JR EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**  
NORTHWEST FLORIDA BEACHES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT  
6300 WEST BAY PARKWAY  
PANAMA CITY BEACH FL 32409

**Contact**  
Email: pmcclellan@pcairport.com  
Phone: (850) 636-8965
# Bay County TCC Voting Members Roster

## PARKER

**Public Works**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TONY SUMMERLIN</td>
<td>SUPERVISOR</td>
<td>(850) 871-5599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF PARKER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1001 WEST PARK STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKER FL 32404</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## SPRINGFIELD

**City Clerk’s Office**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Contact email</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
<th>Contact FAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEE PENTON</td>
<td>CITY CLERK</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dpenton@springfield.fl.gov">dpenton@springfield.fl.gov</a></td>
<td>(850) 872-7570 Ext: 113</td>
<td>(850) 747-5663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF SPRINGFIELD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3529 E 3RD STREET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPRINGFIELD FL 32401 -3717</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TYNDALL AFB

**Planning Department**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Contact email</th>
<th>Contact Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRAYCEE VERDUN-CHAPMAN</td>
<td>Installation Comprehensive Planner &amp; Air Force Community Partnership Program Liaison</td>
<td><a href="mailto:trayceechapman@us.af.mil">trayceechapman@us.af.mil</a></td>
<td>(850) 283-4206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY OF TYNDALL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325 CENICENPL 119 ALABAMA AVENUE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE FL 32403</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Contact Email</td>
<td>Contact Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WALTER R AKINS</td>
<td>2450 PRETTY BAYOU BLVD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:wakins@qal.us">wakins@qal.us</a></td>
<td>(850) 252-3351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAMELA DORWARTH</td>
<td>6200 N LAGOON DR APT A4</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pamdorwarth@yahoo.com">pamdorwarth@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>(850) 230-8222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALI C FROHLICH (Chair)</td>
<td>905 DOLPHIN HARBOUR DR</td>
<td><a href="mailto:aliandserra@knology.net">aliandserra@knology.net</a></td>
<td>(850) 230-5868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILDA HODGE</td>
<td>630 E 9TH STREET</td>
<td><a href="mailto:wwhodge@aol.com">wwhodge@aol.com</a></td>
<td>(850) 819-5171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARTY KIRKLAND</td>
<td>7519 N GAINER BAYOU ROAD</td>
<td><a href="mailto:makruns@yahoo.com">makruns@yahoo.com</a></td>
<td>(850) 784-4090</td>
</tr>
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ENCLOSURE G
ENVELOPE G
ALL COMMITTEES

SUBJECT: Review of Draft Bay County TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: 23 Code of Federal Regulations 450.326, Chapter 339.175 (8) Florida Statutes, Bay County TPO Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Task C.1

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: Provide Regionally Significant Projects that have dedicated local funds between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2025.

BACKGROUND: The TPO updates and adopts the TIP each year for submittal to FDOT, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Projects within the urbanized area must appear in the TIP in order to receive state and federal funding.

The FY 2021-2025 TIP process began in September 2018, when Project Priorities were adopted by the TPO and then submitted to FDOT. The Project Priorities are then used to develop FDOT’s FY 2021-2025 Tentative Five-Year Work Program. The Tentative Five-Year Work Program is the primary source of information for the TIP. The FDOT Work Program and the TIP are consistent with the TPO’s adopted priorities to the extent feasible. Projects are based on FDOT maintenance requirements, the TPO Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), Transportation Systems Management (TSM) studies, Transportation Alternatives Project (TAP) Priorities, and aviation, port, and transit master plans. Projects listed in the TIP are subject to amendment as necessary by the TPO at any time during the year. The TIP has been developed through coordination with FDOT and local governments.

The draft Bay County TPO FY 2021-2025 TIP will be posted online prior to the May 2020 TPO and advisory committee meetings. An e-mail with the draft TIP link on the TPO website will be sent to the TPO and advisory committee members. The draft Bay County TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program will be accessible by clicking on the following link:


The following is the Public Involvement element for the Transportation Improvement Program:

- Provide reasonable public access to technical and policy information used
- Provide adequate public notice of public involvement activities and time for public review and comment at key decisions, such as but not limited to the approval of the TIP
- Demonstrate explicit consideration and response to public input received during plan development process
- Make the TIP drafts and final documents available on the TPO website at, www.ecr.org, a print copy of the current plan is available upon request
- Hold public meetings at convenient times and accessible locations
- Seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, including but not limited to, low-income and minority households
• Coordinate with the statewide transportation planning public involvement and consultation processes under 23 C.F.R. Subpart B, as described in the FDOT MPO Program Handbook
• Periodically review the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the participation plan
• When significant written and oral comments are received on a draft TIP as a result of public involvement, a summary, analysis, and report on the disposition of comments shall be made part of the final documents
• If the final TIP differs significantly from the one made available for public comment or raises new material issues, an additional opportunity for public comment must be made available
• When the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) includes Indian Tribal Lands, the TPO shall appropriately involve the Indian Tribal Government(s)
• When the MPA includes federal public lands, the TPO shall appropriately involve the federal government

RECOMMENDED ACTION: This item is for review this month and adoption in July. Please review and provide comments to Gary Kramer gary.kramer@ecrc.org at (850) 332-7976 Ext. 219 by June 3 2020.
ENCLOSURE H
INFORMATION ITEMS
ALL COMMITTEES

- TCC and CAC February 2020 Meeting Minutes
- BAY TPO February 2020 Actions Report
- FDOT Critical Infrastructure Acceleration Letter
- 2020 BAY TPO Schedule

For more information, please contact Mr. Cameron Smith, ECRC Staff, at 850-332-7976 ext. 207, or Cameron.smith@ecrc.org.
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1. CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE/INVOCATION
Chairman Bryant called the meeting to order.

2. PUBLIC FORUM
There were no public comments.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Chairman Bryant called for approval of the agenda.

Mr. Cook moved to approve the agenda. Ms. Jenkins seconded the motion and it was approved unanimously.

4. PLANNING AND TRANSIT CONSENT AGENDA:

A. Approval of December 2019 TCC Meeting Minutes

Ms. Jenkins moved to approve the December 2019 Meeting Minutes. Mr. McConnell seconded the motion and it was approved unanimously.

5. PLANNING ACTION ITEMS

A. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-01 to Adopt Targets for Safety Performance Measures – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer said performance measures were an emerging issue by the Federal Highway Administration during the development of the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan. The targets for safety performance measures are adopted
annually. The TPO can accept the FDOT targets or establish their own targets. The FDOT safety targets were recommended.

**Mr. Cook moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolutions BAY 20-01 to adopt targets for Safety Performance Measures. Ms. Jenkins seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

B. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-02 to Adopt the Bay County 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Goals and Objectives – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer stated the LRTP was adopted in June 2016. The next LRTP should be adopted by April 21, 2021. He said the TPO adopted the Scope of Services in July 2019.

Mr. Kramer said at the July 2019 meeting, the TPO approved the steering committee membership. The purpose of the steering committee is to provide detailed reviews of the Long Range Transportation Planning products.

Mr. Kramer advised the updated 2045 LRTP Goals and Objectives were included in the agenda enclosure.

**Ms. Jenkins moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolutions BAY 20-02 to adopt the Bay County 2045 LRTP Goals and Objectives. Mr. Cook seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

C. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-03 Adopting the 2020 Transportation Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside Ranked Projects for FDOT District 3 Fiscal Year 2022-2026 Tentative Work Program – Mr. Cory Wilkinson, HDR Consultant

Mr. Wilkinson explained the TA Program and projects submitted. FDOT administers the program for small scale transportation projects. Only two projects can be submitted by the Bay County TPO for consideration. Applications were due in December 2019. The evaluations were reviewed in January 2020. The final applications are due to FDOT for approval at the end of February 2020.

Mr. Wilkinson said five projects were submitted. Two projects were submitted from Callaway, two from Bay County, and one from Lynn Haven. The highest scored project was the Callaway Cherry Street sidewalks project. The second recommended scored project was the Lynn Haven sidewalk project, Phase One.
Ms. Jenkins moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolutions BAY 20-03 adopting the 2020 TA Set-Aside Ranked Projects for FDOT District 3 Fiscal Year 2022-2026 Tentative Work Program. Mr. Cook seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

D. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-04 Adopting the Bay County TPO Title VI Program Major Update – Ms. Brittany Ellers, ECRC Staff

Ms. Ellers said feedback with recommendations was received on the plan from Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration. The recommendations were incorporated into the update.

Mr. Cook moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolutions BAY 20-04 adopting the Bay County TPO Title VI Program major update. Ms. Jenkins seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

6. FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) UPDATE – Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, FDOT Urban Liaison

Mr. Paulk said the TPO requested FDOT consider a no U-turn sign on southbound Tyndall Parkway at SR 22. FDOT's Traffic Operation Office did look at the situation and FDOT agreed that a “No U-Turn” sign will be installed at that location.

7. PLANNING PRESENTATIONS (no action) - None

A. Review of the Draft Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer said the steering committee met on January 17, 2020 regarding the evaluation criteria and financial resources. The purpose of the evaluation criteria is to rank the LRTP Needs Plan based on the goals and objectives. The steering committee reviewed the goals and objectives. Mr. Kramer reviewed changes reflected in the agenda package.

Mr. Kramer explained what funding will be available under financial resources. Projects can use local, state, or federal transportation dollars to fund the LRTP. He further explained funding sources.
Mr. Kramer said there will be a steering committee meeting March 19, 2020. The evaluation criteria and financial resources will be considered at the meeting. A recommendation will be presented at the April TPO and advisory meetings.

B. Review of the Draft Bay County TPO UPWP for FY 2020/2021 and 2021/2022 – Mr. Cameron Smith, ECRC Staff

Mr. Smith stated that the UPWP defines the task, activities, responsible parties, and anticipated budget for planning activities for FY 2021 and FY 2022. A draft UPWP was sent to the Bay TPO and advisory committees on February 4, 2020. Comments are to be received by March 15, 2020.

8. PLANNING INFORMATION ITEMS

Included in the agenda package were the following:

- TCC and CAC December 2019 Meeting Minutes
- Bay December 2019 Actions Report
- Bay County Chamber Letter January 2020
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW US 231 from 23rd Street to South Pipeline Road
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW for US 231 from US 98 15th Street to 23rd Street
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW and PE Railroad Construction Phase – Project #2179104
- TIP Amendment Letter – Advancing ROW Phase from FY 2022/2023 and 2023/2024 to FY 2019/2020 and adding PE Railroad Construction Phases for Project #2179103
- Bay County TPO 2020 Tentative Meeting Schedule

9. TRANSIT ACTION ITEMS

There were no transit action items.

10. TRANSIT UPDATE – Ms. Angela Bradley, Bay County Staff

No transit update was presented.

11. TRANSIT INFORMATION PACKAGE – None

12. LEGAL UPDATE

There was no legal update.

13. OTHER BUSINESS
The next Bay TPO meeting will be Wednesday, April 22, 2020 at location TBD. The TCC will meet at 11:00 a.m., the CAC will meet at 1:30 p.m., and the TPO will meet at 3:30 p.m.

14. **ADJOURNMENT** - There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 11:25 a.m.
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1. **CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE/INVOCATION**
   
   Chairman Frohlich called the meeting to order.

2. **PUBLIC FORUM**
   
   Chairman Frohlich asked if there were any speakers for the public forum.

   **Lynne Schneider**
   
   Ms. Schneider said in reviewing the internet she came across information from the US Department of Transportation, as the Federal Highway Administration. She said the site addressed context sensitive design and context sensitive solutions. She said the information included the standards the TPO should be using.

   Ms. Schneider said the site indicated that the characteristics of the context sensitive solution is that the project is in harmony with the community and it preserves the environmental, scenic, aesthetic, historical, and natural resource values of the area. She said when the project exceeds the expectations of stakeholders it is perceived as adding lasting value to the community as a whole. She asked how the TPO and advisory committees will ensure that happens.

   Chairman Frohlich said when the projects are reviewed by the TPO, advisory committees, and staff, the public has the opportunity to look at the goals and objectives and that the value to the community is directly addressed. He said every project must pass a test and be scored. He said a copy of the goals and objectives was in the agenda package and asked that a copy be given to Ms. Schneider.

3. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**
   
   Chairman Frohlich advised there was an adjustment to be made to the agenda. He said on the agenda there was not a presentation discussing the US 231/US 98 interchange. He said the CAC would have a discussion on the topic (Enclosure A). Chairman Frohlich said he would ask the CAC members if they would like to make a recommendation to the TPO. He said this item was in conjunction with discussion at the last CAC meeting regarding “malfunction junction.”

   Chairman Frohlich said there was an add-on action item to consider Mr. Larry Messinger’s CAC member application.

   **Mr. Lincoln moved to amend the agenda to include the two add-on items discussed. Mr. Miller seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**
4. PLANNING AND TRANSIT CONSENT AGENDA

   A. Approval of the December 2019 Meeting Minutes

   Chairman Frohlich asked for a motion to approve the December 2019 Meeting Minutes.

   **Mr. Lincoln moved to approve the December 2019 meeting minutes. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. The motion was passed unanimously.**

5. PLANNING ACTION ITEMS

   A. TPO ONLY -Florida Department of Transportation Presentation on U.S. 231 and U.S. 98 Interchange -Mr. Rodney Chamberlain, Design Engineer, FDOT

   Chairman Frohlich said there would be a presentation at the TPO meeting at 3:30 pm. He encouraged CAC members to attend. He stated the TPO wanted to know if the CAC had any recommendation to re-address the PD&E, defer action to the TPO, or whether the CAC would recommend moving forward as planned.

   Mr. Lincoln recommended moving forward as planned.

   Chairman Frohlich said what the CAC recommends won't necessarily have any changes on improvements on the MLK end. He said it was just a matter of whether the CAC was going to open it up to a new PD&E, or whether the CAC was going to move forward on what has already been allocated and decided.

   Mr. Lincoln said it boils down to having the railroad sunken or to have a flyover. He said that was the only issue involved.

   Chairman Frohlich said there was a presentation by the city at their last meeting. He said the city manager and an engineering consultant for the city wanted a “relook” at the new PD&E to do the intersection in a different way. They wanted to limit the flyover portion, essentially eliminate it, and put the train down in a trench. Chairman Frohlich said he was concerned that there have been numerous meetings over several years. He said there was funding committed to the project and that the PD&E is finished. He said if they were to change at this stage, it would cost extended time. He asked Mr. Paulk how long the project could be delayed by starting over with the PD&E phase.
Mr. Paulk said to get another PD&E would involve many more years. He said they would have to start from scratch because the option the city provides is not in accordance with the original PD&E. He said the PD&E process would take two to four years. He said FDOT cannot hold on to the dollars programmed for the project. The dollars would be reallocated to other projects.

Mr. Robinson asked if right-of-way is an issue. Chairman Frohlich said right-of-way is not an issue. Mr. Paulk said any right-of-way that is purchased will likely be able to be used. He said once they take the step back and go to a new PD&E, they will have to stop all expenditures on the project, and suspend activities in process.

Mr. Waddell asked how they make corrections on issues not addressed presently that they want to address later.

Chairman Frohlich said there was concern by local businesses that the flyover would cause them to suffer economically. He said he lives by a flyover presently and the businesses don't appear to be suffering.

Mr. Miller said he understood that if they start over they will have to start the whole project, looking at everything on part one. Mr. Paulk said Mr. Miller was correct. Mr. Paulk said that is the only means they have to look at a new concept. He said it was to protect everyone so that FDOT, or no one else, slips in an alternative at the last minute. He said to comply with the federal requirement, the alternative being proposed would require a new PD&E.

Mr. Pretlow said many participants with great expertise considered the plan, and he thought they did not need to look at it again.

Mr. Robinson said the engineers considered all the options. He asked what direct contact the engineers had with the business owners. He was advised there were workshops and community involvement. Mr. Robinson said he was referring to calling them directly and speaking to businesses on the telephone. He asked how much direct involvement there was in the beginning.

Mr. Paulk said they have criteria that is set up through the federal and state government for the process. He said that mechanism usually involves public outreach. The outreach consists of workshops, emails and postal notifications. There is not adequate staff to personally contact every person potentially impacted. Mr. Paulk said FDOT does approve a PD&E study concept. There are numerous rounds of public involvement for the concept. FDOT then moves into the design phase with a second round of public outreach to the people most likely affected along the corridor. The City of Panama City had raised some concerns. Therefore,
FDOT’s district design engineer and FDOT’s director of production met numerous times with the City to work out some compromises on concerns the City had relating to the new development in downtown Panama City. He said the project has grown and changed from the initial concept that was set forth.

**Mr. Lincoln moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to approve moving forward with the US 231 and US 98 interchange project. Mr. York seconded the motion and it was approved with one opposing vote.**

**B. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-01 to Adopt Targets for Safety Performance Measures – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff**

Mr. Kramer presented. He stated the performance measures were an emerging issue by the Federal Highway Administration during the development of the 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan. The TPO can accept the FDOT targets or establish their own targets.

**Mr. Lincoln moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-01 to adopt FDOT targets for Safety Performance Measures. Mr. York seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

**C. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-02 to Adopt the Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Goals and Objectives – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff**

Mr. Kramer presented. He said the LRTP needs to be adopted by June 22, 2021. He stated the steering committee was approved by the TPO in July 2019. Mr. Kramer reviewed the LRTP goals and objectives changes.

**Mr. Lincoln moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-20 to adopt the Bay County TPO 245 LRTP Goals and Objectives. Mr. York seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

**D. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-03 Adopting the 2020 Transportation Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside Ranked Projects for FDOT District 3 Fiscal Year 2022-2026 Tentative Work Program – Mr. Cory Wilkinson, HDR Consultant**

Mr. Wilkinson advised that the TA Program is a federally funded program administered by FDOT for small scale transportation projects. Applications were reviewed and scored in January 2020 at a workshop.
The workshop concluded that the Callaway Cherry Street project had the highest score and was named the first priority. The second priority was for Lynn Haven which had two projects. Phase One of the Lynn Haven project was designated the second recommended priority.

**Mr. Lincoln moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-03 adopting the 2020 TA Set-Aside Ranked Projects for FDOT District 3 Fiscal Year 2022-2026 Tentative Work Program. Mr. York seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

**E. Consideration of Resolution BAY 20-04 Adopting the Bay County TPO Title VI Program Major Update – Ms. Brittany Ellers, ECRC Staff**

Ms. Ellers said changes were incorporated that were made by Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration. She further reviewed the plan.

**Mr. Lincoln moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution BAY 20-04 adopting the Bay County TPO Title VI Program major update. Mr. York seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

**F. Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) Application – Mr. Larry Messinger Application to Become a CAC Member**

Chairman Frohlich stated that Mr. Messinger requested to become a CAC member.

**Mr. Pretlow moved to approve Mr. Messinger’s application to become a member of the CAC. Mr. York seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.**

**6. FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT) UPDATE – Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, FDOT Urban Liaison**

Mr. Paulk said Commissioner Griggs requested FDOT look at placement a no U-turn sign southbound on Tyndall Parkway at SR 22. The FDOT Traffic Operations office completed the analysis and determined a no U-turn sign is warranted. FDOT will coordinate with local offices to install it.

Chairman Frohlich said the CAC would like to ask for an update on Phase three of the Bay Parkway project, from Clair???????????????????????? to the sports park. He asked that the item be on a future agenda.
Chairman Frohlich referred to the eastbound turn at Moylin onto US 98 and asked for an update. He was told that they are going to put in left and right turn lanes at the US 98 intersection. Mr. Paulk said that was confirmed.

Chairman Frohlich asked what the status is at SR 77 north and SR 79. He said it was supposed to be four lanes a long time ago. Mr. Paulk said he will check and report the status.

Chairman Frohlich asked when the eastbound flyover portion of 23rd Street will be completed. Mr. Paulk said it would be done in the spring of 2020.

Chairman Frohlich left the meeting and Mr. York became the chairman for the remainder of the meeting.

Ms. Stone asked about the left turn lane going westbound off Back Beach Road that goes into the new Culver restaurant. She said there was an accident when someone tried to turn into the restaurant. There are five lanes of traffic, and someone on the outside hit a person. She asked if the left turn lane could be closed. Mr. Lincoln said the third lane shuts down at R Jackson Blvd. and people must merge back in again. Mr. Paulk said FDOT would examine the situation.

Mr. Pretlow referenced feeder roads into US 98, specifically Moylin Road. He said there is an eastbound acceleration lane onto US 98, from the intersection of Moylin Road and US 98. He said there is one lane going north on Moylin Road. He said if a separate lane could be made going north on Moylin Road, the acceleration lane could be taken advantage of. Mr. Paulk verified that a right turn off Moylin Road onto US 98 was requested. Mr. Pretlow said that was correct. Mr. Paulk said FDOT can talk to Panama City Beach staff about the situation.

Mr. Lincoln spoke regarding the two eastbound turn lanes coming out of R Jackson Blvd. onto Back Beach Road. He asked if it would be accommodated soon. Mr. Paulk said FDOT’s safety office is working with Panama City Beach and it is expected to be a near term project.

Mr. Pretlow said two new apartment complexes were built on Wildwood Road, north of US 98. He said there is a CVS drugstore at the corner. He stated there are only two lanes out of there—one is across US 98 and is a left turn lane. He said it would be helpful to also have a right turn lane. Mr. Paulk said the County is working with FDOT on the situation.

**PLANNING PRESENTATIONS** (no action)
A. Review of the Draft Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources - Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer said that the January 2020 steering committee meeting pertained to the evaluation criteria and financial resources. The purpose of the evaluation criteria is to rank the Needs Plan projects based on the goals and objectives. He said the next steering committee a recommendation for the various weights in the evaluation criteria will be developed.

Mr. Kramer reviewed evaluation criteria included in the agenda package and the changes presented therein. He stated projects can use local, state and federal funds. There will not be enough revenue to fund the Needs Plan; therefore, the financial resource projections provided by FDOT are being used to narrow down the Needs Plan to the Cost Feasible Plan. The financial projections provided by FDOT were included in the agenda package. Mr. Kramer further explained projected funding for projects in the future.

Mr. Kramer said that at the March 2020 steering committee meeting a recommended action for the evaluation criteria and financial resources will be developed. The recommendation will be presented at the April 2020 Bay TPO and advisory meetings.

B. Review of the Draft Bay County TPO UPWP for FY 2020/2021 and 2021/2022 - Mr. Cameron Smith, ECRC staff

Mr. Smith said the UPWP defines the tasks, activities, responsible parties, and anticipated budgets for planning activities. A draft of the UPWP was sent to the Bay TPO and advisory committee members on February 4, 2020 and comments are to be received by March 15, 2020.

7. PLANNING INFORMATION ITEMS

Included in the agenda package were the following:

- TCC and CAC December 2019 Meeting Minutes
- Bay December 2019 Actions Report
- Bay County Chamber Letter January 2020
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW US 231 from 23rd Street to South Pipeline Road
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW for US 231 from US 98 15th Street to 23rd Street
- TIP Amendment Letter – ROW and PE Railroad Construction Phase – Project #2179104
• TIP Amendment Letter – Advancing ROW Phase from FY 2022/2023 and 2023/2024 to FY 2019/2020 and adding PE Railroad Construction Phases for Project #2179103
• Bay County TPO 2020 Tentative Meeting Schedule

8. TRANSIT ACTION ITEMS: None

9. TRANSIT UPDATE – Ms. Angela Bradley, Bay County Staff
   Mr. Smith reported there were no transit updates.

10. TRANSIT INFORMATION PACKAGE
    No items included in the agenda package.

11. LEGAL UPDATE – Burke, Blue, Hutchinson, Walters & Smith, P.A.
    There was no legal update.

12. OTHER BUSINESS

    The next Bay County TPO meeting will be Wednesday, April 22, 2020 at location TBD. The TCC will meet at 11:00 a.m., the CAC will meet at 1:30 p.m., and the TPO will meet at 3:30 p.m.

    ***

    Mr. Robinson referred to his dissenting vote on an earlier item (Item 5, A) during the meeting. He commented on Mr. Paulk’s discussion regarding notification of property owners. Mr. Robinson said relating to a possible delay of the project, notification is an important step. He said not everyone being impacted, with the current process, are adequately notified. He stated the right-of-way stage is often the first opportunity to find out about the issue. He said it is disingenuous to the property owner. He said when the CAC discussed this in February 2019 his comment at the time was, “If we know the property owners are going to be likely impacted.” He said a general thing does not have to be done to thousands of people. He said if they know two dozen people are going to be impacted, it doesn’t take much effort at that time, at the very early stages, to notify them so they may have an opportunity to comment. He said for a few people, it is a worthy thing to do.

    Mr. Lincoln said that as a property owner he had been notified by mail.

    Mr. Paulk said impacted people are those that actually have property taken from them for the project. He said there is public outreach during each phase.
13. **ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 2:30 p.m.
MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 25, 2020

TO: Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, FDOT Urban Liaison
Ms. Donna Green, FDOT Urban Liaison

CC: TPO, TCC, and CAC Members

FROM: Cameron Smith, Transportation Program Coordinator

RE: TPO Actions Report – February 5, 2020

The following items were discussed and acted upon by the Bay County Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) at the February 5, 2020 meeting. The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has requested a copy of this report so the appropriate FDOT department directors can take action as requested by the TPO. Copies are sent to local government representatives for coordination with local plans.

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

The TPO unanimously approved the Florida Department of Transportation’s Recommended Changes for the U.S. 231 and U.S. 98 Interchange. This action was recommended by the TPO to FDOT to answer the City of Panama City’s request for project changes at the December 4th, 2019 TPO meeting.

TARGETS FOR SAFETY PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The TPO unanimously approved Resolution BAY 20-01 to Adopt Targets for Safety Performance Measures. This action is recommended to comply with the February 27, 2020 deadline to remain in compliance with the federal and state regulations for transportation planning.

2045 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

The TPO unanimously approved Resolution BAY 20-02 to Adopt the Bay County TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Goals and Objectives. This action was recommended to maintain the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan adoption date of June 22, 2021.

TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES (TA)

The TPO approved Resolution BAY 20-03 to adopt the 2020 Transportation Alternatives (TA) Set-Aside Ranked Projects for FDOT District 3 Fiscal Year 2022-2026 Tentative Work Program. This action was recommended because all requirements of the competitive process have been met.
TITLE IV PROGRAM

The TPO unanimously approved Resolution BAY 20-04 Adopting the Bay County TPO Title VI Program Major Update. This action was recommended to ensure compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Acts of 1964.

CITIZENS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The TPO unanimously approved the Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC) Application from Mr. Larry Messinger. This action was recommended because the applicant met all qualifications for CAC membership and the CAC recommended approval to the TPO board.
For Immediate Release
May 8, 2020

FDOT Announces Second Phase of Critical Infrastructure Project Accelerations
~ More than 40 projects expedited by at least 650 total contract days ~

TALLAHASSEE, Fla. – Under the direction of Governor Ron DeSantis, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) announced a second phase of critical infrastructure projects that have been accelerated throughout the state. Due to continued lower traffic volumes as a result of COVID-19, FDOT expedited more than 40 critical transportation projects by at least 650 total contract days, while also providing essential jobs to Floridians.

“FDOT is proud to continue implementing Governor DeSantis’ forward-thinking directive to accelerate crucial transportation projects and more swiftly enhance the state’s overall transportation system,” said Florida Department of Transportation Secretary Kevin J. Thibault, P.E. “The transportation industry is vital to our economy and is essential as we move forward to re-open Florida. We will continue to accelerate projects as much as possible, while also incorporating the CDC’s safety and sanitation guidelines.”

Following a review of all pending construction projects across all FDOT districts, including the Florida Turnpike Enterprise, FDOT has identified the following additional projects, by region, to be accelerated:

Northwest Florida

- The resurfacing of SR 30A (US 98) from Transmitter Road to SR 30 will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The sidewalk project on SR 30 from E. Lakeshore Dr. to Portside Dr. will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The resurfacing of SR 77 from the end of curb to south of Washington County Line in Bay County will be accelerated by 30 days.
- The widening and resurfacing of SR 368A from east of Moody Ave. to west of SR 368 in Bay County will be accelerated by 21 days.
- The resurfacing of SR 95 from south of CR 184 to SR 97 in Escambia County will be accelerated by 10 days.
- The painting of steel superstructure components and replacement of steel members on the CR 270A Bridge in Gadsden County will be accelerated by 70 days.
- The resurfacing and drainage improvements of SR 79 in Holmes County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.
- The resurfacing and sidewalk work on SR 276 from CR 10A to south of SR 10 in Jackson County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The resurfacing of SR 20 B-Town Hwy, milling and resurfacing, and various improvements in Leon County will be accelerated by 10 days.
- The resurfacing and intersection improvements of SR 263 (US 319) Capital Circle at Stoneler Road in Leon County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The resurfacing of SR 4 from Escambia Rv. Bridge to CR 87A in Santa Rosa County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.
- The widening of SR 30 from Emerald Bay Dr. to Tang-O-Mar Dr. in Walton County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.

Northeast Florida

- The SR 200 capacity improvement project between Rubin Davis Lane to O’Neill Scott Road will be accelerated by 2 months.
- The SR 115 Arlington Expressway sidewalk project will be accelerated 2 to 3 weeks early.

East Central Florida

- Intersection improvements on SR A1A/SR 520 in Brevard County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The resurfacing of SR 472 from US 17-92 to west of I-4 in Volusia County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The resurfacing of SR 200 from 60th Ave. to CR 484 in Marion County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The widening and rehabilitation of SR 414 from I-4 to CR 427 in Orange County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- The resurfacing of SR 551 from south of SR 50 to SR 426 in Orange County will be accelerated by 1 month.

West Central Florida

- Operational improvements on I-275 from west of SR 60 (Exit 39) to Lois Ave. (Exit 40B) in Hillsborough County will be accelerated by 60 days.
- Intersection lighting improvements on SR 688, SR 586 and SR 580 at various locations in Pinellas County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- Intersection lighting improvements on SR 688 between Hamlin Boulevard in Largo and Feather Sound Drive in St. Petersburg in Pinellas County will be accelerated by 1 week.
- Intersection lighting improvements on SR 586 between Alt 19/Bayshore in Dunedin and Tampa Road in Oldsmar in Pinellas County will be accelerated by 1 week.
• The I-75 repaving from the Manatee County Line to CR 672 in Hillsborough County will be accelerated by 1 month.
• The diverging diamond interchange improvement project in Pasco County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.
• The widening and reconstruction of US 19 from Jump Court to Fort Island Trail in Citrus County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.

**Southwest Florida**

• Signal pole foundations, signal poles and roadway work on US 301 at Rutland Road in Manatee County will be accelerated by 3 weeks.
• Signal pole foundations, signal poles and roadway work on SR 37 at Edgewood Drive in Polk County will be accelerated by 1 month.
• The resurfacing of SR 776 from Spinnaker Blvd. to Sunnybrook Blvd. in Charlotte County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.
• The resurfacing of SR 43 from 2nd Avenue to west of 51st Avenue in Manatee County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.
• The safety project on US 301 at Erie Road and Old Tampa Highway in Manatee County will be accelerated by 2 weeks.

**Southeast Florida**

• The I-75 project between the Miami-Dade County Line to Sheridan Street in Broward County will be accelerated by 1 week.
• The bridge-repair/rehabilitation at the I-75 northbound ramp at NW 138 Street in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 2 months.
• Bridge painting at SR 90/US-41/Brickell Avenue over the Miami River in Miami-Dade will be accelerated between 2 to 3 weeks.
• The added turn lanes project at Hialeah Gardens Boulevard from W 80 Street to W 84 Street/NW 138 Street in Miami-Dade will be accelerated between 1 to 2 weeks.
• Rigid pavement reconstruction, bridge replacement, widening, and interchange improvements on SR 9A/I-95 from NW 29 Street to NW 79 Street, SR 836/I-395/from I-95 to Macarthur Bridge, SR 9A/I-95/from NW 8 Street to NW 29 Street, and SR 9A/I-95/SB ramp to WB SR 836 in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 4 weeks.
• The intermodal hub capacity project at the Golden Glades Multimodal Transportation Facility will be accelerated between 2 to 4 weeks.
• The pedestrian safety improvement project on SR 934/Normandy Drive at Rue Vendome in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 2 weeks.
• The SR 112/I-195 ramp project at Alton Road in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 1 to 2 weeks.
• The SR 847/NW 47 Avenue project from N or NW 199 Street to Premier Parkway/N of Turnpike in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 1 week.
• The intersection improvement on SR 7/NW 2 Avenue from NW 7 Avenue to NW 179 Street in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 2 to 3 weeks.
• The resurfacing and intersection improvements on SR 5/US 1/S. Dixie Highway from E of SW 27 Avenue to I-95 and SR 5/US 1 at SW 22 Avenue in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 2 weeks.

• The flexible pavement reconstruction project on SW 312 Street/Campbell Drive from SR 997/Krome Avenue to SR 5/US 1 in Miami-Dade will be accelerated between 4 to 6 weeks.

• The intersection lighting on SR 81/NW 27 Avenue from NW 215 Street to SR 9 and SR 9/NW 27 Avenue from NW 50 Street to NW 22 Avenue in Miami-Dade will be accelerated by 1 week.

• Resurfacing of the Turnpike mainline in St. Lucie county will be accelerated by more than 2 weeks.

###