TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION MEETINGS
PLEASE NOTE TPO, TCC AND CAC MEETING DATES AND TIMES

**Visual Virtual GoToMeeting**

**Monday, July 6, 2020**

**Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC)** - 8:30 a.m.

**Tuesday, July 7, 2020**

**Citizens’ Advisory Committee (CAC)** - 3:00 p.m.

**Wednesday, July 8, 2020**

**Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Board** - 9:00 a.m.

A. **CALL TO ORDER / PLEDGE / INVOCATION/ BOARD MEMBER ROLL CALL** - Chairman Steven Barry

B. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

Any new action items to be added to the agenda must be approved by a vote of two thirds (2/3) of the TPO members present.

C. **PUBLIC FORUM:**

Only board/committee members will able to speak during the meeting, community members participating via phone will be muted during the meeting and are only able to listen. There is opportunity for the public to provide comments however, as we do with all TPO meetings. Comments can be provided in the chat box, by email, or by phone.

D. **FDOT/ALDOT/ECRC UPDATES:**

1. **FDOT UPDATE** Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, or Ms. Christy Johnson, AICP, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Urban Liaisons

2. **ALDOT UPDATE** Mr. Vincent Beebe, P.E., Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT)

E. **CONSENT:**

1. **ALL COMMITTEES** Approval of May 2020 Meeting Minutes

2. **TPO ONLY** Consideration of the Membership Certification for the Escambia County and Santa Rosa County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Boards – Mr. Howard Vanselow, ECRC Staff
3. **ALL COMMITTEES** Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-16 Adopting the East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) from US 98 to SR 87 and Endorsing Implementation of Transportation Strategies and Projects Identified in the Plan – *Ms. Caitlin Cerame, AICP, ECRC Staff*

F. **ACTION:**

1. **ENCLOSURE A – ALL COMMITTEES (TPO ROLL CALL VOTE & PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED)** Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-12 to adopt the Florida-Alabama TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and authorize TPO to administratively amend the FY 2021-2025 TIP to include the projects in FDOT’s Variance Report – *Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff*

2. **ENCLOSURE B – ALL COMMITTEES** Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-13 to adopt the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document – *Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff*

3. **ENCLOSURE C - ALL COMMITTEES** Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-14 to adopt the Florida-Alabama TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Needs Plan – *Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff*

4. **ENCLOSURE D – ALL COMMITTEES** Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-15 to adopt the Regional Rural Transportation Plan – *Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC CEO/ Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff*

G. **MULTI MODAL UPDATE**

H. **PRESENTATIONS** (no action):

1. **ENCLOSURE E – ALL COMMITTEES** Review of Florida-Alabama TPO FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities – *Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff*

I. **INFORMATION ITEMS** (no presentation necessary)

1. **ENCLOSURE F - ALL COMMITTEES**

   - TCC and CAC May Meeting Minutes
   - FL-AL TPO May 2020 Actions Report
   - Letter from Secretary Gainer to FL-AL TPO – Theo Baars Bridge
   - National Transit Database Narrative Report
   - FL-AL TPO 2020 Schedule
J. OTHER BUSINESS - The next Florida-Alabama TPO meeting will be Wednesday, September 9, 9:00 a.m., Location TBD. Advisory committee meetings: The TCC will meet on Tuesday, September 7, 2020 at 8:30 a.m. The CAC will meet on Tuesday, September 7, 2020 at 3:00 p.m., Location TBD.

J. ADJOURNMENT

Stay up to date with TPO events and activities on Facebook at www.Facebook.com/EmeraldCoastRegionalCouncil or by subscribing to the Florida-Alabama TPO Interested Parties list: http://eepurl.com/dlszQT

Questions? Email Mr. Cameron Smith, TPO Coordinator at cameron.smith@ecrc.org

Public participation is solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, disability or family status. Reasonable accommodations for access will be made in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and for languages other than English. Please notify Ms. Brittany Ellers of requirements at 850-332-7976, ext. 220 or 1-800-955-8771 for TTY-Florida at least 48 hours in advance.

Introduzca la participación del público se solicita, sin distinción de raza, color, origen nacional, sexo, edad, religión, discapacidad o estado familiar. La OPC hará arreglos razonables para el acceso a esta reunión de acuerdo con el Americans with Disabilities Act, y para los requisitos de idioma que no seanIngles.Notifique a la Ada Clark (Ada.Clark@ecrc.org) de los requisitos de acceso o el idioma en el 850-332-7976 ext. 227 o 1-800-955-8771 para TTY-Florida al menos 48 horas de antelación.
CONSENT AGENDA
CONSENT AGENDA
ALL COMMITTEES

FOR APPROVAL UNDER CONSENT:

1) **ALL COMMITTEES**: Approval of May 2020 Meeting Minutes
2) **TPO ONLY**: Consideration of the Membership Certification for the Escambia County and Santa Rosa County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Boards
3) **ALL COMMITTEES**: Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-16 Adopting the East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) from US 98 to SR 87 and Endorsing Implementation of Transportation Strategies and Projects Identified in the Plan
**FLORIDA - ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION**

**TPO MEETING MINUTES**

**EMERALD COAST REGIONAL COUNCIL (Designated staff)**

**Virtual Via GoTo Meeting**

**May 13, 2020**

**Members in Attendance:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sam Parker, Chairman</td>
<td>Santa Rosa County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven Barry, Vice Chairman</td>
<td>Escambia County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherry Fitch</td>
<td>Gulf Breeze Mayor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Ellen Johnson</td>
<td>Milton City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Johnson</td>
<td>Orange Beach City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doug Underhill</td>
<td>Escambia County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumon May</td>
<td>Escambia County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Hill</td>
<td>Pensacola City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewel Cannada-Wynn</td>
<td>Pensacola City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Salter</td>
<td>Santa Rosa County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Cole</td>
<td>Santa Rosa County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Lynchard</td>
<td>Santa Rosa County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Piech</td>
<td>Santa Rosa County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Bender</td>
<td>Escambia County Commission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Members Not in Attendance:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. C. WU</td>
<td>Pensacola City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Gruber</td>
<td>Baldwin County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Bergosh</td>
<td>Escambia County Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jared Moore</td>
<td>Pensacola City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Jerralds</td>
<td>Pensacola City Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryant Paulk</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christy Johnson</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vince Beebe</td>
<td>ALDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Sherman</td>
<td>FHWA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Blackwood</td>
<td>ALDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Forte</td>
<td>Escambia County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakkiiyah Osuigwe</td>
<td>Santa Rosa County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Waters</td>
<td>Gulf Breeze</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark D. Wright</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colby Brown</td>
<td>Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Owens</td>
<td>BOCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scott Mosely  ALDOT
Thomas McLendon  Mott MacDonald
Lawrence S. Powell  City of Pensacola
Terri Malone  Escambia County
Cory Wilkinson  HDR
Dan Schebler  Santa Rosa County
Teresa Hill  Studio 850
Mike Ziarnek  City of Pensacola
Clara Long  Escambia County CRA
Lynn Mott  WRC
Herimiose D.  FHWA
Charles Loyed  Mott MacDonald
Rand Hicks  CNAPP
D Owens  City of Pensacola
Ryan Novota  City of Pensacola
Drew Wright  Santa Rosa County
Jim Little  Pensacola News Journal
Sherry Duffey  CRA
Lee Anne Winchester  WRC
Tery Horne  Civic Con
Geraldine Sanders  Citizen
Glenn Sanders  Citizen
Tommy White  Citizen
Evon Horton  Citizen
Joh Fields  Citizen
Mike Kilmer  Citizen
Teresa Hill  Citizen
Rivka Kilmer  Citizen
Peggy Fowler  Habitat for Humanity
Ron Helms  Citizen
Glenn Conrad  Navy Blvd. Warrington Revitalization
Josh Fields  Citizen
Jame Calkins  Citizen

EMERALD COAST REGIONAL COUNCIL STAFF
Austin Mount
Brittany Ellers
Caitlin Cerame
Cameron Smith
Gabrielle Merritt
Gary Kramer
Jill Lavender
Mary Beth Washnock
A. CALL TO ORDER / PLEDGE / INVOCATION – BOARD MEMBER ROLL CALL –
Chairman Sam Parker

Chairman Parker called the meeting to order and led the prayer and pledge.

Roll Call
Commissioner Parker
Councilman Johnson
Commissioner Underhill
Commissioner May
Commissioner Berry
Commissioner Bender
Councilwoman Cannada-Wynn
Councilwoman Hill
Commissioner Salter
Commissioner Lynchard
Commissioner Cole
Commissioner Parker
Commissioner Piech

Councilwoman Johnson
Mayor Fitch

B. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Ms. Washnock stated that she would like to add an item to the agenda to appoint a chairman and vice chairman to the FL-AL TPO board.

Commissioner Underhill moved to approve the FL-AL TPO February 2019 agenda. Councilwoman Hill seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

C. PUBLIC FORUM

Ms. Washnock stated that there were no members from the public wanting to speak. She stated that only board/committee members will be able to speak during the meeting. Community members participating via phone will be muted during the meeting and are only able to listen. She stated there is opportunity for the public to provide comments however, as we do with all TPO meetings. Comments can be provided in the chat box, by email, or by phone.
D. FDOT/ALDOT UPDATES:

1. FDOT UPDATE Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, or Ms. Christy Johnson, AICP, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Urban Liaisons.

Chairman Parker stated that there were no new updates from FDOT and that Mr. Paulk was available if there were any questions.

Commissioner Underhill asked that Mr. Paulk would send him an update offline of the project at the FL-AL line, crosswalk and pedestrian improvements at former 292.

Mr. Paulk stated that he will send Commissioner Underhill those updates.

2. ALDOT UPDATE Mr. Vincent Beebe, P.E., Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT)

Mr. Beebe gave an update on the widening of SR-180 from Foley Beach Express to west of SR-181. He stated that there are currently 5 lanes open. He reported that they are currently working on the south side starting at the east end of the project. He stated that there is additional utility and drainage work in progress. He stated that completion is expected at the end of the year 2020.

Mr. Beebe reported that ALDOT is currently finalizing design for the new roadway and bridge from SR-180 to the Foley Beach Express. He stated that it is currently being divided into 2 projects. He stated that ALDOT is finalizing the design for both projects and completing some ROW acquisition. He stated that ALDOT is also requiring some environmental permits and clearances. He stated that the anticipated construction would start in the fall of 2020.

Mr. Beebe reported on the CR-99 shoulder widening from Carrier Dr. to Spanish Cove Dr. South. He reported that design has started, and that construction is anticipated to be started in the fall of 2020.

Mr. Mount updated that Emerald Coast Regional Council and TPOs have been able to continue to work.

Mr. Mount presented data that was pulled from ATMS system.

Mayor Fitch asked if the decrease in traffic count on the graphs was due to the current stay at home period.

Mr. Mount stated that yes that was correct.
Commissioner Bender asked if the data is being used from the current traffic count strips place on the roads.

Mr. Paulk stated that the DOT is not actively taking any traffic counts at this time.

Mr. Mount stated that currently a dashboard is being made that will be accessible on ECRC website.

E. CONSENT:
   1. ALL COMMITTEES Approval of February 2020 Meeting Minutes.

   Commissioner Underhill moved to approve the February 2020 FL-AL TCC meeting minutes. Mayor Fitch seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

F. ACTION:
   1. ENCLOSURE A- TPO ONLY Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-10 Authorizing Emergency Approvals Under a Declared County, State or Federal State of Emergency to Ensure Compliance with State and Federal Requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; Repealing all Resolutions in Conflict; Providing an Effective Date – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC Chief Executive Officer

   Mr. Mount presented. There were no comments or questions

   Commissioner Underhill moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-10 Authorizing Emergency Approvals Under a Declared County, State or Federal State of Emergency to Ensure Compliance with State and Federal Requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; Repealing all Resolutions in Conflict; Providing an Effective Date. Mayor Fitch seconded the motion. The motion was unanimously approved.

   2. ENCLOSURE B – TPO ONLY Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-09 Approving Entering an Interlocal Agreement with the Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC), the Okaloosa-Walton TPO, the Bay County TPO, Washington County, and Holmes County Designating the ECRC Board as the Designated Regional Transportation Area to Serve the Regional Needs of Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Bay, Washington, and Holmes Counties, per section 339, Florida Statute – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC Chief Executive Officer

   Mr. Mount presented. There were no comments or questions.
Commissioner Lynchard moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-01 to adopt targets for Safety Performance Measures. Commissioner Piech seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

3. ENCLOSURE C - TPO ONLY TPO ONLY Approval of Resolution FL-AL 20-08 Amending the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019/2020 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to De-obligate Funds in the Amounts of $216,467 (PL Funds), $53,500 (SU Funds), and $87,500 (SA-LRTP Funds) – Ms. Mary Beth Washnock, ECRC Transportation Manager

Ms. Washnock presented.

Mr. Mount commented that federal highway uses the term deobligate to mean that the funds will be distributed somewhere else. He explained that the funds are still the TPO funds and are being moved into another FY.

Commissioner Bender moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-03 to adopt the Florida Alabama TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources. Mayor Fitch seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

4. ENCLOSURE D - ALL COMMITTEES Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-07 to Adopt the Congestion Management Process Major Update – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer presented. There were not comments or questions.

Councilwoman Hill moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-07 adopting the Congestion Management Process Major Update. Commissioner Piech seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

5. ENCLOSURE E – TPO ONLY Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-11 Reaffirming the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement – Ms. Jill Lavender, ECRC Staff

Ms. Lavender presented. There were no comments or questions.

Mayor Fitch moved to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-11 Reaffirming the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and
Councilwoman Hill seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

G. MULTI MODAL UPDATE

H. PRESENTATIONS (no action):
   1. ENCLOSURE F – ALL COMMITTEES Review of Draft Florida-Alabama TPO 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

   Mr. Kramer presented. There were no comments or questions.

   2. ENCLOSURE G - ALL COMMITTEES Review of the Draft East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) – Ms. Caitlin Cerame, AICP, ECRC Staff

   Ms. Cerame presented.

   Commissioner Piech commended the team for doing a great job on the project.

   3. ENCLOSURE H - ALL COMMITTEES Review of the Draft FL-AL TPO 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

   Mr. Kramer presented and asked that all comments be submitted by May 20, 2020.

I. INFORMATION ITEMS:
   1. ENCLOSURE J - ALL COMMITTEES
      • TCC and CAC February Meeting Minutes
      • FL-AL TPO February 2020 Actions Report
      • Santa Rosa County Letter
      • FL-AL TPO FY 21-22 UPWP Update

J. OTHER BUSINESS- The next Florida-Alabama TPO meeting will be Wednesday, July 8, 2020 at 9:00 a.m., Location TBD. Florida. Advisory committee meetings: The TCC will
meet on Monday 6, 2020 at 8:30 a.m. The CAC will meet on Tuesday, July 7, 2020 at 3:00 p.m. Location TBD.

K. ADJOURNMENT

*The meeting was adjourned at 10:18 A.M.*
CONSENT AGENDA ITEM #2
TPO ONLY

SUBJECT: Consideration of Membership Certification for the Escambia County and Santa Rosa County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Boards

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: Chapter 427, Florida Statutes and Rule 41-2, Florida Administrative Code

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: In compliance with Rule 41-2, the Designated Official Planning Agency (the TPO) appoints members to the Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Boards for counties within the TPO planning boundary. The Escambia County and Santa Rosa County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Boards request that the TPO certify the Escambia County and Santa Rosa County board memberships.

Attached are the following:
- Membership Certification for Escambia County
- Membership Certification for Santa Rosa County

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO chairman to sign the Escambia County and Santa Rosa County Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Board Membership Certifications. This action is recommended to maintain the function of the Transportation Disadvantaged Coordinating Boards in Escambia County and Santa Rosa County. Please contact Mr. Howard Vanselow, ECRC staff, at 800-266-8914, Extension 231 or howard.vanselow@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
NAME: FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION  
ADDRESS: P. O. Box 11399, Pensacola, FL 32524

The Metropolitan Planning Organization named above hereby certifies to the following:

1. The membership of the Local Coordinating Board, established pursuant to Rule 41-2.012(3), FAC, does in fact represent the appropriate parties as identified in the following list; and
2. The membership represents, to the maximum extent feasible, a cross section of the local community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPRESENTATION</th>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>ALTERNATE</th>
<th>TERM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Chair (Elected Official &amp; Vice Chair)</td>
<td>Lumon May</td>
<td>John Clark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Florida Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Toni Prough</td>
<td>Zachary Balassone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Department of Children and Families</td>
<td>Randy Fleming</td>
<td>Susan King</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Local Public Education</td>
<td>Twyla Akkerman</td>
<td>Jennifer Repine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Florida Department of Education</td>
<td>Carol Archie</td>
<td>Kimberly Pough</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Veteran Services</td>
<td>Mark Brooks</td>
<td>Steve Davis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Community Action</td>
<td>David Powell</td>
<td>Agency Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Elderly</td>
<td>John Clark</td>
<td>Ann Brown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Disabled</td>
<td>Paul Viksne</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) Citizen Advocate/User</td>
<td>Cynthia Barnes</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11) Citizen Advocate</td>
<td>Sarah Johnson</td>
<td>Barbara Mayall</td>
<td>2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12) Children at Risk</td>
<td>Bruce Watson</td>
<td>Anne Cynkar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13) Mass/Public Transit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14) Department of Elder Affairs</td>
<td>Voncile Goldsmith</td>
<td>Amber McCool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15) Private Transportation Industry</td>
<td>Michelle Apperson</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16) Agency for Health Care Administration</td>
<td>John Vinski</td>
<td>Provider Unit Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(17) Agency for Person with Disabilities</td>
<td>Annette Zeeb</td>
<td>Agency Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18) Local Medical Community</td>
<td>Cheryl Henrichs</td>
<td>Wendy Perry</td>
<td>2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19) Workforce Development Board</td>
<td>Marcus McBride</td>
<td>Eric Flora</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIGNATURE: ___________________________________________     TITLE: FL-AL TPO Chairman

DATE: July 8, 2020
The Metropolitan Planning Organization named above hereby certifies to the following:
1. The membership of the Local Coordinating Board, established pursuant to Rule 41-2.012(3), FAC, does in fact represent the appropriate parties as identified in the following list; and
2. The membership represents, to the maximum extent feasible, a cross section of the local community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REPRESENTATION</th>
<th>MEMBER</th>
<th>ALTERNATE</th>
<th>TERM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Chair (Elected Official &amp; Vice Chair)</td>
<td>Dave Piech</td>
<td>Amber McCool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Florida Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Toni Prough</td>
<td>Zachary Balassone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Department of Children &amp; Families</td>
<td>Randy Fleming</td>
<td>Susan King</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Local Public Education</td>
<td>Karen Barber</td>
<td>Agency Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Florida Department of Education</td>
<td>Catherine Casler</td>
<td>Agency Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Veteran Services</td>
<td>Karen Haworth</td>
<td>Laurie Schultz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7) Community Action</td>
<td>Laura Gilliam</td>
<td>Agency Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8) Elderly</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9) Disabled</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10) Citizen Advocate</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12) Children at Risk</td>
<td>Melissa Stuckey</td>
<td>Sandy Peloke</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(13) Mass/Public Transit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(14) Department of Elder Affairs</td>
<td>Amber McCool</td>
<td>Sharon Searcy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15) Private Transportation Industry</td>
<td>Michelle Apperson</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>2019-2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16) Agency for Health Care Administration</td>
<td>John Vinski</td>
<td>Provider Unit Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(17) Agency for Person with Disabilities</td>
<td>Annette Zeeb</td>
<td>Agency Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18) Workforce Development Board</td>
<td>Marcus McBride</td>
<td>Eric Flora</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19) Local Medical Community</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature: ________________________________  Title: FL-AL TPO Chairman

Date: July 8, 2020
CONSENT ITEM #3
ALL COMMITTEES

SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-16 Adopting the East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) from US 98 to SR 87 and Endorsing Implementation of Transportation Strategies and Projects Identified in the Plan

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: Task D.1 of the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: The UPWP, which describes the planning work tasks and budget for each TPO fiscal year, includes Task D.1, Corridor Management Planning. The purpose of corridor management planning is to identify strategies and projects of varying cost to improve traffic flow and safety for all modes of travel.

The TPO adopted the scope of services for a CMP for East Bay Boulevard from US 98 to SR 87 on July 10, 2019.

The scope of services outlined the purpose of the CMP to be identification of operational and safety improvements and to set priorities needed to support all modes of transportation, including roadway capacity and bicycle and pedestrian movements.

The final East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) draft and appendices can be found at https://www.ecrc.org/programs/transportation_planning/plans_and_documents/index.php#outer-147sub-771 under the East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan drop down heading. Paper copies of the draft document will be available upon request. No significant changes were made to the draft plan other than additional language on page 23 highlighting Santa Rosa County’s intention to build a sidewalk on Edgewood Drive, which will connect to the proposed enhanced pedestrian crossing at the intersection. The appendices include all public comments, traffic data, and synchronization reports.

The draft plan was available for review between April 29, 2020 - May 27, 2020, during which time staff received two comments via email. These comments, along with the Florida-Alabama Citizens’ Advisory Committee recommendations are provided as an attachment.

Attached are the following:
- Resolution FL-AL 20-16
- Map of East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) Project Limits
- Comments Received During Public Comment Period

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion authorizing the TPO chairman to sign Resolution 20-16 adopting the East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan from US 98 to SR 87 and endorsing the implementation of transportation strategies and projects identified in the plan. Please contact Ms. Caitlin Cerame, ECRC staff, at 850-332-7976, Extension 203 or caitlin.cerame@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
RESOLUTION FL-AL 20-16
A RESOLUTION OF THE FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION ADOPTING THE EAST BAY BOULEVARD CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT PLAN

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the organization designated by the governors of Florida and Alabama as being responsible, together with the states of Florida and Alabama, for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Florida-Alabama TPO planning area; and

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama TPO Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) includes tasks for development of a Corridor Management Plan (CMP) for each fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, the TPO selected East Bay Boulevard, from US 98 to SR 87 for a CMP; and

WHEREAS, the TPO Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) includes $1,500,000 per year for implementation of projects identified in CMPs, which are plans for low cost strategies and projects to improve traffic flow and safety for all modes of travel along a corridor; and

WHEREAS, the East Bay Boulevard CMP identifies strategies and projects to improve traffic flow and safety for all modes of travel along the corridor, based on a study process that included an analysis of existing and future safety and travel capacity needs, and local stakeholder review and recommendations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The TPO adopts the East Bay Boulevard CMP and endorses implementation of transportation strategies and projects identified in the plan.

Passed and duly adopted by the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization on this 8th day of July 2020.

FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: ____________________________
Steven Barry, Chairman

ATTEST: ___________________
### Comments Received During Draft Report Review & Public Comment Period:
**April 29, 2020 – May 27, 2020**

1. I don’t see a roundabout at the intersection of Bergren as discussed at the last meeting. Was that not included in the recommendations? It is becoming a major cross street.

2. Thanks for the draft document. In addition to slowing traffic at the approaches to US 98 and SR 87, I would suggest that you recommend slowing traffic at the curves between the crosswalks at Edgewood Dr. and Brighton Oaks Blvd.

### Florida-Alabama TPO and Advisory Committee Recommendations during Draft Review Presentation in May 2020

1. Florida-Alabama Citizen Advisory Committee Member: The shared-use path would benefit from a landscaping design with trees to provide shade for path users.

2. Florida-Alabama Citizen Advisory Committee Member: The center of the proposed roundabout improvements can be used to showcase public art.
ENCLOSURE A
ENCLOSURE A
ALL COMMITTEES

SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-12 to Adopt the Florida-Alabama TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Authorize TPO to Administratively Amend the FY 2021-2025 TIP to Include the Projects in FDOT's Variance Report (TPO ROLL CALL VOTE AND PUBLIC HEARING REQUIRED)

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: 23 Code of Federal Regulations Section 134(j), Chapter 339.175 (8) Florida Statutes, Florida–Alabama TPO Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Task C.1

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: Provide regionally significant projects that have dedicated local funds between July 1, 2020 and June 30, 2025.

BACKGROUND: The TPO updates and adopts the TIP each year for submittal to FDOT, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Projects within the urbanized area must appear in the TIP in order to receive state and federal funding. ALDOT only requires the TIP to be adopted every four years. However, since Florida adopts their TIPs annually, Alabama projects are also included annually in the Florida-Alabama TIP.

The FY 2021-2025 TIP process began in July 2019 when Project Priorities were adopted by the TPO and then submitted to FDOT. ALDOT does not require a separate Project Priority document. Priorities for ALDOT are required to only be submitted in the TIP. The Project Priorities are then used to develop the FDOT FY 2021-2025 Tentative Five-Year Work Program. The Tentative Five-Year Work Program is the primary source of information for the TIP. The FDOT Work Program and the TIP are consistent with the TPO's adopted priorities to the extent feasible. Projects are based on FDOT maintenance requirements, the TPO Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), Transportation Systems Management (TSM) studies, Transportation Alternatives (TA) Project Priorities, and Aviation, Port, and Transit Master Plans. Projects listed in the TIP are subject to amendment as necessary by the TPO at any time during the year. The TIP has been developed through coordination with FDOT, ALDOT, and local governments.

The draft Florida-Alabama TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program will be posted online prior to the July 2020 TPO and Advisory Committee Meetings. An e-mail with the draft TIP link on the TPO web site will be sent to the TPO and Advisory Committee Members. The draft Florida-Alabama TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program is accessible by clicking on the following link

https://www.ecrc.org/programs/transportation_planning/plans_and_documents/index.php#outer-140

The following is the Public Involvement element for the Transportation Improvement Program.

- Provide reasonable public access to technical and policy information used
- Provide adequate public notice of public involvement activities and time for public review and comment at key decisions, such as but not limited to the approval of the TIP
- Demonstrate explicit consideration and response to public input received during plan development process
- Make the TIP drafts and final documents available on the TPO website at, www.ecr.org, a print copy of the current plan is available upon request
Hold public meetings at convenient times and accessible locations
Seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, including but not limited to, low-income and minority households
Coordinate with the statewide transportation planning public involvement and consultation processes under 23 C.F.R. Subpart B, as described in the FDOT MPO Program Handbook
Periodically review the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the participation plan
When significant written and oral comments are received on a draft TIP as a result of public involvement, a summary, analysis, and report on the disposition of comments shall be made part of the final documents
If the final TIP differs significantly from the one made available for public comment or raises new material issues, an additional opportunity for public comment must be made available
When the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) includes Indian Tribal Lands, the TPO shall appropriately involve the Indian Tribal Government(s)
When the MPA includes federal public lands, the TPO shall appropriately involve the federal government

https://www.ecrc.org/programs/transportation_planning/plans_and_documents/index.php#outer-140

Attached are the following:
- Resolution FL-AL 20-12
- Agency Comments
- FDOT’s Variance Report

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO Chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-12 to adopt the FY2021-2025 TIP with any changes that may have been presented and authorize TPO to administratively amend the FY 2021-2025 TIP to include the projects in FDOT’s Variance Report. This alternative is recommended because the TIP has been developed in coordination with ALDOT, FDOT and local governments and is consistent with the TPO Long Range Transportation Plan, Transportation Systems Management Priorities, Transportation Alternatives Program Project Priorities, and Aviation, Port and Transit Master Plans. The TIP is required to be submitted to FDOT by July 15, 2020. For more information, please contact Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC staff, at gary.kramer@ecrc.org or at (850) 332-7976 Ext. 219.
WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the organization designated by the Governors of Florida and Alabama as being responsible, together with the States of Florida and Alabama, for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Florida-Alabama TPO Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is adopted annually by the TPO and submitted to the Governors of the State of Florida and State of Alabama, to the Federal Transit Administration, and through the States of Florida and Alabama to the Federal Highway Administration; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is developed in accordance with 23 Code of Federal Regulations 450 Section 134(j) and Section 339.175(8) Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the TIP is periodically amended to maintain consistency with the Florida and Alabama Department of Transportation’s Work Programs; and

WHEREAS, authorization for federal funding of projects within an urbanized area cannot be obtained unless the projects are included in the TPO’s TIP; and

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) believes that the TIP will support the performance targets established by the states and supported by the TPO.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The TPO adopts the FY2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program, with any changes that may have been presented.

Passed and duly adopted by the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization on this 8th day of July 2020.

FLORIDA- ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: __________________________
    Steven Barry, Chairman

ATTEST: ___________________
Florida Department of Transportation

1. The TPO may want to add the 23 Code of Federal Regulations 450.322(b) in the “Consistency with Other Plans“ or “Congestion Management Process“ Section.

   Reference to 23 Code of Federal Regulations 450.322(b) has been added to the “Consistency with Other Plans“ Section.

2. The TPO may want to reference the specific Port and Airport plans in the “Consistency“ Section.

   Port of Pensacola and Pensacola International Airport Master Plans have been added to the “Consistency with Other Plans“ Section.

Alabama Department of Transportation

1. The TIP looks good and the projects match what is in CPMS.

   Comment noted.
## FDOT VARIANCE REPORT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>446753-1</td>
<td>MILTON OPERATIONS CENTER - EMERGENCY GENERATOR COMPONENTS REPLACEMENT</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>FIXED CAPITAL OUTLAY</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446753-2</td>
<td>MILTON OPERATIONS CENTER - HVAC UNIT REPLACEMENT</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>FIXED CAPITAL OUTLAY</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437178-1</td>
<td>SR 289 9TH AVENUE OVER CARPENTERS CREEK BRIDGE 480092</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>ESCAMBA</td>
<td>BRIDGE REPLACEMENT</td>
<td>.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442749-1</td>
<td>SR 8 (I-10) OVER SR 10 (US 90A) NINE MILE RD BRIDGE NO. 460061</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>ESCAMBA</td>
<td>BRIDGE REPLACEMENT</td>
<td>.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 446753-1: Add project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 446753-2: Add project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 437178-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>66,476</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>27,020</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>793,571</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>793,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>23,838</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>990,600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>990,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,785,066</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,785,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16,737</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16,737</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 442749-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1,760,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,760,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 442749-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Item 442749-1:
Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

#### FL-AL TPO July 2020 Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442749-1</td>
<td>SR 8 (I-10) OVER CR 191 GARCON POINT ROAD BRIDGES 58000 &amp; 580075 <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION</td>
<td>$9,143,160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442749-1</td>
<td>SR 8 (I-10) OVER CR 191 GARCON POINT ROAD BRIDGES 58000 &amp; 580075 <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION</td>
<td>$9,143,160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442749-1</td>
<td>SR 8 (I-10) OVER CR 191 GARCON POINT ROAD BRIDGES 58000 &amp; 580075 <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>BRIDGE-REPAIR/REHABILITATION</td>
<td>$9,143,160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Item 442915-1:
Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

#### FL-AL TPO July 2020 Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442915-1</td>
<td>SR 30 (US 98) FROM BAYSHORE ROAD TO PORTSIDE DRIVE <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>ADD LANES &amp; RECONSTRUCT</td>
<td>$10,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442915-1</td>
<td>SR 30 (US 98) FROM BAYSHORE ROAD TO PORTSIDE DRIVE <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>ADD LANES &amp; RECONSTRUCT</td>
<td>$10,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442915-1</td>
<td>SR 30 (US 98) FROM BAYSHORE ROAD TO PORTSIDE DRIVE <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>ADD LANES &amp; RECONSTRUCT</td>
<td>$10,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### RIGHT OF WAY / MANAGED BY FDOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442915-1</td>
<td>SR 30 (US 98) FROM BAYSHORE ROAD TO PORTSIDE DRIVE <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>ADD LANES &amp; RECONSTRUCT</td>
<td>$10,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### RAILROAD & UTILITIES / MANAGED BY FDOT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Total Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>442915-1</td>
<td>SR 30 (US 98) FROM BAYSHORE ROAD TO PORTSIDE DRIVE <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>ADD LANES &amp; RECONSTRUCT</td>
<td>$10,280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
220440-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number: 222476-1</th>
<th>Project Description: SR 8 (I-10) @ SR 95 (US 29) INTERCHANGE <em>SIS</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District: 03</td>
<td>County: ESCAMBIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work: INTERCHANGE - ADD LANES</td>
<td>Project Length: 5.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP</td>
<td>267,134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI -ST - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY</td>
<td>31,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN - HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>221,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td>340,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMR - GROWTH MANAGEMENT FOR SIS</td>
<td>552,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHAC - NH (AC/REGULAR)</td>
<td>1,300,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP - IM BRDG REPL, NATNL HWY - MAP21</td>
<td>8,185,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT OF WAY / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRN - INTRASTATE RW &amp; BRIDGE BONDS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI - ST - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN - HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STED - 2012 SB1063 - STRATEGIC ECON COR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAILROAD &amp; UTILITIES / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI - ST - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td>100,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DI - ST - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMR - GROWTH MANAGEMENT FOR SIS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STED - 2012 SB1063 - STRATEGIC ECON COR</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Totals:**
222476-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.
### 431883-6: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item Number: 431883-6</td>
<td>Project Description: SR 30 (US 98) CORRIDOR MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS</td>
<td>District: 03</td>
<td>County: SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>Type of Work: MISCELLANEOUS CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>Project Length: 12.253</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT**

- ACSU-ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SU): 35,101
- DDS-STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS & PTO: 37,228
- SU-STOP URBAN AREAS > 200K: 303,719

**CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT**

- SU-STOP URBAN AREAS > 200K: 0, 1,123,370, 0, 0

**Totals:**

- 35,101
- 37,228
- 303,719
- 1,123,370
- 1,499,418

### 433113-1: Prior Cost increase; Total Project Cost increase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item Number: 433113-1</td>
<td>Project Description: SR 8 (I-10) @ CR 99 BEULAH ROAD</td>
<td>District: 03</td>
<td>County: ESCAMBIA</td>
<td>Type of Work: INTERCHANGE JUSTIFICA/MODIFICA</td>
<td>Project Length: 3.087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P D & E / MANAGED BY FDOT**

- ACSU-ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SU): 333,381
- DDR-DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE: 20,438
- DIH-STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT: 106,148
- GFSU-GF STPBC >200 (URBAN): 371,577
- SU-STOP URBAN AREAS > 200K: 1,331,420

**PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT**

- ACNP-ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP: 2,376,239
- DDS-STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS & PTO: 101,560
- NHPP-JM, BRDG REPL, NATNL HWY-MAP21: 373,826

**RIGHT OF WAY / MANAGED BY FDOT**

- ACNP-ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP: 0
- DI-ST. - S/W INTER/INTRASTATE HWY: 0

**ENVIRONMENTAL / MANAGED BY FDOT**

- DDR-DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE: 0, 1,920,000, 0, 1,920,000

**Totals:**

- 333,381
- 20,438
- 106,148
- 371,577
- 1,331,420
- 2,376,239
- 101,560
- 373,826
- 5,013,636
- 1,920,000
- 6,400,000
- 16,433,636
**433577-1**: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SR 296 MICHIGAN AVE FROM SR 10A (US 90) MOBILE HWY TO DENVER AVENUE</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>ESCAMBIA</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>20,519</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**443769-1**: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SR 10A (US 90) W CERVANTES ST FROM DOMINGUEZ ST TO A STREET</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>ESCAMBIA</td>
<td>PEDESTRIAN SAFETY IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>2.245</td>
<td>131,785</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### 439964-1:

Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>439964-1</td>
<td>SR 292 SORRENTO @ CR 292A INNERARITY POINT INTERSECTION <em>NON-SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>ESCAMBIA</td>
<td>INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>.313</td>
<td>317,323</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>317,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
<td>317,323</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>317,323</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>42,695</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42,695</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td>105,882</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>105,882</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT OF WAY / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
<td>726,751</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>726,751</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>40,626</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40,626</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td>4,173</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAILROAD &amp; UTILITIES / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF - LOCAL FUNDS</td>
<td>482,983</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>482,983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,898,546</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,898,546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16,864</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16,864</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td>3,435</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 439964-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals:</td>
<td>1,240,885</td>
<td>2,398,393</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,639,278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 441882-1:

Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>Project Length</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>441882-1</td>
<td>SR 292 SORRENTO RD @ CR 293 BAUER RD INTERSECTION <em>NON-SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td>ESCAMBIA</td>
<td>INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>.290</td>
<td>372,584</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>372,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
<td>372,584</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>372,584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>41,450</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41,450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td>22,160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22,160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIGHT OF WAY / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96,400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,293,969</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,293,969</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17,848</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17,848</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 441882-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals:</td>
<td>436,594</td>
<td>111,440</td>
<td>1,311,817</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,859,811</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 444039-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>444039-1</td>
<td>SR 10 (US 90A) E 8 MILE RD FROM CR 749 CHEMSTRAND RD TO BALDRIDGE DR <em>NON-SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>935,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACS - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SS,HSP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92,106</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,336,579</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACS - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SS,HSP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,027,106</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 444045-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>444045-1</td>
<td>SR 8 (I-10) FROM E END OF ESPAMIA BAY BRIDGE TO OKALOOSA COUNTY LINE <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23,098</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>169,830</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACS - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SS,HSP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,139,624</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>169,830</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,139,624</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 444046-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>444046-1</td>
<td>SR 8 (I-10) AT SR 8A (I-110) INTERCHANGE <em>SIS</em></td>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACS - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION (SS,HSP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,089</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS &amp; PTO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,722,180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>552,099</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,722,180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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#### 218594-2:
- **Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.**

#### 416813-1:
- **Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.**

#### 421368-3:
- **Prior Cost increase; Total Project Cost increase.**
### Item 432563-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item Number</td>
<td>432563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>SR 295 NAVY BLVD FROM DAIYOU GRANDE BRIDGE TO SR 292 GULF BEACH HWY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work</td>
<td>RESURFACING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Length</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>ESCAMBIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Preliminary Engineering / Managed by FDOT**
- DDR - District Dedicated Revenue: 566,922
- DIH - State In-House Product Support: 67,147
- DS - State Primary Highways & PTO: 8,091

**Right of Way / Managed by FDOT**
- DDR - District Dedicated Revenue: 0
- DIH - State In-House Product Support: 10,000

**Construction / Managed by FDOT**
- DDR - District Dedicated Revenue: 0
- DIH - State In-House Product Support: 0
- SA - STP, Any Area: 0

Totals: 662,160 129,000 3,066,796 0 0 0 3,797,956

**Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.**

### Item 432568-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item Number</td>
<td>432568</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>SR 95 (US 29) N PALAFOX ST FROM END OF CONCR PVMT TO SR 296 BRENT LN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work</td>
<td>RESURFACING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Length</td>
<td>2.524</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>ESCAMBIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Preliminary Engineering / Managed by FDOT**
- DDR - District Dedicated Revenue: 0 1,258,000
- DIH - State In-House Product Support: 500 125,800

**Right of Way / Managed by FDOT**
- DDR - District Dedicated Revenue: 0 0
- DIH - State In-House Product Support: 0 80,000

**Construction / Managed by FDOT**
- DDR - District Dedicated Revenue: 0 0 0 2,076,884
- DIH - State In-House Product Support: 0 0 0 69,649
- DS - State Primary Highways & PTO: 0 0 0 6,309,093

Totals: 500 1,383,800 402,800 8,475,826 0 0 0 10,262,926

**Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.**
### FL-AL TPO July 2020 Agenda

**434677-1**: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number:</th>
<th>Project Description: SR 95 (US 29) PENSACOLA BLVD FROM SR 296 BRENT LN TO N OF SR 8 “SIS”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>County: ESCAMBIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>RESURFACING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Length:</td>
<td>3.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering / Managed by FDOT</td>
<td>860,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - District Dedicated Revenue:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH - State In-House Product Support:</td>
<td>75,234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - State Primary Highways &amp; PTO:</td>
<td>6,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction / Managed by FDOT</td>
<td>875,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - District Dedicated Revenue:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH - State In-House Product Support:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA - STP, ANY AREA:</td>
<td>7,311,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU - STP, URBAN AREAS &gt; 200K:</td>
<td>1,817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 434677 1 Totals:</td>
<td>9,218,537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**439735-1**: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Number:</th>
<th>Project Description: SR 292 N PACE BLVD FROM BARRANCAS AVE TO MASSACHUSETTS “NON-SIS”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>County: ESCAMBIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>RESURFACING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Length:</td>
<td>3.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary Engineering / Managed by FDOT</td>
<td>667,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - District Dedicated Revenue:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH - State In-House Product Support:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS - State Primary Highways &amp; PTO:</td>
<td>1,858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad &amp; Utilities / Managed by FDOT</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - District Dedicated Revenue:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction / Managed by FDOT</td>
<td>300,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDR - District Dedicated Revenue:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH - State In-House Product Support:</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA - STP, ANY AREA:</td>
<td>9,166,543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 439735 1 Totals:</td>
<td>10,563,604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 441588-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item Number:</td>
<td>441588-1</td>
<td>Project Description: SR 8 (I-10) E OF SR 281 AVALON BLVD TO E OF SR 87</td>
<td><em>SIS</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>County: SANTA ROSA</td>
<td>Type of Work: RESURFACING</td>
<td>Project Length:</td>
<td>14,355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT
- ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP: 1,016,145
- DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS & PTO: 32,308
- NHPP - I-M, BRDG REPL, NATNL HWY MAP21: 535,065

#### CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT
- ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP: 816

**Totals:** 1,584,332

### 441593-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item Number:</td>
<td>441593-1</td>
<td>Project Description: SR 8 (I-10) ESCAMBIA COUNTY WELCOME CENTER</td>
<td><em>SIS</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>County: ESCAMBIA</td>
<td>Type of Work: RESURFACING</td>
<td>Project Length:</td>
<td>357</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT
- ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP: 91,921
- DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS & PTO: 25,774
- NHPP - I-M, BRDG REPL, NATNL HWY MAP21: 420,975

#### CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT
- ACNP - ADVANCE CONSTRUCTION NHPP: 0
- DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT: 0
- DRA - REST AREAS - STATE 100%: 0

**Totals:** 538,870

### 443648-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>&lt;2021</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>&gt;2025</th>
<th>All Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item Number:</td>
<td>443648-1</td>
<td>Project Description: SR 292 BARRANCAS AVE FROM MANCHESTER ST TO BAYOU CHICO BRIDGE</td>
<td><em>NON-SIS</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>County: ESCAMBIA</td>
<td>Type of Work: RESURFACING</td>
<td>Project Length:</td>
<td>1,740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING / MANAGED BY FDOT
- DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT: 67,200
- DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS & PTO: 468,126

#### CONSTRUCTION / MANAGED BY FDOT
- DDR - DISTRICT DEDICATED REVENUE: 0
- DIH - STATE IN-HOUSE PRODUCT SUPPORT: 0
- DS - STATE PRIMARY HIGHWAYS & PTO: 0

**Totals:** 535,326
443651-1: Correct Project Length.

443656-1: Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.
Prior Cost decrease; Total Project Cost decrease.
ENCLOSURE B
ENCLOSURE B
ALL COMMITTEES

SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-13 to Adopt the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: Federal Transportation Legislation (MAP-21 (Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century) Act and FAST (Fixing America’s Surface Transportation) Act), Federal Highway Administration, Florida Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council, and Florida Department of Transportation

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: Performance Management is a strategic approach to connect investment and policy decisions to help achieve performance goals. Performance measures are quantitative criteria used to evaluate progress. Performance measure targets are the benchmarks against which collected data is gauged. The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) requires State Departments of Transportation and Transportation Planning Organizations to conduct performance-based planning by tracking performance measures and setting data-driven targets to improve those measures. Performance-based planning ensures the most efficient investment of federal transportation funds by increasing accountability and transparency, as well as providing for better investment decisions that focus on key outcomes related to seven national goals:

- Improving Safety
- Maintaining Infrastructure Condition
- Reducing Traffic Congestion
- Improving System Reliability
- Improving the Efficiency of the System and Freight Movement
- Protecting the Environment
- Reducing Delays in Project Delivery

Implementation of Transportation Performance Measures for the Transportation Planning Organizations began in 2018 based on Federal Rule Makings. As a result of these Federal Rule Makings, the TPO has adopted the following performance measures since 2018:

- Safety (Adopted February 12, 2020)
- Bridge (Adopted September 12, 2018)
- Pavement (Adopted September 12, 2018)
- System Performance (Adopted September 12, 2018)
- Transit Asset Management (Adopted September 12, 2018)

Related to these five performance measures, the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document was developed by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the Florida Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council in 2019. At the October 9, 2019 TPO meeting, the TPO amended the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to include the FDOT Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document in an Appendix. That modification was requested by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) through the FDOT Urban Liaison. Since that modification was approved, FHWA has notified FDOT that since the TIP expires annually, so does the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document. As a result, FDOT has requested that the TPO adopt the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document as a stand-alone document.
Attached are the following:

- Resolution FL-AL 20-13
- Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-13 adopting the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document. This action is recommended so it does not have to be readopted annually through the Transportation Improvement Program. Please contact Gary Kramer, TPO staff, at (800) 226-8914, Ext. 219 or gary.kramer@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
RESOLUTION FL-AL 20-13

A RESOLUTION OF THE FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION ADOPTING TRANSPORTATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES CONSENSUS PLANNING DOCUMENT

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the organization designated by the governors of Florida and Alabama as being responsible, together with the states of Florida and Alabama, for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Florida-Alabama TPO Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), the TPO, and transportation providers in the TPO area desire to have documentation regarding their roles to ensure compliance with the transportation performance management requirements of 23 CFR Parts 450, 490, 625, and 673; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document identifies the process for FDOT, TPOs, and public transportation providers to cooperatively develop and share information related to transportation performance management; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Performance Measure Consensus Planning Document was previously included as an appendix in the annually adopted Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, it has been recommended by the Federal Highway Administration and FDOT to have the Transportation Performance Measure Consensus Planning Document as a separate document that would not have to be readopted every year in a TIP appendix; and

WHEREAS, on June 13, 2018 Resolution FL-AL 18-13 was adopted and fulfilled this requirement for TPOs in Alabama;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The TPO adopts the Transportation Performance Measures Consensus Planning Document.

Passed and duly adopted by the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization on this 8TH day of July 2020.

FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: ____________________________
    Steven Barry, Chairman

ATTEST: ________________________
Transportation Performance Measures
Consensus Planning Document

Purpose and Authority

This document has been cooperatively developed by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and Florida’s 27 Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) through the Florida Metropolitan Planning Organization Advisory Council (MPOAC), and, by representation on the MPO boards and committees, the providers of public transportation in the MPO planning areas.

The purpose of the document is to outline the minimum roles of FDOT, the MPOs, and the providers of public transportation in the MPO planning areas to ensure consistency to the maximum extent practicable in satisfying the transportation performance management requirements promulgated by the United States Department of Transportation in Title 23 Parts 450, 490, 625, and 673 of the Code of Federal Regulations (23 CFR). Specifically:

- 23 CFR 450.314(h)(1) requires that “The MPO(s), State(s), and providers of public transportation shall jointly agree upon and develop specific written procedures for cooperatively developing and sharing information related to transportation performance data, the selection of performance targets, the reporting of performance targets, the reporting of performance to be used in tracking progress toward achievement of critical outcomes for the region of the MPO, and the collection of data for the State asset management plan for the National Highway System (NHS).”

- 23 CFR 450.314(h)(2) allows for these provisions to be “Documented in some other means outside the metropolitan planning agreements as determined cooperatively by the MPO(s), State(s), and providers of public transportation.”

Section 339.175(11), Florida Statutes creates the MPOAC to “Assist MPOs in carrying out the urbanized area transportation planning process by serving as the principal forum for collective policy discussion pursuant to law” and to “Serve as a clearinghouse for review and comment by MPOs on the Florida Transportation Plan and on other issues required to comply with federal or state law in carrying out the urbanized transportation planning processes.” The MPOAC Governing Board membership includes one representative of each MPO in Florida.

This document was developed, adopted, and subsequently updated by joint agreement of the FDOT Secretary and the MPOAC Governing Board. Each MPO will adopt this document by incorporation in its annual Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) or by separate board action as documented in a resolution or meeting minutes, which will serve as documentation of agreement by the MPO and the provider(s) of public transportation in the MPO planning area to carry out their roles and responsibilities as described in this general document.
Roles and Responsibilities

This document describes the general processes through which FDOT, the MPOs, and the providers of public transportation in MPO planning areas will cooperatively develop and share information related to transportation performance management.

Email communications will be considered written notice for all portions of this document. Communication with FDOT related to transportation performance management generally will occur through the Administrator for Metropolitan Planning in the Office of Policy Planning. Communications with the MPOAC related to transportation performance management generally will occur through the Executive Director of the MPOAC.

1. Transportation performance data:

   a) FDOT will collect and maintain data, perform calculations of performance metrics and measures, and provide to each MPO the results of the calculations used to develop statewide targets for all applicable federally required performance measures. FDOT also will provide to each MPO the results of calculations for each applicable performance measure for the MPO planning area, and the county or counties included in the MPO planning area. FDOT and the MPOAC agree to use the National Performance Management Research Data Set as the source of travel time data and the defined reporting segments of the Interstate System and non-Interstate National Highway System for the purposes of calculating the travel time-based measures specified in 23 CFR 490.507, 490.607, and 490.707, as applicable.

   b) Each MPO will share with FDOT any locally generated data that pertains to the federally required performance measures, if applicable, such as any supplemental data the MPO uses to develop its own targets for any measure.

   c) Each provider of public transportation is responsible for collecting performance data in the MPO planning area for the transit asset management measures as specified in 49 CFR 625.43 and the public transportation safety measures as specified in the National Public Transportation Safety Plan. The providers of public transportation will provide to FDOT and the appropriate MPO(s) the transit performance data used to support these measures.

2. Selection of performance targets:

   FDOT, the MPOs, and providers of public transportation will select their respective performance targets in coordination with one another. Selecting targets generally refers to the processes used to identify, evaluate, and make decisions about potential targets prior to action to formally establish the targets. Coordination will include as many of the following opportunities as deemed appropriate for each measure: in-person meetings, webinars, conferences calls, and email/written communication. Coordination will include timely

---

1 When an MPO planning area covers portions of more than one state, as in the case of the Florida-Alabama TPO, FDOT will collect and provide data for the Florida portion of the planning area.

2 If any Florida urbanized area becomes nonattainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, FDOT also will provide appropriate data at the urbanized area level for the specific urbanized area that is designated.
sharing of information on proposed targets and opportunities to provide comment prior to establishing final comments for each measure.

The primary forum for coordination between FDOT and the MPOs on selecting performance targets and related policy issues is the regular meetings of the MPOAC. The primary forum for coordination between MPOs and providers of public transportation on selecting transit performance targets is the TIP development process.

Once targets are selected, each agency will take action to formally establish the targets in its area of responsibility.

a) FDOT will select and establish a statewide target for each applicable federally required performance measure.
   i. To the maximum extent practicable, FDOT will share proposed statewide targets at the MPOAC meeting scheduled in the calendar quarter prior to the dates required for establishing the target under federal rule. FDOT will work through the MPOAC to provide email communication on the proposed targets to the MPOs not in attendance at this meeting. The MPOAC as a whole, and individual MPOs as appropriate, will provide comments to FDOT on the proposed statewide targets within sixty (60) days of the MPOAC meeting. FDOT will provide an update to the MPOAC at its subsequent meeting on the final proposed targets, how the comments received from the MPOAC and any individual MPOs were considered, and the anticipated date when FDOT will establish final targets.
   ii. FDOT will provide written notice to the MPOAC and individual MPOs within two (2) business days of when FDOT establishes final targets. This notice will provide the relevant targets and the date FDOT established the targets, which will begin the 180-day time-period during which each MPO must establish the corresponding performance targets for its planning area.

b) Each MPO will select and establish a target for each applicable federally required performance measure. To the extent practicable, MPOs will propose, seek comment on, and establish their targets through existing processes such as the annual TIP update. For each performance measure, an MPO will have the option of either:
   i. Choosing to support the statewide target established by FDOT, and providing documentation (typically in the form of meeting minutes, a letter, a resolution, or incorporation in a document such as the TIP) to FDOT that the MPO agrees to plan and program projects so that they contribute toward the accomplishments of FDOT’s statewide targets for that performance measure.
   ii. Choosing to establish its own target, using a quantifiable methodology for its MPO planning area. If the MPO chooses to establish its own target, the MPO will coordinate with FDOT and, as applicable, providers of public transportation regarding the approach used to develop the target and the proposed target prior to

---

3 When an MPO planning area covers portions of more than one state, as in the case of the Florida-Alabama TPO, that MPO will be responsible for coordinating with each state DOT in setting and reporting targets and associated data.
establishment of a final target. The MPO will provide FDOT and, as applicable, providers of public transportation, documentation (typically in the form of meeting minutes, a letter, a resolution, or incorporation in a document such as the TIP) that includes the final targets and the date when the targets were established.

c) The providers of public transportation in MPO planning areas will select and establish performance targets annually to meet the federal performance management requirements for transit asset management and transit safety under 49 U.S.C. 5326(c) and 49 U.S.C. 5329(d).

i. The Tier I providers of public transportation will establish performance targets to meet the federal performance management requirements for transit asset management. Each Tier I provider will provide written notice to the appropriate MPO and FDOT when it establishes targets. This notice will provide the final targets and the date when the targets were established, which will begin the 180-day period within which the MPO must establish its transit-related performance targets. MPOs may choose to update their targets when the Tier I provider(s) updates theirs, or when the MPO amends its long-range transportation plan by extending the horizon year in accordance with 23 CFR 450.324(c).

ii. FDOT is the sponsor of a Group Transit Asset Management plan for subrecipients of Section 5311 and 5310 grant funds. The Tier II providers of public transportation may choose to participate in FDOT’s group plan or to establish their own targets. FDOT will notify MPOs and those participating Tier II providers following of establishment of transit-related targets. Each Tier II provider will provide written notice to the appropriate MPO and FDOT when it establishes targets. This notice will provide the final targets and the date the final targets were established, which will begin the 180-day period within which the MPO must establish its transit-related performance targets. MPOs may choose to update their targets when the Tier II provider(s) updates theirs, or when the MPO amends its long-range transportation plan by extending the horizon year in accordance with 23 CFR 450.324(c).

iii. FDOT will draft and certify a Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan for any small public transportation providers (defined as those who are recipients or subrecipients of federal financial assistance under 49 U.S.C. 5307, have one hundred (100) or fewer vehicles in peak revenue service, and do not operate a rail fixed guideway public transportation system). FDOT will coordinate with small public transportation providers on selecting statewide public transportation safety performance targets, with the exception of any small operator that notifies FDOT that it will draft its own plan.

iv. All other public transportation service providers that receive funding under 49 U.S. Code Chapter 53 (excluding sole recipients of sections 5310 and/or 5311 funds) will provide written notice to the appropriate MPO and FDOT when they establish public transportation safety performance targets. This notice will provide the final targets and the date the final targets were established, which will begin the 180-day period within which the MPO must establish its transit safety
performance targets. MPOs may choose to update their targets when the provider(s) updates theirs, or when the MPO amends its long-range transportation plan by extending the horizon year in accordance with 23 CFR 450.324(c).

v. If the MPO chooses to support the asset management and safety targets established by the provider of public transportation, the MPO will provide to FDOT and the provider of public transportation documentation that the MPO agrees to plan and program MPO projects so that they contribute toward achievement of the statewide or public transportation provider targets. If the MPO chooses to establish its own targets, the MPO will develop the target in coordination with FDOT and the providers of public transportation. The MPO will provide FDOT and the providers of public transportation documentation (typically in the form of meeting minutes, a letter, a resolution, or incorporation in a document such as the TIP) that includes the final targets and the date the final targets were established. In cases where two or more providers operate in an MPO planning area and establish different targets for a given measure, the MPO has the options of coordinating with the providers to establish a single target for the MPO planning area, or establishing a set of targets for the MPO planning area.

3. Reporting performance targets:

a) Reporting targets generally refers to the process used to report targets, progress achieved in meeting targets, and the linkage between targets and decision making processes FDOT will report its final statewide performance targets to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) as mandated by the federal requirements.

i. FDOT will include in future updates or amendments of the statewide long-range transportation plan a description of all applicable performance measures and targets and a system performance report, including progress achieved in meeting the performance targets, in accordance with 23 CFR 450.216(f).

ii. FDOT will include in future updates or amendments of the statewide transportation improvement program a discussion of the anticipated effect of the program toward achieving the state’s performance targets, linking investment priorities to those performance targets, in accordance with 23 CFR 450.218 (q).

iii. FDOT will report targets and performance data for each applicable highway performance measure to FHWA, in accordance with the reporting timelines and requirements established by 23 CFR 490; and for each applicable public transit measure to FTA, in accordance with the reporting timelines and requirements established by 49 CFR 625 and 40 CFR 673.

b) Each MPO will report its final performance targets as mandated by federal requirements to FDOT. To the extent practicable, MPOs will report final targets through the TIP update or other existing documents.

i. Each MPO will include in future updates or amendments of its metropolitan long-range transportation plan a description of all applicable performance measures
and targets and a system performance report, including progress achieved by the MPO in meeting the performance targets, in accordance with 23 CFR 450.324(f)(3-4).

ii. Each MPO will include in future updates or amendments of its TIP a discussion of the anticipated effect of the TIP toward achieving the applicable performance targets, linking investment priorities to those performance targets, in accordance with 23 CFR 450.326(d).

iii. Each MPO will report target-related status information to FDOT upon request to support FDOT’s reporting requirements to FHWA.

c) Providers of public transportation in MPO planning areas will report all established transit asset management targets to the FTA National Transit Database (NTD) consistent with FTA’s deadlines based upon the provider’s fiscal year and in accordance with 49 CFR Parts 625 and 630, and 49 CFR Part 673.

4. Reporting performance to be used in tracking progress toward attainment of performance targets for the MPO planning area:

a) FDOT will report to FHWA or FTA as designated, and share with each MPO and provider of public transportation, transportation performance for the state showing the progress being made towards attainment of each target established by FDOT, in a format to be mutually agreed upon by FDOT and the MPOAC.

b) If an MPO establishes its own targets, the MPO will report to FDOT on an annual basis transportation performance for the MPO area showing the progress being made towards attainment of each target established by the MPO, in a format to be mutually agreed upon by FDOT and the MPOAC. To the extent practicable, MPOs will report progress through existing processes including, but not limited to, the annual TIP update.

c) Each provider of public transportation will report transit performance annually to the MPO(s) covering the provider’s service area, showing the progress made toward attainment of each target established by the provider.

5. Collection of data for the State asset management plans for the National Highway System (NHS):

a) FDOT will be responsible for collecting bridge and pavement condition data for the State asset management plan for the NHS. This includes NHS roads that are not on the State highway system but instead are under the ownership of local jurisdictions, if such roads exist.

For more information, contact:

Mark Reichert, Administrator for Metropolitan Planning, Office of Policy Planning, Florida Department of Transportation, 850-414-4901, mark.reichert@dot.state.fl.us

Carl Mikyska, Executive Director, MPOAC, 850-414-4062, carl.mikyska@mpoac.org
ENCLOSURE C
ENCLOSURE C
ALL COMMITTEES

SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-14 to Adopt the Florida-Alabama TPO 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Needs Plan

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: 23 Code of Federal Regulations part 450.322; 339.175.6.c.1 Florida Statutes; Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) and 2045 Florida-Alabama TPO Long-Range Transportation Plan Scope of Services

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: The TPO updates the Long-Range LRTP every 5 years. The current LRTP was adopted on November 3, 2015. The 2045 Florida-Alabama LRTP’s Scope of Services was approved by the TPO on February 13, 2019, and a Notice to Proceed issued on March 11, 2019. Some of the tasks identified in the LRTP Scope of Services are: Public Participation, Goals and Objectives, Evaluation Criteria, Financial Resources, Congestion Management Process, Cost Feasible Plan, and Needs Plan.

Needs Plan

The Existing Plus Committed Network and the 2045 Socio-Economic Data for the Northwest Florida Regional Transportation Model was received from the Florida Department of Transportation. A map of the 2045 deficiencies and working draft of projects was presented at the Steering Committee meeting on February 24, 2020. Based upon discussion from the Steering Committee members and further refinement based on existing state, TPO, and local plans, an updated draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan was presented to the Steering Committee on April 20, 2020.

Since the May TPO and advisory committee meetings, an updated draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan was presented to the Steering Committee meeting via a GoToMeeting on May 26, 2020, which indicated most of the deficiencies have been addressed. A virtual public meeting Long-Range was held on June 16, 2020 to gather input for the Needs Plan, and a transportation survey was posted on-line on June 8, 2020 through June 19, 2020. The survey was promoted through Facebook, E-blasts, local media contacts, and through community group outreach. The interactive draft 2045 Needs Plan map that corresponds to the draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan spreadsheet below is accessible by clicking on the following link:
https://hdr.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=c0b5280eb90b4f5298dc4d142ca6fb1e.

Attached are the following:

- Resolution FL-AL 20-14
- Steering Committee Meeting #5 Summary
- Steering Committee Meeting #6 Summary
- Steering Committee Meeting #7 Summary
- Steering Committee Meeting #8 Summary
- Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan Public Meeting Summary
- Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan Survey Results Summary
- Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan Spreadsheet
• Projects Included in the 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan but no longer included in the Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-14 to adopt the Florida-Alabama TPO 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Needs Plan with any changes that may have been presented This alternative is recommended to maintain the adoption date of the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan by November 3, 2020 Please contact Mr. Gary Kramer if additional information is needed at gary.kramer@ecrc.org or (850) 332-7976 Ext. 219.
RESOLUTION FL-AL 20-14

A RESOLUTION OF THE FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION APPROVING THE 2045 FLORIDA-ALABAMA LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN NEEDS PLAN

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the organization designated by the governors of Florida and Alabama as being responsible, together with the states of Florida and Alabama, for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Florida-Alabama TPO Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Update, developed pursuant to Part 23 Section 134(i), Code of Federal Regulations and Chapter 339.175 (7) Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) approved the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Update Scope of Services on February 13, 2019; and

WHEREAS, a task in the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Update Scope of Services is development of a Needs Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Needs Plan was presented and reviewed by a Steering Committee consisting of members of the TPO's Technical Coordinating Committee, Citizens' Advisory Committee, and other members of the Transportation Industry, and

WHEREAS, the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Needs Plan was presented at a virtual public meeting and considered results of transportation survey; and

WHEREAS, the Long-Range Transportation Plan 2045 Needs Plan contains multi-modal projects;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The Florida-Alabama TPO approves the Needs Plan for its 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Update.

Passed and duly adopted by the Florida-Alabama TPO on this 8th day of July 2020.

FLORIDA-ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: ____________________________
Steven Barry, Chairman

ATTEST: ________________________
A. **Introductions and Welcome**

- Gary Kramer welcomed the participants to the Steering Committee Meeting for the 2045 Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long-Range Transportation Plan. Each of the participants stated their names and the organization they represent.
- Chairman Powell requested Gary Kramer and Cory Wilkinson to present the items on the agenda.

B. **Update on the Process and Schedule**
• Gary Kramer stated the Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources will be an action item for the TPO in February.

• Cory Wilkinson presented the Project Schedule. The focus of today's meeting is to review the Congestion Management Process and include an update on Freight, Airport, and Port for consideration in the Needs Plan development. The Congestion Management Process will be reviewed by the TPO and advisory committees in February with recommended action in April. The Needs Plan will include public workshops in March with review by the TPO and advisory committees in April and with recommended action in June. The Cost Feasible Plan is anticipated to start in June, public workshops in July, review by the TPO and advisory committees in August, and recommended action in September.

C. Status of Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources

• Cory Wilkinson mentioned the Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources have been vetted by the Steering Committee in the previous two meetings and will be presented to the TPO in February. The TCC and CAC recommended approval at their December meetings. Once the TPO approves these items, the Technical Reports will be produced.

D. Congestion Management Process

• Cory Wilkinson mentioned a task in the Long-Range Transportation Plan is to produce a Congestion Management Process document. There are eight items a Congestion Management Process must include. These eight items are:
  o Develop regional objectives for congestion management.
  o Define the Congestion Management Process network.
  o Develop multimodal performance measures.
  o Collect data/monitor performance.
  o Analyze congestion problems and needs.
  o Identify and assess strategies.
  o Program and implement strategies.
  o Evaluate strategy effectiveness.

• The objectives and performance measures were reviewed with the Steering Committee and the following questions were asked.
  o What is rideOn? It is a vanpool/carpool service provided by the Emerald Coast Regional Council that matches potential riders through a database.
  o Replace ITS with an ATMS performance measure.
  o Replace Accidents with Crashes.
  o Include education to assist in reducing traffic and pedestrian facilities such as bringing hot spots to the Community Traffic Safety Team.
  o A number for resurfacing projects will need to be agreed upon at the next steering committee meeting.

• Mike Ziarnek encouraged the Steering Committee members to review the statewide report “Dangerous by Design” and reminded participants that vehicular Level of Service is not a good evaluation measure for urban transportation/complete streets consideration.
• Barbara Mayall stated that adequate infrastructure should be installed prior to a roadway being resurfaced. She also questioned if construction of the new school had bearing on the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) designation of US 98.
• Gary Kramer added the Congestion Management Process is required for TPOs with a population greater than 200,000 in federal law. FDOT has also required that all TPOs in Florida develop a Congestion Management Process. The Florida-Alabama TPO does a major update to the Congestion Management Process in conjunction with the Long-Range Transportation Plan. A minor update to the Congestion Management Process is done annually.

E. **Needs Plan**

• Cory Wilkinson said the Needs Plan is a list of transportation projects that are needed by 2045 regardless of funding. A list of deficiencies will be created by running the regional transportation model with the 2045 socio-economic data and an existing plus committed transportation network. These deficiencies and other needed projects by mode will be reviewed and commented by the Steering Committee. Public meetings, and the TPO and advisory committee meetings.
• Updates on the Freight, Pensacola International Airport, and Port of Pensacola were presented.

**Freight**
- Rickey Fitzgerald reviewed a PowerPoint on Freight for FDOT District III and the Florida-Alabama TPO.
- The Freight Mobility and Trade Plan is almost complete and includes an investment plan for use of federal National Highway Freight Program (NHFP) funding.
- In FDOT District III, there are 42 capacity projects, 4 ITS projects, 2 Feasibility Study Projects, and 1 Truck Parking Project. The highest ranked projects in the Florida-Alabama TPO area:
  - I-10 at US 29 Interchange
  - I-10 at Nine Mile Road Interchange
  - I-10 from East of Alabama State Line to West of US 29
- The top challenges statewide are:
  - Congestion/Bottlenecks
  - Truck Parking
  - Empty Backhaul
- Hotspots for Level of Service, Pavement Condition, Bridges, Safety, and Truck Parking were also shown via statewide maps.

**Pensacola International Airport**
- Andrea Levitt Kvech stated the airport priorities are submitted to the TPO annually. A master plan has been recently completed and is waiting Federal Aviation Administration acceptance.
- The major priorities are parking, terminal, and airfield improvements.
- A second hangar off Merlion Way should start design in April. Maintenance Repair, and Overhaul expansion across from Pensacola State College is also in discussion.

**Port of Pensacola**
- Clark Merritt from Port Pensacola submitted a list of improvements for the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan in an e-mail prior to the Steering Committee meeting. A master plan has been completed by the Port of Pensacola. The improvements outside the gate that need to be considered for the Needs Plan are:
  - Port Main Gate Relocation
• Cedar and Tarragona Street Improvements
• Main and Barrancas Street Improvements
• Access to/from I-110 for port freight improvements

F. **Comments from Committee Members and Public**

- No further comments were provided by neither the committee members nor the public.

G. **Next Steps**

- Gary Kramer iterated the Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources will an action item for the TPO in February. The Congestion Management Process will be a review item at the February TPO and advisory committees with a recommended action at their April meetings. An update on the Needs Plan process will be presented at the February TPO and advisory committee meetings with review at their April meetings, and a recommended action at their June meetings.
- Gary Kramer added the next Steering Committee meeting will focus on a recommendation for Congestion Management Process with majority of the meeting focusing on development of the Needs Plan. Presentations from Pensacola Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Escambia County Area Transit, and Baldwin Regional Area Transit System will also be part of the next Steering Committee meeting.

H. **Adjournment**

- The meeting was adjourned by Chairman Powell.
A. **Introductions and Welcome**

- Gary Kramer welcomed the participants to the Steering Committee Meeting for the 2045 Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long-Range Transportation Plan. Each of the participants stated their names and the organization they represent.
- Chairman Powell requested Gary Kramer and Cory Wilkinson to present the items on the agenda.
B. **Update on the Process and Schedule**

- Gary Kramer stated the Evaluation Criteria and the Financial Resources were approved by the TPO in February.
- Gary Kramer added the Congestion Management Process major update was discussed at the last Steering Committee meeting and was presented as an information item at the TPO and advisory committee meetings in February.
- Gary Kramer mentioned the Needs Plan process was discussed at the last Steering Committee meeting and was presented as an information item at the TPO and advisory committee meetings in February.
- Cory Wilkinson iterated the focus of today's meeting is to provide the status of the Congestion Management Process major update, have briefings from the military representatives in our area, and to discuss the development of the Needs Plan generated from the Transportation Model deficiency analysis.
- For the project schedule, Cory Wilkinson said the Needs Plan will include public workshops in March with review by the TPO and advisory committees in April and a recommended action in June. The Cost Feasible Plan is anticipated in start in June, public workshops in July, review by the TPO and advisory committees in August, and a recommended action in September.

C. **Congestion Management Process**

- Cory Wilkinson reviewed the Congestion Management Process via PowerPoint. The purpose of the Congestion Management Process is to develop a systematic process to effectively manage and operate the transportation system. A major update to the Congestion Management Process is completed every five years in conjunction with the update to the Long-Range Transportation Plan. A minor update is completed annually. The eight categories of the Congestion Management Process are:
  - Develop regional objectives for congestion management.
  - Define the Congestion Management Process network.
  - Develop multimodal performance measures.
  - Collect data/monitor system performance.
  - Analyze congestion problems and needs.
  - Identify and assess strategies.
  - Program and implement strategies.
  - Evaluate strategy effectiveness.
- Cory Wilkinson mentioned comments on the Congestion Management Process are due by the close of business on February 28, 2020. The draft Congestion Management Process major update can be downloaded from the link below.
  
https://www.ecrc.org/programs/transportation_planning/plans_and_documents/long_range_transportation_plan/2045_lrtp_documents.php

D. **Needs Plan**
• Cory Wilkinson reviewed the Needs Plan via PowerPoint. The Needs Plan considers current and future transportation needs without consideration of financial constraints. The Needs Plan helps prioritize which projects should be funded to achieve a more efficient and interconnected transportation system.

• Cory Wilkinson added there was discussion by freight, Port of Pensacola, and Pensacola International Airport representatives at the January 21, 2020 Steering Committee meeting. Briefings from Naval Air Station (NAS) Whiting Field and Pensacola NAS will occur at this Steering Committee meeting.

• Gary Kramer added that Eglin Air Force has indicated that the Navarre Bypass, which was an aspirational project in the 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan, be removed from the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan.

• Randy Roy, from NAS Whiting Field, presented the following three items for consideration in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan development.
  o Have FDOT add SR87N to the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) or designated to be part of the Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET). This North/South coordinator connector and its transportation need in support of NAS Whiting Field has been a topic of discussion/initiative for County/Navy/Congressional over the last several years. SR87, its use and impacts are also outlined in the Santa Rosa County Comprehensive Plan (i.e. interconnected roadway networks, coastal evacuation). This could be part of the planned SR87 connector going north.
  o Consider corridor management/turn lane extension on SR87N and the intersection of Langley. Traffic throughput/management has been a safety concern over the years due to the changes in base protection posture/personnel recall, etc. (approximately 3,200 people travel daily to and from NAS Whiting Field).
  o Consider shoulders/bike/walking paths along Munson Highway. This effort would enhance pedestrian traffic and tie the Blackwater River Heritage trail into the long-range Office of Greenways and Trails initiative. The Blackwater River Heritage trail system runs to and adjacent to NAS Whiting Field.

• Steve Opalenik, of Pensacola NAS, could not attend the Steering Committee meeting, but provided a PowerPoint regarding the following items for consideration in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan development which Cory Wilkinson presented. In an e-mail, Steve Opalenik mentioned the last Joint Land Use Plan was completed in 2003 for Pensacola NAS and did not specifically identify transportation needs outside the gate. The Joint Land Use Study for Pensacola NAS is slated for an update this year and Escambia County has the lead.
  o Consideration for two westbound dedicated lanes onto Corry Station NAS Pensacola rationale:
    • Current plans to reconfigure and activate (security gate house/sentry) the Corry Station South Gate (IVO Navy Mall)
    • South Gate will become Corry Station primary 24/7 gate
    • Anticipated future growth at Corry Station
    • Relieves congestion at Chiefs Way and Prieto Drive-a residential area
  o Dedicated truck entrance lane with signage/signal on South Blue Angel Parkway (SR 173) south of Gulf Beach Hwy (SR 292) to West Gate. NAS Pensacola rationale:
    • Trucks/Commercial deliveries are directed to the West Gate for entrance and inspection, unless previously cleared
    • Commercial traffic is directed to the West Gate from all area directions
    • With two primary southbound lanes, commercial traffic is delayed with daily peak traffic and high-volume events (Blue Angels practice, Air Show, visitors)
• A third lane (south of Gulf Beach Highway) would allow for dedicated commercial traffic through the inspection gate
• The west G gate is the designated visitor entrance for National Naval Aviation Museum, Pensacola Lighthouse, and National Park Service attractions all of which has totaled up to 900,000 visitors per year.
  o Dedicated right turn lane on New Warrington Road (SR 295) extending north from Chiefs’ Way. NAS Pensacola rationale:
    • Corry Station East Gate is approximately ¼ mile west of New Warrington Road.
    • Backups occur on New Warrington Road and during peak traffic periods entering Corry Station East Gate at Chiefs Way.
    • Dedicated turning lane would allow free flow of traffic southbound on New Warrington Road during peak periods.
  o Lane changes on South Navy Blvd (SR 295) to support Enclave/Main Gate improvements, signals, and overhead directional signage. NAS Pensacola rationale:
    • Planning is ongoing to enclave the installation and improve the functionality and security of the Main Gate.
    • Main Gate improvements would be a precursor to a larger enclave plan that would separate the Department of Defense operational traffic from the non-Department of Defense visitor traffic.
    • Southbound lane adjustments, signage and electronic signalization would distinguish use of lanes to properly channel traffic to the correct entrance.
    • False entrance turnarounds at the Main Gate are troublesome to security operations and pose a potential risk.
  o Extending 4 lanes on Blue Angel Parkway (SR 173) north of Sorrento Road. NAS Pensacola rationale:
    • Supports evacuation routes, SIS from West Gate, and commercial truck route.
  o Improve street lighting on South Navy Boulevard (SR 295), south of Gulf Beach Highway (SR 292). NAS Pensacola rationale:
    • Security for students, overall improvement to the area.
  o Additional street lighting on New Warrington Road (SR 295) south of Chiefs’ Way. NAS Pensacola rationale:
    • Security for students from Corry Station, security for general public, overall improvement to the area.
  o Public Transportation.
    • Escambia County Area Transit is an important link for many employees that support NAS Pensacola functions.
    • Proposed route cuts are understandably budget based. NAS Pensacola supports public transportation for service members and employees and will work with local agencies to find a balance.
  • Cory Wilkinson referenced the working draft Regional Transportation Model Output spreadsheet, which was e-mailed to the Steering Committee members, with a map projected on the screen. The Existing plus Committed (E+C) network (FY 2014/2015 to 2023/24) from the Northwest Florida Regional Planning Model was run with the 2045 Land Use to create a list of deficiencies to review. Deficient segments displayed were Volume / Capacity ratios of 0.9 or greater. Remedies for deficiencies are roadway widening, roadway diets, corridor management studies, or do nothing. The segments have been condensed to meaningful segments. Constrained facilities have been added to the spreadsheet. The
spreadsheet is a work in progress as it will be vetted at another Steering Committee Meeting, Public Workshops, and the TPO and advisory committees.

- Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer recommended the following projects should be considered for inclusion in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan and will be discussed at the next Steering Committee Meeting.
  - Bayfront Parkway – what was outcome of feasibility study?
  - I-10 Devine Farm to Frank Reeder Rd overpass.
  - Clarification on truck parking overutilization North of I-10 and West of U.S. 29.
  - Orange Beach projects.
  - Lillian bike-ped projects list.
  - Pensacola Beach roundabouts.
  - Downtown Pensacola projects.
  - SIS Needs and Cost Feasible Projects.

- Gary Kramer recommended the next Steering Committee Meeting be held prior to the public workshops. March 16th was agreed upon by the Steering Committee members as the date for the next Steering Committee Meeting.

- Gary Kramer requested the Steering Committee members provide any recommended additions to the Draft 2045 Needs Plan Spreadsheet by March 9th. The Steering Committee members indicated they will provide any additional recommendations to the Draft 2045 Needs Plan Spreadsheet by March 9th.

- Mike Ziarnek asked what is meant by a “Constrained Facility” and why is West Cervantes Street from North A Street to North Palafox Street not listed as a “Constrained Facility”?
- Cory Wilkinson answered a “Constrained Facility” is a facility that has been identified as a policy or political constraint for prohibiting a roadway from being widened. West Cervantes Street from North A Street to North Palafox Street was not identified in the West Cervantes Street Corridor Management Plan as a potential Road Diet and was not initially identified as a “Constrained Facility.”

E. Comments from Committee Members and Public

- Councilwoman Ann Hill asked if all improvements on Cervantes Streets could be listed as a “Constrained Facility” and concurred with Mike Ziarnek’s previous comment.
- Gary Kramer answered FDOT and local governments need to be in consensus with that request.
- Bryant Paulk added the Needs Plan is a list of Needed Projects regardless of funding. We are in the Needs Plan portion of the Long-Range Transportation Plan. Ultimately the Needs Plan will need to be narrowed down to a Cost Feasible Plan based on projected revenues through the year 2045.
- A comment card was received from Teresa Hill which stated, “Please do not Widen West Cervantes.”
- Sean Bullington mentioned Muscogee Road and Beulah Road are needed projects because of the growth in these areas.
- Rand Hicks stated he wishes to retain a productive relationship with the Emerald Coast Regional Council to help promote and comment on the Long-Range Transportation Plan to maintain civic unity.
- Gary Kramer answered presentations to community groups are being arranged not just presentations at Public Workshops.
- Christian Wagley stated there needs to be a shift away from car centric projects to more multimodal projects.
• Teresa Hill mentioned a question was received from the Live Streaming of the Meeting on Facebook. When will the Needs Plan be finalized?

• Gary Kramer replied this is first cut of the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan as Cory Wilkinson explained in the PowerPoint presentation. The Needs Plan will be presented at three public workshops anticipated the week of March 23, 2020, additional Steering Committee Meetings, at the April TPO and advisory committees as a draft, and for recommended action at the June TPO meeting with review by the advisory committees.

• Mike Ziarnek questioned the validity and sophistication of the model. He asked how mobility, complete streets, projected growth, number of automobiles, and mode shift are accounted for in the transportation model. Will connected and autonomous vehicles be addressed in the Long-Range Transportation Plan?

• Gary Kramer replied that the transportation model is a regional transportation model developed with agreed upon standards by Florida Department of Transportation that are used by the TPOs in Florida. It is a Highway Only Model with a minimal modal split. The base year and horizon year data were presented by FDOT’s consultant which was reviewed by a Land Use Subcommittee that consisted of members of the TPO’s Technical Coordinating Committee. The socio-economic data has an attribute for number of automobiles by Traffic Analysis Zone in the Transportation Model. Connected and Autonomous Vehicles are addressed in the adopted Goals and Objectives and will be referenced in the Long-Range Transportation Plan Final Report.

F. Next Steps

• Gary Kramer iterated the Congestion Management Process comments are due by the close of business on February 28, 2020. The Congestion Management Process will be an action item at the April TPO and advisory committees. The Needs Plan will be presented at Public Workshops in March, a Draft at the April TPO and advisory Committee meetings, and a recommended action at their June Meetings.

• Gary Kramer added the next Steering Committee Meeting will focus on a recommendation for the Needs Plan to be presented at the Public Workshops as well as briefings from Escambia County Area Transit and Baldwin Regional Area Transit System.

G. Adjournment

• The meeting was adjourned by Chairman Powell.
Steering Committee Meeting #7 Summary

2045 Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization Long-Range Transportation Plan
Steering Committee #7
April 20, 2020 GoTo Meeting Summary

Members Attending
Griffin Powell, Chairman, Technical Coordinating Committee
Bryant Paulk, FDOT Urban Liaison
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A. **Introductions and Welcome**

- Gary Kramer welcomed the participants to the Steering Committee GoTo Meeting for the 2045 Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long-Range Transportation Plan.
- Chairman Powell requested Gary Kramer and Cory Wilkinson to present the items on the agenda.

B. **Update on the Process and Schedule**

- Gary Kramer stated the Steering Committee reviews the various products in the development of the Long-Range Transportation Plan. The Steering Committee also provides recommendations to the TPO and advisory committees after hearing and commenting on detailed presentations of the various products. The purpose is to have most of the questions answered prior to the TPO and advisory committee meetings.
- Gary Kramer added the Congestion Management Process Major Update was discussed at the last Steering Committee Meeting and was presented as an information item at the TPO and advisory committee meetings in February.
- Cory Wilkinson reviewed a PowerPoint slide on the Needs Plan process. The first step in the Needs Plan development process is running the Northwest Florida Regional Transportation Model with the Existing Plus Committed Network (Projects that have been constructed or will be constructed by 2024) with the 2045 Socio-Economic Data. A listing of deficiencies was developed and the General Planning Consultant and the TPO Staff developed the draft 2045 Needs Plan which is being presented to the Steering Committee today.
- Cory Wilkinson indicated the intent is to have the Long-Range Transportation Plan approved by the TPO prior to November 3, 2020 which is the five year deadline between Long-Range Transportation Plans.

C. **Draft 2045 Needs Plan Map and Spreadsheet**

- Cory Wilkinson explained the Draft 2045 Needs Plan spreadsheet by scrolling over each column and then explained what the column headings and the spreadsheet tabs at the bottom of the page. The detailed column explanations were types of projects, new categories for corridor / complete streets projects, volume-to-capacity (v/c) number, project location by community, project location with respect to special emphasis areas such as transit routes, Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) facility, or along Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS) corridors, and whether the project is new for the 2045 Plan. There are approximately 68 new 2045 projects identified.
- Cory Wilkinson then zoomed in and out of the interactive map to explain the different project types and the attribute table when clicking on a project.

D. **Projects Needing Additional Direction from Steering Committee Members**

- Cory Wilkinson mentioned that he and Gary Kramer have developed a list of projects from the Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan that need direction from the Steering Committee members. These projects are listed below with the recommendations after the projects were discussed with the Steering Committee members.
- **W. Jackson Street**: What should the limits be for the corridor study? Mike Ziarnek will discuss this project with his representatives at the city and e-mail Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer the City of Pensacola’s position on this proposed project. Terri Malone e-mailed a map showing the limits that Escambia County has for this proposed project.

- **W. Jordan Street**: What should the limits be for the corridor study? Include Maxwell / Jordan two-way conversion evaluation? Mike Ziarnek indicated the City of Pensacola does not want any additional Capacity Projects in the Long-Range Transportation Plan. The City of Pensacola is trying to avoid another West Cervantes Street type of improvement. Mike Ziarnek will discuss this project with his representatives and e-mail Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer the City of Pensacola’s position on this proposed project.

- **Olive Road**: With the recent improvements to Olive Road (underway), should further capacity improvement be recommended? Terri Malone recommended that this project not be included in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Needs Plan.

- **E. Johnson Road**: Should capacity improvement be recommended? Terri Malone recommended that this project not be included in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Needs Plan.

- **Port Access and Bayfront Parkway**: We’ve identified the need for a corridor study along Bayfront Parkway but also need to balance that with the designated Port truck access routes consistent with the Port Master Plan. Is there clarification on the limits of the Bayfront corridor study and port access routes? Bryant Paulk indicated a new Feasibility Study for this corridor is needed once City’s of Pensacola’s requested for a Pedestrian Boulevard and the Port’s recommendation for a study for improvements to their existing routes can be a consensus recommendation. Mike Ziarnek will discuss this project with both the Port of Pensacola and City of Pensacola representatives and send an e-mail to Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer.

- **US 29 Connector**: Is there still a desire for a US 29 connector / west bypass (shown as 2045 Needs Plan Project #47)? Should this improvement be from Nine Mile Road to Muscogee Road or from Nine Mile Road to US 29. Terri Malone will discuss with the Escambia County representatives and will e-mail Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer Escambia’s County’s recommendation on this proposed project.

- **Kingsfield Road**: Is there a preferred new alignment? Terri Malone e-mailed a diagram for the preferred alignment.

- **Express Transit Route**: Is there a desire for an express bus route from Pensacola to Gulf Breeze to Navarre to Hurlburt Field? Colette Wiedeman iterated that currently the Escambia County Area Transit Route does not go beyond Gulf Breeze on US 98. Zakkiyyah Osuigwe added that Santa Rosa County is in favor of this project because the Transportation Disadvantaged Program is the only transportation service that currently exists in Santa Rosa County. Gary Kramer added that by 2045 this alternative mode project would be needed. The Steering Committee members agreed to leave this project in the Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.

- **Seasonal Transit Route**: Is there a desire for seasonal bus route from Pensacola to Perdido Key to Orange Beach/Gulf Shores? Colette Wiedeman stated a route to Perdido Key was tried a few years ago and did not generate enough ridership. Griffin Powell stated because the summer beach season and attractions in Orange Beach, the City of Orange Beach would be in favor of this projects. The Steering Committee members requested that Baldwin Regional Area Transit System needs to provide input on this improvement as well. Barbara Mayall requested Tonya Ellis’ input on this project. Colette Wiedeman restated that a route to Perdido Key was tried a few years ago and did not generate enough ridership. The Steering Committee Meeting deferred to the TPO Board on a recommendation for this project.

- **Autonomous Vehicle Circulating Route**: Is there a desire to consider a circulating autonomous vehicle pod service circulating on camps at University of West Florida? Colette Wiedeman indicated
ECAT is ok with this project just so long as it is understood it will be awhile before it comes to fruition. Colette Wiedeman recommended to follow-up with the University of West of Florida and provided a contact for the University of West Florida.

- Is Foley Beach Express at Canal Road grade-separated Interchange or an at-grade intersection improvement? Griffin Powell recommended this improvement be a grade-separated interchange because of the Cross Island Parkway. Griffin provided a map illustrating the proposed location of the Cross Island Parkway.

- Park and Ride lots: Are there specific locations desired for park and ride lots? The Steering Committee members did not provide any additional locations for park and ride lots.

- Electric Vehicle Charging Stations: Are there specific locations desired for electric vehicle charging stations? The Steering Committee members recommended this improvement be included as a written paragraph in the final report because the exact locations cannot be recommended at this time.

- SR 87 SIS to Whiting Field: What are the recommended limits of the SIS Corridor? Should the limits be from the Whiting Field to the Alabama Line because of the proposed SR 87 Connector or should the limits be from US 90 to the Alabama Line. Zakkiyyah Osuigwe will discuss with the Santa Rosa County and Whiting Field representatives and will provide an e-mail response to Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer.

- Truck Pulloff Parking off of US 29. Gary Kramer requested Cory Wilkinson to highlight the proposed location of truck pull-off facility off of US 29. Cory Wilkinson identified the location at the US 29 weigh station and added that this project was a result of the FDOT Freight presentation to the Steering Committee identifying the need for this project. The Steering Committee members agreed with this proposed project.

E. Additional Needs Plan Projects

- Cory Wilkinson iterated that two additional projects were received from David Forte for potential inclusion into the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. Cory Wilkinson indicated the two projects will be added to the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. The two projects are:
  - Pace Boulevard from Main Street to the City Limits (Corridor/Complete Streets Improvements).
  - Garden Street from Pace Boulevard to Alcaniz Street (Corridor/Complete Streets Improvements).
  - A list of additional potential projects was submitted by the City of Pensacola by David Forte via e-mail after the meeting.
- The Steering Committee members asked when the due date is to submit comments or additional projects for potential inclusion in the Draft 2045 Needs Plan?
- Gary Kramer responded a due date to request additional projects for potential inclusion in the Draft 2045 Needs Plan will be included in the May TPO and advisory committee’s agenda enclosure since the enclosure is due to the TPO Coordinator this week.
- Vince Beebe apologized for joining the conference call late due to another conference call. He asked what projects he should be aware of.
- Cory Wilkinson reviewed the projects in the Orange Beach and Lillian areas with him.

F. Draft Congestion Management Process

- Gary Kramer iterated the Congestion Management Process Major Update was a review item at the February TPO and advisory committee meetings. The draft was posted on the web site with comments requested by the close of business on February 24, 2020. Comments were received by the Florida
Department of Transportation, the Federal Highway Administration, and David Forte. The comments and responses to the comments will be included in the May TPO and advisory committee agenda. The Congestion Management Process will be an action item at the May TPO and advisory committee Meetings.

G. Comments from Committee Members and Public

- Mike Ziarnek questioned the use of volume to capacity ratios for identifying roadway deficiencies and will relay his concerns to the city's leadership.
- Gary Kramer stated the use of a standard transportation model has been endorsed by the Florida Department of Transportation. Each of Transportation Planning Organizations in Florida use this standard transportation model for developing their Long-Range Transportation Plans. The list of deficiencies based on volume to capacity ratios is the standard practice for developing the first cut of the Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan for the Transportation Planning Organizations.

H. Next Steps

- Gary Kramer mentioned the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan will be a review item at the May TPO and advisory committee meetings and for action at their following meetings. The public involvement/workshop process is still being discussed by the TPO Staff based on the virtual meeting process due to the Corona Virus Pandemic.

I. Adjournment

- The meeting was adjourned by Chairman Powell.
**A. Introductions and Welcome**

- Gary Kramer welcomed the participants to the Steering Committee GoTo Meeting for the 2045 Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) Long-Range Transportation Plan. He mentioned Chairman Griffin Powell could not attend the Steering Committee Meeting.
- Gary Kramer reviewed the attendance list so the attendance of participants could be included in the meeting summary.
B. Update on the Process and Schedule

- Gary Kramer stated the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan was presented as a review item to the TPO and advisory committees at their May meetings. The Congestion Management Process Major Update was approved by the TPO in May. It is anticipated the Steering Committee will meet two more times for the development of the Cost Feasible Plan. The Needs Plan will be an action item at the July TPO and advisory committee meetings. The 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan needs to be adopted by November 3, 2020 to maintain the five year requirement between Long-Range Transportation Plans.

C. Existing Plus Committed and Draft Needs Plan Deficiency Maps

- Cory Wilkinson displayed the Existing Plus Committed Network and Draft Needs Plan Deficiency Maps. The Existing Plus Committed Network was run with the 2045 Socio-Economic Data to obtain a list of roadway deficiencies to see what projects needed additional capacity in the 2045 Needs Plan. After coding the draft Needs Plan projects, the Needs Plan projects were then run with the 2045 Socio-Economic Data to develop a Draft Needs Plan Deficiency Map. Cory Wilkinson then toggled back forth on the maps to illustrate the Draft 2045 Needs Plan resolved most of the deficiencies.
- Cory Wilkinson mentioned that Scenic Highway and Perdido Key Drive are constrained facilities so no roadway widening projects are proposed in the Draft 2045 Needs Plan for those roadways. The interchange at 9th Avenue and I-10 caused deficiencies on I-10 and 9th Avenue. The other Needs Plan deficiencies are addressed by Corridor Management Plans/Complete Street Projects.

D. Draft 2045 Needs Plan Interactive Map and Spreadsheet

- Cory Wilkinson reviewed the Draft 2045 Needs Plan Spreadsheet headings and tabs with the Steering Committee members. The headings are the Map IDs for the 2045 and 2040 Needs Plan, Project Type, three categories of Volume to Capacity Ratios (Very Congested, Congested, and Borderline), Volume to Capacity Ratios for the Existing Plus Committed Network and Needs Plan Model runs, if the project is on a transit route, if the project considers Port and Truck improvements, if the project benefits the Military, if the project is on the Strategic Intermodal System, if the project is needed beyond 2045 or Illustrative Project, if the project is near a coastal high hazard area, if the project is on the Advanced Traffic Management System network, If the project is policy or environmentally constrained, the roadway segment, the length of the project, Census Designated Place of the project, Project Description, Project Type, Federal Funding if the project is in the Transportation Improvement Program, if the project is in past Needs Plans, and notes related to the project.
- Cory Wilkinson identified the tabs on the bottom of the spreadsheet as all of the 2045 Needs Plan Projects, Illustrative or projects that are needed beyond 2045, Public Transportation, Operational Projects, and Priorities provided by the Airport, the Port, and the Military.
- Cory Wilkinson displayed the interactive Needs Plan Map. If you click on a specific project, different attributes regarding the project appear on the screen. An important tool of the interactive map is the different layers that can illustrate project impacts. These different layers are the TPO Boundaries, Functional Classification, National and State Parks, Wildlife Management Areas, State Forests, Fish and

E. **Projects Needing Additional Direction from Steering Committee Meeting Members**

- Cory Wilkinson mentioned the following Draft 2045 Needs Plan projects need additional direction from the Steering Committee.
  - **College Parkway Extension.** Cory Wilkinson mentioned this project was included in the 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan. It has been carried over in the Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan. Cory Wilkinson requested Mike Ziarnek to follow-up with him to see if the City of Pensacola still supports this capacity project being included in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan. Mike Ziarnek indicated he will coordinate with the City of Pensacola personnel regarding the status of this project. Based on the direction from the City of Pensacola, this project has been removed from the Draft 2045 Needs Plan.
  
- **Creighton Road.** Cory Wilkinson mentioned this project was included in the 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan. It has been carried over in the Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan. Cory Wilkinson requested Mike Ziarnek to follow-up with him to see if the City of Pensacola still supports this capacity project being included in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan. Mike Ziarnek indicated he will coordinate with the City of Pensacola and Escambia County, this project has been removed from the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. The project has been replaced with a Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Project.

- **UWF Autonomous Bus Route.** Cory Wilkinson indicated that Chip Chism responded on UWF's behalf and indicated that UWF did not wish to pursue the Autonomous Bus Route at this time, but if anything changes, he will notify the TPO. As a result, the project has been removed from the Draft 2045 Needs Plan.

- **Chemstrand Road.** Cory Wilkinson reviewed the Chemstrand Road Widening Project with the Steering Committee members to see if this project should remain the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. Terri Malone replied after the meeting that because of the anticipated growth in the Nine Mile Road area in the future, Escambia County recommends that this project remain as capacity improvement in the Draft 2045 Needs plan.

- **East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan.** Cory Wilkinson stated that the East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan was presented to the TPO and advisory committees as a draft in May. As a result, no capacity projects are proposed along the corridor. Cory Wilkinson asked the Steering Committee members if any projects from the Corridor Management Plan should be included in the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. Zakkiiyyah Osuigwe indicated the proposed roundabouts are potential projects that may need to be included in the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. She will follow-up with Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer if Santa Rosa County recommends the proposed roundabouts from the East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan be included in the Draft 2045 Needs Plan.

- **SR 87 SIS Route.** Cory Wilkinson reviewed the SR 87 SIS route that was provided by Shawn Ward and Zakkiiyyah Osuigwe. The SR 87 SIS route follows the SR 87 Connector then follows SR 87 North to the state line. The portion on SR 87 North from US 90 to the SR 87 Connector has been removed from the Draft 2045 Needs Plan.
• **Seasonal Express Bus Route.** Cory Wilkinson discussed the Seasonal Express Bus Route from Escambia County Area Transit to Perdido Key to Orange Beach to Gulf Shores. Because Escambia County Area Transit had concerns with this project at the previous Steering Committee Meeting and the Alabama representation on the Steering Committee favored the project at the previous Steering Committee Meeting, the recommendation on this project for the Draft 2045 Needs Plan will be differed to the July TPO and advisory committee meetings when the Draft 2045 Needs Plan is presented for action.

• **Park and Ride Lots.** Cory Wilkinson reviewed the potential Park and Ride Lots in the TPO Study Area that he has recommended for inclusion in the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. He recommended that the Steering Committee members review these potential locations and see if any changes or additions are needed. Gary Kramer requested that ALDOT, FDOT, and the Emerald Coast Regional Council’s rideOn program review these locations as well to see if any changes or additions are needed. Mary Jo Gustave replied she will coordinate with her contacts and will provide any changes or additions to Cory Wilkinson and Gary Kramer.

• **Vince Beebe/BRATS.** Gary Kramer asked Vince Beebe if ALDOT had any comments on the projects in Alabama. Vince Beebe indicated he will discuss the projects with other representatives of ALDOT and will provide any comments to Gary Kramer and Cory Wilkinson. Vince Beebe indicated he will contact the Baldwin Regional Area Transit System to see if they have comments on the public transportation projects such as the seasonal route that was previously mentioned. Vince Beebe stated that several projects have been funded with BUILD (Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development) Grants that may have potential impacts on the TPO area which have been shared with Gary Kramer.

F. **Additional Needs Plan Projects**

• Gary Kramer added that the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization’s Bicycle Pedestrian Plan Projects will be added to the Draft 2045 Needs Plan. The projects have been requested from Caitlin Cerame, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization’s Bicycle/Pedestrian Planner.

G. **Comments from Committee Members and Public**

• Cory Wilkinson stated that comments regarding the 2045 Needs Plan have been received from Sean Bullington, Terri Malone, and David Forte.

• Mary Jo Gustave indicated public input for the 2045 Needs Plan will be handled virtually. She will arrange a meeting with Mary Beth Washnock, Gary Kramer, Cory Wilkinson, and the Public Involvement Staff to develop an agenda for the 2045 Needs Plan Public Participation Process.

H. **Next Steps**

• Gary Kramer mentioned the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan will be an action item at the July TPO and advisory committee meetings. Public participation will occur virtually in June. The Steering Committee will meet two more times July/August and September for the review and development of the 2045 Cost Feasible Plan. The 2045 Cost Feasible Plan will be a review item at the September TPO and advisory committee meetings and approval at their October meetings. A public participation process will occur between the September and October TPO meetings.
I. **Adjournment**

- The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.
1. To what degree is the TPO helping steer employers to telecommuting to avoid expensive roadway projects?

Transportation Demand Management Techniques such as staggered work hours, telecommuting, park and ride lots at Avalon and I-10 and Scenic and I-10, alternate routes, and Car Pools with co-workers are some of the techniques to explore when you are frequently stuck in traffic while trying to get to work. The Emerald Coast Regional Council who staffs the TPO also has a ride-On program that can assist employers with the benefits of telecommuting.

2. With Covid-19 response leading to more telecommuting and less commuting to work, how will the Long-Range Transportation Plan account for this pandemic event?

Socio-economic data such as employment are included in the Traffic Analysis Zones of the Regional Transportation Model base year during each Long-Range Transportation Plan update. 2015 is the base year for the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan. The TPO staff works with the Department of Transportation to review this socio-economic data. The DOT anticipates having two data sets for the 2020 Employment information (before Covid-19 and during Covid-19) for the 2020 base year for the 2050 Long-Range Transportation Plan. Revenue projections are based on Vehicle Miles of Travel. Thus, revenue projections for 2020 are likely to be less than previous years because of less people on the roadways and more people working from home. To account for situations such as the pandemic, the Long-Range Transportation Plan is required to be updated every five years and must maintain a twenty year planning horizon. As a result, when the next Long-Range Transportation Plan is completed, the Covid-19 pandemic is anticipated to be accounted for by being addressed in the socio-economic projections for 2020 (base year) and 2050 (horizon year).

3. Complete Streets project from Stewart Street to Willing Street is listed in the Project Priorities. What are examples of complete streets projects?

Complete type projects are based on different area types. For a Central Business District like this project, Complete Street type of examples are sidewalks with tree canopy, bicycle lanes, on street parking, and low traffic speeds.

4. What is the status of Beulah Road and I-10 Interchange Road projects?

I-10 at Beulah Road is currently the Number 2 TPO Priority for Strategic Intermodal System Funds. The Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study and Design Phases are underway. Right-of-Way is funded in Fiscal Year 2025 in the amount of $2,950,000. The priority is for additional Right-of-Way funding. For additional project information, visit the FDOT public information site https://nwflroads.com/projects/433113-1.

5. Can you add multimodal pathways to the legend?

For this Long-Range Transportation Plan, the TPO's Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan is part of the 2045 Needs Plan. The Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects are included in the layers tab instead of the legends tab because the TPO's Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan is a separate standalone document just like the Long-Range Transportation Plan.

6. Are there any proposed roundabouts for the area?
Yes, there are five roundabouts included in the draft 2045 Needs Plan. Two on Pensacola Beach, two in Navarre on East Bay Parkway, and one on Perdido Key Drive at Johnson’s Beach Road.

7. How did Alabama become involved in the TPO process?

Every 10 years based on the Census; the Federal Highway Administration submits boundaries for the Transportation Planning Organizations in Urbanized Areas over 50,000 population that have a contiguous boundary. After the 2000 Census, portions of Lillian were added to the Pensacola Urbanized Area. After the 2010 Census, Orange Beach was added to the Pensacola Urbanized Area. This Urbanized Area is now called the Pensacola, FL-AL Urbanized Area. The TPO needs to plan for transportation for the area that is anticipated to be urbanized in the next 20 years, so it includes a Metropolitan Planning Area that is larger than the Urbanized Area Boundary for transportation planning purposes.

8. When will the Long-Range Transportation Plan be completed and how can you get a copy of the plan?

The 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan was adopted by the TPO on November 3, 2020. The 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan is anticipated to be adopted by the TPO on October 14, 2020 to meet the five year requirement between Long-Range Transportation Plan Updates. Once the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan is adopted, it will be included on the web site. The web site link will be e-mailed to the TPO, advisory committees, and interested parties.

https://www.ecrc.org/programs/transportation_planning/plans_and_documents/long_range_transportation_plan/2045_lrtp_documents.php

9. Why does it take so long for a project to be completed?

Once the Long-Range Transportation Plan is adopted, projects from the Cost Feasible Plan are included in a priorities list which the TPO adopts annually. Four different phases need to be completed before a construction project is open to traffic (Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study, Design, Right-of-Way, and Construction). These different phases each take approximately two years to complete. Roadway projects compete against each for funding. Usually, DOT follows the TPO’s priorities for funding projects. Therefore, a project needs to a high priority before it is usually included in the DOT Work Program for the four phases to be funded. Lastly, there are several needed projects in the Long-Range Transportation Plan but only the projects based on anticipated funding, or the Cost Feasible Plan, are the projects that are considered for funding every year. Federal legislation mandates that the Long-Range Transportation Plan be fiscally constrained.
Florida-Alabama Transportation Needs Plan Survey
The Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is currently updating the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan. As an everyday user of the transportation system, we want to hear about your community’s transportation needs.

Please complete our short survey to share your thoughts!

Thinking into the future, what do you want transportation to look like in the next 20+ years? *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Not Important At All</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Important</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Privately-owned Automobiles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Transportation (carpool, vanpool)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated Vehicles (driverless vehicles)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you feel an improved and expanded public transit service (automated or human-operated) would help alleviate traffic congestion?

Yes

No

What other public transportation improvements do you feel are needed in the future?

What are the top 2 non-roadway improvement projects needed most in your area?

Complete Streets Project (addressing modes other than single occupancy vehicles)
Corridor Management (studies on safety, lighting, crosswalk)
Public Transportation (adding transit bus and transit routes/stops)
Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities (recreational trails, sidewalk connectivity, multiuse trails)

Please provide your zip code: *
This 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan survey was posted Emerald Coast Regional Coast Web Site from June 8 to June 19, 2020. Eighty people responded. Pie charts of the survey results are available upon request. Although the survey might not be statistically significant, the results coincide with the project assumptions for the Draft 2045 Florida-Alabama Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.

- Private automobiles will still be an important transportation mode in the twenty years.
- Carpools and van pools should be considered in the future but will not be a primary mode of transportation.
- Automated, or driverless, Vehicles are not a primary transportation planning need in the next twenty years.
- Planning for Bicycle/Pedestrian accommodations is a strong component for transportation in the next twenty years.
- Complete Streets and Corridor Management are non-roadway improvements that are needed in the TPO area.
- An expanded public transit service (automated or human–operated) would help alleviate traffic congestion, but primary public transit service such as adding a transit bus, transit routes, and stops are not primary non-roadway improvements.

There were three types of responses even though the opened ended question dealt with other public transportation improvements: (1) Public Transportation; (2) Roadway; and (3) Bicycle/Pedestrian. The Bicycle/Pedestrian comments were forward to the TPO's Bicycle/Pedestrian Planner for consideration in development of the Bicycle/Pedestrian Plans in the region. The TPO's Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan and the priorities for State Urban Funds in the Alabama region of our TPO are the Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects listed in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.

**Public Transportation**

**LRTP**
- Monorail/High Speed Rail (*Long Term*).
- Light rail (*Next LRTP Update*).
- Regional Mass Transit System (*Next LRTP Update*)
- Expand Public Transportation to Santa Rosa County (*Recommendation in 2045 LRTP Needs Plan. Ultimately, Local government regulation*).
- Transportation Demand Management—staggered work hours (*ECRC ride-On Program*).
- More Park and Ride Lots (*Park and Ride Lots were reviewed in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan. Existing locations have been identified by public transportation and DOT staffs, but no future locations are known at this time*).

**Transit Development Plan**
- Improved Bus Routes.
- Transit stop structures at more locations.
- Not enough mass in the region for people to use public transportation in this region (*Education for Transit Development Plan*)

**Local Government**
- Land Use and infrastructure need to be in place for public transportation expansion to work.
- Provide Uber tickets instead of buses.
- BRATS is great but hours/routes going to Mobile and back are very limited (*Recommendation has been forwarded to BRATS, Baldwin County, and South Alabama Regional Planning Commission but Mobile is outside the Florida-Alabama TPO Study Area*).
1. **Enforcement of speed limit.**
   
   *Enforcement of speed limits is a law enforcement responsibility.*

2. **Better infrastructure.**
   
   *New infrastructure and roadway resurfacing are a priority of DOT and must be prioritized based on need. The reason for prioritization of new infrastructure and resurfacing is there is not enough revenue to improve every roadway in the TPO Study area at one time.*

3. **Tunnel is needed in Mobile.**
   
   *Mobile, Alabama is outside the Florida-Alabama TPO Study Area.*

4. **4-laning of US 90 is needed in downtown Milton.**
   
   *The 4-laning of US 90 in downtown Milton is included in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.*

5. **Complete streets to accommodate bicyclists and pedestrians.**
   
   *Several complete improvements exist in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.*

6. **4 lanes road in Pace, Florida.**
   
   *Several roads in Pace, Florida are shown as 4-lane needed roadways in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.*

7. **More Roundabouts.**
   
   *Five Roundabouts are listed in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.*

8. **Flyover at 98 in Navarre.**
   
   *The Flyover at 98 in Navarre is one of the alternatives that exist in the US 98 PD&E Study.*

9. **New Interchange at I-10 and Beulah and a north-south corridor from Isaacs Lane to Muscogee, connected by an extension of West Kingsfield from Hwy 97 to Beulah Road.**
   
   *These projects are listed in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.*

10. **Prioritization of Highway 90 improvements.**
    
    *Prioritization of Highway 90 improvements exist in the Florida-Alabama TPO Project Priorities.*

11. **5 lane Perdido Key Drive.**
    
    *Perdido Key Drive is a county-maintained facility and environmental constraints exist that are detrimental to a roadway widening. Thus, no roadway widening of Perdido Key Drive is included in the 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan.*
### Projects Included in the 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan
but no longer included in the Draft 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Reason not Included in 2045 Needs Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perdido Key Drive</td>
<td>Alabama State Line</td>
<td>South end of ICWW Bridge</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Provide enhanced capacity through multi-modal improvements</td>
<td>Roadway has been transferred to Escambia County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>Godwin Lane</td>
<td>US 90 (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Widen to 4 Lanes</td>
<td>Roadway not deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 742 (E. Burgess Road)</td>
<td>Lanier Drive</td>
<td>Plantation Road</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Enhanced 2 Lanes</td>
<td>Roadway not deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>US 29</td>
<td>West of Davis Highway</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Widen to 8 Lanes</td>
<td>Roadway not on SIS Needs Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17th Avenue at Bayfront Parkway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Intersection</td>
<td>Committed Project—Bridge Replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 98 (W. Navy Blvd)</td>
<td>New Warrington Road</td>
<td>Bayou Chico</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Widen to Four Lanes</td>
<td>Project changed to Complete Streets Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 742 (Creighton Road)</td>
<td>Forest Glen Drive (east of 9th Ave)</td>
<td>US 90 (Scenic Highway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Widen to Four Lanes</td>
<td>Project changed to Complete Streets Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Parkway Extension</td>
<td>SR 296 (Brent Lane)</td>
<td>Trinity Drive</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>New 2 lane facility</td>
<td>Project connectivity has been completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>SR 87 South</td>
<td>SA Jones Road</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Widen to 4 Lanes</td>
<td>Roadway not deficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eglin Field/Hurlburt Field Bypass</td>
<td>SR 87 South</td>
<td>Into Okaloosa County</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>New Four Lane Facility</td>
<td>Removed as Aspirational Project per Eglin Air Force Base’s request</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR 399 (East Bay Boulevard)</td>
<td>SR 87</td>
<td>Edgewood Drive</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Enhanced 2 Lanes</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 399 (Navarre Beach Causeway)</td>
<td>US 98 (SR 30)</td>
<td>SR 399 (Gulf Boulevard)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Enhanced 2 Lanes</td>
<td>Project has been completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgewood Drive</td>
<td>CR 399 (East Bay Boulevard)</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Enhanced 2 Lanes</td>
<td>Project has been completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Foley Beach Express</td>
<td>SR 161</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Widen to 5 Lanes</td>
<td>Committed Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Wilson Boulevard</td>
<td>Pensacola Avenue</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Widen to 3 Lanes</td>
<td>Removed at the request of Orange Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL SR 161 at Perdido Beach Blvd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Intersection</td>
<td>Removed at the request of Orange Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Draft 2045 Needs Plan
(Spreadsheet)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>US 90 / US 98</td>
<td>Florida/Alabama State Line</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Seminole</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>US 98 / US 98</td>
<td>MS 98 (SR 30)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Gulf Shores / Orange Beach</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>US 98 / US 98</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>AL Cross Island Connector (Foley Beach Ext.)</td>
<td>SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>SR 182 (Perdido Beach Boulevard)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Provide 5 Lanes Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>AL SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Foley Beach Express</td>
<td>SR 16 (Orange Beach Blvd)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Provide 5 Lanes Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>AL SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Foley Beach Express</td>
<td>SR 59 (Perdido Beach Boulevard)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Provide 5 Lanes Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>AL Wolf Bay Bridge</td>
<td>SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Century Point Rd (Canal Road)</td>
<td>CR 10 / Harpoon Drive</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Construct new 2 Lane Bridge and Connecting Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>AL Gulf Coast Intracoastal Waterway Bridge</td>
<td>SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Foley Beach Express</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Provide 5 Lanes Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>AL Traffic Operations Center</td>
<td>Traffic Operations Center</td>
<td>Traffic Operations Center</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Construct Traffic Operations Center for Orange Beach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>AL CR 83 (Baldwin Beach Express) Extension</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>I-65</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Provide 2 or 4 Lanes of New Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 742 (W. Burgess Road)</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>CR 724 (Crawfort Rd / Hilmnb Rd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>Alabama State Line</td>
<td>SR 99 (Baufal Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pace / Pead Ridge</td>
<td>Realign/Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>Pine Forest Road (SR 297)</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Catoonument</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 99 (Baufal Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Beulah</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>University Parkway</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Enidley</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>SR 291 (Davis Highway)</td>
<td>US 90 (Scenic Highway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Ferry Pass</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>SR 291 (Davis Highway)</td>
<td>US 90 (Scenic Highway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Ferry Pass</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 289 (Ninth Avenue)</td>
<td>CR 289 (Dove Road)</td>
<td>CR 289 (Dove Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity with I-10 at Ninth Avenue Interchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 173 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy / Sorrento Rd)</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 173 (N. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>SR 296 (Staife Field Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Bellview</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 173 (N. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 296 (Staife Field Road)</td>
<td>SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Bellview</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 292 (Perdido Key Dr / The Oaks Bypass)</td>
<td>South end of the ICWW Bridge</td>
<td>CR 292 (Sauflay Field Rd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Innerarity</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity and Bridge Replacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 292 (Sorrento Road)</td>
<td>SR 173 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 272 (Fairfield Drive)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pleasant Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 272 (Fairfield Dr)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pleasant Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>I-10 (SR 8)</td>
<td>US 90 (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pine Forest</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>Nine and 1/2 Mile Road</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pine Forest</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 727 (W. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 298 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 297 (W. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>SR 298 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Millville</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 29 (W. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>US 29 (W. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>US 29 (W. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Centennial</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>Mobile Highway / US 90</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Beulah</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 296 (Staife Field Rd)</td>
<td>SR 173 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 272 (S. Fairfield Dr)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pleasant Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity and access improvements to Staife Field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>Frank Fowler Road</td>
<td>Devine Farm Road</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>New Overpass (no interstate access)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 453 (North W. Street)</td>
<td>SR 296 (Beverly Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 750 (W. Airport Blvd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Brent</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>SR 277 (S. Fairfield Dr)</td>
<td>SR 295 (N. Navy Blvd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Widen to 6 Lanes and Access Improvements to Corry Station at Navy Exchange Rd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>SR 272 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 295 (N. Navy Blvd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>SR 297 (Dog Truck Rd) / SR 298 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 173 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 295 (N. New Warrington Rd)</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 727 (S. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Navy Point</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 295 (New Warrington Rd)</td>
<td>SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Bellview</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 298 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 295 (N New Warrington Rd)</td>
<td>US 98 / US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 298 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 295 (New Warrington Rd)</td>
<td>US 98 (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 298 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 297 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>CR 297 (Dog Truck Rd) / US 98 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>East end of Lillian Bridge</td>
<td>CR 297 (Dog Truck Rd) / US 98 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Myrtle Grove</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 296 (Brent Lane)</td>
<td>I-110</td>
<td>US 98 (N. Palafax St)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 296 (Sauflay Field Rd)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 295 (N. New Warrington Rd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 173 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy) / Sorrento Rd</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy) / Sorrento Rd</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pleasant Grove</td>
<td>Truck Entrance to NAS West Gate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy at West Gate)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 297 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy) / Sorrento Rd</td>
<td>SR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy) / West Gate</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pleasant Grove</td>
<td>Truck Entrance to NAS West Gate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 292 (Gulf Beach Hwy at West Gate)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 297 (S. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project</td>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Port Main Gate Relocation</td>
<td>E. Main Street and SR 138 (Beaumont) Frontage</td>
<td>E. Main Street and SR 138 (Beaumont) Frontage</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Relocation of Port operational security and access gate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 29</td>
<td>Nine 1/2 Mile Road</td>
<td>State Line</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment / Century</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Greenbrier Blvd Extension</td>
<td>E. Ten Mile Road / Gaddy Lane</td>
<td>Campus Dr (UWF)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Scenic Hills / UWF</td>
<td>Provide 2 Lanes of Capacity and Road Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Scenic Highway</td>
<td>Esc River Bridge (Santa Rosa Line)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pace</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 99 (Beulah Road)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>I-10 New Interchange</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Beulah</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 749 (Chemstrand Road)</td>
<td>Nine Mile Road</td>
<td>Old Chemstrand Road</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Emley</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Well Line Road</td>
<td>US 29</td>
<td>CR 97 (Jacks Branch)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>New 2 Lane Facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Kingsfield Road</td>
<td>CR 97 W</td>
<td>SR 99 (Beulah Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>New 2 Lane Facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Quintette Road</td>
<td>US 29</td>
<td>CR 97 (Jacks Branch)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>New 2 Lane Facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 750 (Airport Blvd) at RR Xing</td>
<td>SR 750 (Airport Blvd) at RR Xing</td>
<td>SR 750 (Airport Blvd) at RR Xing</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Railroad Overpass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>SR 99 (Beulah Road)</td>
<td>SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Beulah</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pine Forest</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Muscoe Road Reconstruction</td>
<td>SR 99 (Beulah Road)</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>Reconstruction (widening, shoulder improvements)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Lillian Hwy)</td>
<td>East end of Lillian Bridge</td>
<td>West end of Lillian Bridge</td>
<td>Escambia / Baldwin</td>
<td>Lillian</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity and Bridge Replacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 29 Connector East Bypass / CR 18A Quintette Road</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>CR 197 Chumuckla hwy / Five Points</td>
<td>Escambia / Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Quintette / Pace</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Regional ATMS</td>
<td>Multiple Locations</td>
<td>Multiple Locations</td>
<td>Escambia / Santa Rosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Advanced Traffic Management System (ATMS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 197A (Bell Lane)</td>
<td>CR 191B (Stirling Way)</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Pace</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Peninsula Connector</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>CR 191 (Willard Norris Road)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of New Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>SR 87 South</td>
<td>Okalona County Line</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Harold</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 399 (Navarre Beach Causeway)</td>
<td>US 98 (SR 30)</td>
<td>SR 399 (Gulf Boulevard)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity and Bridge Replacement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Navarre Community Access Road</td>
<td>Edgewood Drive</td>
<td>Whispering Pines Boulevard</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Provide 2 Lanes of New Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 191B (Stirling Way)</td>
<td>CR 107A (Bell Lane)</td>
<td>Avion Boulevard (SR 281)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Pace</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Watkins Street Extension</td>
<td>US 90 (SR 10)</td>
<td>Sterling Way</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Pace</td>
<td>Improved/New 2 Lane Facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 184A (Berryhill Rd)</td>
<td>Five Points Intersection</td>
<td>W Spencer Field Rd</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Navarre Bridge Realignment</td>
<td>US 87</td>
<td>SR 399 (Navarre Beach Causeway)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Bridge Realignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>E. Spencer Field Road</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Hamilton Bridge Road</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>SR 281 (Avion Boulevard)</td>
<td>SR 87 South</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Esc River Bridge (Santa Rosa Line)</td>
<td>Simpson River Bridge</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Simpson River Bridge</td>
<td>Third Avenue</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Third Avenue</td>
<td>CR 107A Bell Lane</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>CR 107A Bell Lane</td>
<td>Glover Lane</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 197A (Woodbine Road)</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Five Points Intersection</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Panhandle Trail Drive</td>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>East River Drive</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Enhanced 2 Lane Facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Parkway)</td>
<td>Bayshore Road</td>
<td>Portside Drive</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Parkway)</td>
<td>Portside Drive</td>
<td>Bergren Road</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Parkway)</td>
<td>Bergren Road</td>
<td>Ramble Bay Lane</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Parkway)</td>
<td>Ramble Bay Lane</td>
<td>Ortega Street</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Parkway)</td>
<td>Ortega Street</td>
<td>Okalona County Line</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 197 (Chumuckla Hwy)</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Five Points Intersection</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>CR 197 (Chumuckla Hwy)</td>
<td>Five Points Intersection</td>
<td>Smyer Drive</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>Daniel Street</td>
<td>Shoreline Drive</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Pkwy)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Gulf Breeze</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 87</td>
<td>US 90 via SR 87A New Connector</td>
<td>FL / AL State-Line</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>East Milton</td>
<td>SIS / STRAYNET to Whitfield Field and 4-lane capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 87A New Connector</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>CR 191 (Munson Hwy)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>East Milton</td>
<td>New 4-lane capacity corridor for SR 87 Connector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>SR 87A New Connector</td>
<td>CR 191 (Munson Hwy)</td>
<td>CR 87N</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>East Milton</td>
<td>New 4-lane capacity corridor for SR 87 Connector</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90</td>
<td>Glover Lane</td>
<td>CR 87 N (Stewart Street)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>Provide 6 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (Carbon Street)</td>
<td>CR 87 N (Stewart Street)</td>
<td>CR 89 (Ward Basin Road)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>US 90A (Carbon Street)</td>
<td>CR 89 (Ward Basin Road)</td>
<td>CR 87 S</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>East Milton</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project / Complete Streets</td>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Place</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Main Street</td>
<td>Barrancas Avenue</td>
<td>S. Clubs Street</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 196 (Main Street / Bayfront Parkway)</td>
<td>Alcaniz Street</td>
<td>US 98 / E. Chase Street</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study (maintain Port linkage)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 289 (North Avenue)</td>
<td>SR 196 (Bayfront Parkway)</td>
<td>SR 286 (Brent Lane)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study (lighting, safety, sidewalks, capacity)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineleaf-Leonard Connector</td>
<td>SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>Wymat Road</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pineleaf-Leonard Connector</td>
<td>Wymat Road</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 742 (Creighton Road)</td>
<td>Forest Glen Drive (east of 9th Ave)</td>
<td>US 90 (Scenic Highway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 98 (N. W. Nav Blvd)</td>
<td>SR 295 (N. W. Nav Blvd)</td>
<td>SR 452 (N. W. Street)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Project is in Design to redesign as Complete Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 90 (W. Cervantes St)</td>
<td>A Street</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Brownsville</td>
<td>Road Diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 292 (Barrancas Avenue)</td>
<td>SR 292 (S. Pace Blvd)</td>
<td>US 98 (Garden Street)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 292 (Barrancas Avenue)</td>
<td>Old Barrancas Avenue</td>
<td>SR 295 (N. Nav Blvd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Warrington</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR 296A (Marlaine Drive / Cerney Rd)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 273 (N. Blue Angel Parkway)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Beltview</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patricia Drive</td>
<td>CR 296A (Marlaine Drive / Cerney Rd)</td>
<td>SR 727 (W. Fairfield Drive)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Beltview</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 295 (W. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>SR 295 (New Warrington Road)</td>
<td>SR 292 (N. Pace Blvd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 295 (W. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>SR 292 (N. Pace Blvd)</td>
<td>US 29 (N. Palafox St)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 295 (E. Fairfield Dr.)</td>
<td>US 29 (N. Palafox St)</td>
<td>SR 291 (N. Davis Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR 298 (W. Jackson St)</td>
<td>SR 727 (N. Fairfield Dr)</td>
<td>A Street</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Study in Conjunction with W. Cervantes Road Diet Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 296 (Beverly Pkwy / W. Michigian Ave)</td>
<td>US 29 (N. Palafox St)</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts Avenue</td>
<td>US 90 (Mobile Hwy)</td>
<td>SR 292 (N. Pace Blvd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Jordan Street</td>
<td>N. E Street</td>
<td>US 29 (N. Palafox St)</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td></td>
<td>Corridor Study - Maxwell / Jordan two-way conversion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Pickens Road</td>
<td>SR 399 (Via de Luna Drive)</td>
<td>Gulf Islands National Seashore</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola Beach</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Access to/from I-110 for port freight</td>
<td>Port of Pensa</td>
<td>Port of Pensa</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td></td>
<td>Corridor Study for port freight vehicles (part of Bayfront Corridor)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 295 (Navy Boulevard) [N and S segments]</td>
<td>SR 295 (New Warrington Road)</td>
<td>Bayou Grande Bridge / Duncan Rd / NAS</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Warrington</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank Reeder Road</td>
<td>SR 99 (Beulah Road)</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 90 (Scenic Highway)</td>
<td>SR 742 (Creighton Road)</td>
<td>SR 291 (N. Davis Hwy) / US 90</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis / MLK Two-Way Conversion</td>
<td>E. Wright Street</td>
<td>SR 295 (E. Fairfield Dr)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Two-Way Restoration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 292 ( Pace Boulevard)</td>
<td>South of W. McLeod Street</td>
<td>US 29</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garden Street</td>
<td>W Street</td>
<td>Alcaniz Street</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th Avenue</td>
<td>Cervantes Street</td>
<td>Bayfront Parkway</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gregory Street</td>
<td>I-110</td>
<td>Bayfront Parkway</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chase Street</td>
<td>I-110</td>
<td>Bayfront Parkway</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR 95A</td>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>US 29</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR 399 [Gulf Blvd on Navarre Beach]</td>
<td>SR 399 (Navarre Beach Causeway)</td>
<td>Gulf Islands National Seashore</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre Beach</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 98 [Gulf Breeze Parkway]</td>
<td>CR 30A (Shoreline Drive)</td>
<td>Gulf Islands National Seashore</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Gulf Breeze</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 98 [Gulf Breeze Parkway]</td>
<td>Fairpoint Drive</td>
<td>CR 30A (Shoreline Drive)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Gulf Breeze</td>
<td>Corridor Management Plan/Complete Streets Study (school access)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR 191 (Munsun Highway)</td>
<td>SR 87 (Stewart Street)</td>
<td>TPO Boundary</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>East Milton</td>
<td>Corridor study for multi modal improvements requested by NAS Whiting Field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Florida Alabama TPO 2045 LRTP Needs Plan (Draft)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>AL Foley Beach Express</td>
<td>Foley Beach Express at Canal Road</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Grade Separation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>AL SR 180 (Canal Road Bypass)</td>
<td>SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Major Intersection Improvement - New bypass alignment Option A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>AL SR 101 &amp; SR 180 (Major Intersection Improvements)</td>
<td>SR 101 (Orange Beach Boulevard) SR 180 (Canal Road)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Orange Beach</td>
<td>Major Intersection Improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>I-10 at SR 99 (Beulah Road)</td>
<td>I-10 at SR 99 (Beulah Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Beulah</td>
<td>New Interchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>I-10 at US 29</td>
<td>I-10 at US 29</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>New Interchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>I-10 @ SR 289 (Ninth Avenue)</td>
<td>I-10 @ SR 289 (Ninth Avenue)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>New Interchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>SR 289 (Ninth Avenue)/Langley/Tippen</td>
<td>SR 289 (Ninth Avenue)/Langley/Tippen</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola</td>
<td>New Interchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>I-10 at SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>I-10 at SR 297 (Pine Forest Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pine Forest</td>
<td>New Interchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>I-10 at US 90 (Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>I-10 at US 90 (Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Cantonment</td>
<td>New Interchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>US 98 at SR 295 (Navy Blvd)</td>
<td>US 98 at SR 295 (Navy Blvd)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>West Pensacola</td>
<td>Intersection Improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection (Roundabout)</td>
<td>Pensacola Beach Roundabouts (two)</td>
<td>SR 399 (Via de Luna Drive) Ft Pickens Rd &amp; Casino Beach</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Pensacola Beach</td>
<td>Intersection Improvement (Roundabout)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection (Roundabout)</td>
<td>Perdido Key Dr and Johnson Beach Rd</td>
<td>Perdido Key Drive Johnson Beach Road</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Perdido Key</td>
<td>Intersection Improvement (Roundabout)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Pkwy at SR 399 Overpass)</td>
<td>US 98 (Gulf Breeze Pkwy at SR 399 Overpass)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Gulf Breeze</td>
<td>Major Intersection Improvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection (Roundabout)</td>
<td>CR 399 (East Bay Boulevard)</td>
<td>Edgewood Drive</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Intersection Improvement (Roundabout)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Intersection (Roundabout)</td>
<td>CR 399 (East Bay Boulevard)</td>
<td>Andorra Street</td>
<td>Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Intersection Improvement (Roundabout)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - East</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola</td>
<td>SR 281 &amp; SR 87 via I-10</td>
<td>Escambia/Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Peak Hour Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - Southeast</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola</td>
<td>Garcon Point &amp; Navarre via US 98</td>
<td>Escambia/Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Peak Hour Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - Northwest</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola</td>
<td>Nine Mile Road via I-10</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Peak Hour Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - Southwest</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola</td>
<td>Lillian, AL via US 98</td>
<td>Escambia/Baldwin</td>
<td>Peak Hour Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - Northeast</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola</td>
<td>Milton via US 90</td>
<td>Escambia/Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Peak Hour Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - Navarre East</td>
<td>Navarre</td>
<td>Hurlburt Field (Okaloosa County)</td>
<td>Santa Rosa/Okaloosa</td>
<td>Peak Hour Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - Cordova - Downtown</td>
<td>Cordova Mall</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola (City Hall)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Peak Hour Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - NAS Transit</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>NAS Pensacola, Corry Station</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Enhanced Military Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus - Eglin / Hurlburt</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Hurlburt Field (Okaloosa County)</td>
<td>Escambia / Santa Rosa / Okaloosa</td>
<td>Enhanced Military Access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Bus (Seasonal) - Orange Beach / Gulf Shores</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Perdido Key / Orange Beach / Gulf Shores</td>
<td>Escambia / Baldwin</td>
<td>Seasonal Bus Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Pensacola Transit &amp; ECAT Hubs</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola - Per CRA Plan</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola - Per CRA Plan</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Transit Hub</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Illustrative Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corridor</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer Beltway Connector&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>US 87 (Santa Rosa County)</td>
<td>US 99 (Escambia County)</td>
<td>Escambia/Santa Rosa</td>
<td>New 4 Lane Limited Access Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 29 Connector East Bypass / CR 81 / Quintette Road</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>CR 81 / Quintette</td>
<td>Escambia/Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity and Replace Quintette Road Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 29 Connector West Bypass</td>
<td>US 29 (SR 95)</td>
<td>US 96 (SR 10)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 90A (W. Nine Mile Road)</td>
<td>SR 297 (Fine Forest Road)</td>
<td>US 90A (SR 95)</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-10 Gateway Phase I and II / I-10 Interchange at Cervantes/Chase/Gregory</td>
<td>Cervantes/Chase/Gregory</td>
<td>Cervantes/Chase/Gregory</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>I-10 Gateway Long-Term Vision Modification/Elimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 98</td>
<td>US 90 / US 98 Connector</td>
<td>Florida/Alabama Line</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>Provide 4 Lanes of Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL CR 83 (Baldwin Beach Express) Extension</td>
<td>I-10 (SR 8)</td>
<td>I-65 (Alabama)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>New 3 or 4 Lanes New Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-10 to I-4 Connector</td>
<td>I-10 (SR 8)</td>
<td>I-65 (Alabama)</td>
<td>Baldwin</td>
<td>New 4 Lane Facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Rail - East</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>Escambia/Santa Rosa</td>
<td>Peak Hour Rail Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Rail - West</td>
<td>Downtown Pensacola</td>
<td>Cantonment Area</td>
<td>Escambia</td>
<td>Peak Hour Rail Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional AMTRAK Service</td>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>Jacksonville, FL</td>
<td>Escambia/Santa Rosa/Baldwin</td>
<td>Regional AMTRAK Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> The proposed northern bypass may conflict with the Santa Rosa Creek Indian grounds on Willard Norris Road. The TPO staff reviewed the Purpose and Need Statement and the Efficient Transportation Decision Making impacts for the Northern Bypass and could not locate any references to the Santa Rosa Creek Indian Tribal Grounds. However, a notation with the Northern Bypass in the 2040 Needs Plan will state if a PD&E Study occurs on this facility, the Santa Rosa Creek Indian Tribal Grounds needs to be considered and their members need to be consulted when developing alternatives.
ENCLOSURE D
SUBJECT: Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-15 to Adopt the Regional Rural Transportation Plan

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: Florida Department of Transportation

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: The FDOT Office of Policy Planning provides guidance to Transportation Planning Organizations through identification of Planning Emphasis Areas in support of the Florida Transportation Plan. In 2018, FDOT identified a need for rural transportation planning by identifying the following Planning Emphasis Area:

“TPOs are encouraged to plan for and coordinate with rural governmental entities both within their planning boundaries as well as those areas outside of the current boundaries that are impacted by transportation movements between regions.”

In response, the Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC) incorporated development of the Regional Rural Transportation Plan by allocating a total of $108,000 for Task R.1 in the Fiscal Years 2019 and 2020 Unified Planning Work Programs (UPWP) from contributions from the Florida-Alabama, Okaloosa-Walton, and Bay County TPOs. The Florida-Alabama TPO approved the scope of services for the Regional Rural Transportation Plan on June 12, 2019. HDR Engineering was the consultant that assisted the TPO staff with development of the Regional Rural Transportation Plan.

The study area consisted of the ten-county area bordering the existing Metropolitan Planning Area of each of the three TPOs: Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Holmes, Washington, Bay, Jackson, Calhoun, and Gulf. Due to overlapping jurisdiction, coordination with the Apalachee Regional Planning Council has occurred. The Regional Rural Transportation Plan will also be presented to the ECRC in September.

Development of the Regional Rural Transportation Plan included data collection, establishment of stakeholders, a survey of the stakeholders, establishment of a Needs Plan with a focus on transportation patterns between the rural areas and the Metropolitan Planning Areas for the three TPOs, a list of the recommendations, and conclusions.

The draft Regional Rural Transportation Plan can be found by clicking on the link below.

https://www.ecrc.org/programs/transportation_planning/rural_regional_transportation_plan.php

Attached is the following:
- Resolution FL-AL 20-15

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approval of a motion to authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-15 to adopt the Regional Rural Transportation Plan with any changes that may have been presented. This alternative is recommended so the process can commence to close out the Regional Rural Transportation Plan task from the Fiscal Years 2019 and 2020 Unified Planning
Work Program for the TPO. For more information, please contact Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC staff, at gary.kramer@ecrc.org or at (850) 332-7976 Ext. 219.
WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) is the organization designated by the governors of Florida and Alabama as being responsible, together with the states of Florida and Alabama, for carrying out the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process for the Florida-Alabama TPO Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the FDOT Office of Policy Planning provides guidance to Transportation Planning Organizations through identification of Planning Emphasis Areas in support of the Florida Transportation Plan; and

WHEREAS, Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC) has incorporated a Regional Rural Transportation Plan by allocating a total of $108,000 for Task R.1 in the Fiscal Year 2019-2020 Unified Planning Work Programs (UPWP) from contributions from the Florida-Alabama, Okaloosa-Walton, and Bay County TPOs; and

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama TPO approved the scope of work for the Regional Rural Transportation Plan on June 12, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the Florida-Alabama TPO has completed the Regional Rural Transportation Plan based on the FDOT 2018 State Planning Emphasis Area for Rural Transportation Planning;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FLORIDA ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION THAT:

The Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization adopts the Regional Rural Transportation Plan.

Passed and duly adopted by the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization on this 8th day of July 2020.

FLORIDA ALABAMA TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

BY: ______________________
    Steven Barry, Chairman

ATTEST: ____________________
ENCLOSURE E
SUBJECT: Draft Florida-Alabama TPO FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: 23 Code of Federal Regulations Section 134(j), Chapter 339.175 (8)(b) Florida Statutes, Florida-Alabama TPO Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) Task C.1

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

BACKGROUND: Annually, the TPO reviews and adopts transportation project priorities in September for submittal to the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) by October 1st. This document is part of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) development process. Its purpose is to ensure that transportation projects programmed by FDOT in the Five-Year Work Program are consistent with local needs and plans for the TPO planning area.

As stated in the TPO Public Participation Process Plan dated June 2019, The Project Priorities result from the Long-Range Transportation Plan's Cost Feasible Plan and are reviewed with the public and the TPO's advisory committees. Once approved by the TPO, the priorities are given to FDOT for creation of the Five-Year Work Program. From the Five-Year Work Program, the TPO develops the TIP which contains all transportation programs and projects scheduled during the next five years. The TIP is revised annually in June and is available, in interactive format, on the TPO's website.

The Project Priorities and TIP must be developed by the TPO in consultation with all interested parties and, at a minimum, describe explicit procedures, strategies, and desired outcomes for the following, as outlined by the Code of Federal Regulation (CFR 450.316) and the MPO Handbook:

- Provide reasonable public access to technical and policy information used
- Provide adequate public notice of public involvement activities and time for public review and comment at key decisions, such as but not limited to the approval of the TIP/Project Priorities
- Demonstrate explicit consideration and response to public input received during plan development process
- Make the TIP and Project Priorities drafts and final documents available on the TPO website at www.ecrc.org, a print copy of the current plan is available upon request
- Hold public meetings at convenient times and accessible locations
- Seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, including but not limited to, low-income and minority households
- Coordinate with the statewide transportation planning public involvement and consultation processes under 23 C.F.R. Subpart B, as described in the FDOT MPO Program Management Handbook
- Periodically review the effectiveness of the procedures and strategies contained in the participation plan
- When significant written and oral comments are received on a draft TIP or Project Priorities as a result of public involvement, a summary, analysis, and report on the disposition of comments shall be made part of the final documents
- If the final Project Priorities/TIP differ significantly from the one made available for public comment or raises new material issues, an additional opportunity for public comment must be made available
• When the Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) includes Indian Tribal Lands, the TPO shall appropriately involve the Indian Tribal Government(s)
• When the MPA includes federal public lands, the TPO shall appropriately involve the federal government

General techniques proven effective include:
• Provide a 30-day public review and comment period for the draft Project Priorities documents
• Promote development of the Project Priorities and TIP through news releases and social media, and eblast to TPO board and advisory committees, partners, stakeholders, community groups, and interested parties (subscribe online at www.ecrc.org)
• Hold public workshop(s) and implement additional project-specific outreach, as needed, to special populations during the Project Priorities process
• Coordinate public outreach to community groups (with emphasis on the underserved)
• Include public input collected at workshops in the draft Project Priorities and TIP
• Include in the public notice of the TPO board meeting when the draft Project Priorities and TIP are to be presented for review and adoption
• Provide the public with an opportunity to comment during public forum when the draft Project Priorities and TIP are presented for review and adoption to the TPO board and advisory committee meetings
• Publish adopted Project Priorities and TIP interactive site (provided by FDOT) on website at www.ecrc.org, a print copy of the current plan is available upon request.

TPO responsibilities require that all modes of transportation be addressed in the Project Priorities. The following categories of projects are included in the Priorities:

1. Long Range Transportation Plan Capacity Projects
2. Transportation Systems Management Projects
3. Transportation Alternative Projects
4. Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects – Alabama
5. Public Transportation Projects
6. Aviation Projects
7. Seaport Projects

The draft Project Priorities for FY 2022-2026 project schedule is listed below:

• June 18, 2020- TPO/TCC/CAC workshop (GoTo Meeting) (Completed) See Attachment)
• July 6, 2020- TCC meeting to present draft FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities
• July 7, 2020- CAC meeting to present draft FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities
• July 8, 2020- TPO meeting to present draft FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities
• Public Outreach
• August 2020 Public Workshop
• August 2020 TPO/TCC/CAC workshop
• September 8, 2020- TCC meeting to recommend approval of FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities
• September 8, 2020- CAC meeting to recommend approval of FY 2021-2025 Project Priorities
• September 9, 2020- TPO meeting to recommend approval of FY 2021-2025 Project Priorities

The draft Florida-Alabama TPO FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities document that will be presented at the July 2020 TPO and advisory committee meetings and is accessible at the following link: https://www.ecrc.org/programs/transportation_planning/plans_and_documents/index.php#outer-149
In addition, the interactive map in the following link, shows the location and the street view by category for the projects identified in the draft FY 2022-2026 Project Priorities [https://tinyurl.com/FLAL-Priorities2022-2026](https://tinyurl.com/FLAL-Priorities2022-2026).

**Attached is the following:**
- June 18, 2020 TPO/TCC/CAC Workshop Comments

**RECOMMENDED ACTION: This item is for review this month and adoption in September.** Please contact Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC staff, if additional information is needed at [gary.kramer@ecrc.org](mailto:gary.kramer@ecrc.org) or (850) 332-7976 Ext. 219.
1. Table 1 – Non-SIS. Setaside Priorities. Include in the Project/Strategy Column what priorities are the Setaside Priorities.

   Language for the setaside priorities will be added to Project/Strategy Column.

2. Table 1 – Non-SIS. Setaside Priorities. In FY 2026 when the money gets moved again between Escambia County and Santa Rosa County for Corridor Management and Bicycle/Pedestrian Projects, have a discussion on developing a priority list for such projects like TSM and TA priorities.

   A call for projects was a recommendation that was mentioned for consideration in FY 2026.

3. Table 1 – Non-SIS Priority 2. Mobility Management Program Studies. Project should be Pace Boulevard from US 29 to the southern limit.

   This project will be added to Non-SIS Priority #2.

4. Table 1 – Non-SIS Priority 3. Mobility Management Program Implementation. Two projects were recommended for this priority. Construction for Design on Main Street from Barrancas Avenue to Clubbs Street and Old Palafox from US 29 to Nine Mile Road.

   One project is needed for Non-SIS Priority #3.

5. Table 1 – Non-SIS Priority 9. Nine Mile Road from Mobile Highway to Beulah Road. Re-segment this priority from Mobile Highway to Pine Forest Road with the Priority being a PD&E Re-evaluation for 6-laning and to incorporate corridor improvements in the vicinity of Navy Federal Credit Union.

   This language will be updated for Non-SIS Priority #9.

6. Table 1 – Non-SIS Priority 10. Burgess Road from US 29 to HIlburn Road. Is additional Right-of-Way needed on this project?

   Right-of-Way is funded for Non-SIS Priority #10 and will appear in the Five-Year Work Program when funding for temporary construction easements, which is the priority for this project, appear in the Five-Work Program. The FY 2022-2026 Tentative Work Program will be presented to the TPO and Advisory Committees in December.

7. Table 1 – Non-SIS. Priority 18. Bayfront Parkway from Tarragona Street to Chase Street. Replace the PD&E Priority with Provide Multimodal Improvement.

   This language will be updated for Non-SIS Priority #18.

8. Table 1 – Non-SIS Priority 19. Main Street from Barrancas Avenue to Clubbs Street. Replace the PD&E Priority with Provide Multimodal Improvement.

   This language will be updated for Non-SIS Priority #19.

9. Table 1 – Non-SIS Priority 25. Perdido Key Drive from Alabama State Line to Innerarity Point Road. Should this remain a project priority?

   Non-SIS Priority #25 will remain a project priority until the Perdido Key Multi-use Paths are completed.

10. Can Martin Luther King/Davis Highway two-lane conversion be added to the end of the Non-SIS Project Priority List?

    Martin Luther King/Davis Highway two-lane conversion project is included in the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan and will be included in the FY 2023-2027 Project Priorities since the 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan is anticipated to be approved at the October 14, 2020 TPO Meeting.

11. Table 1 – Non-SIS Priority 34. Nine Mile Road from Foxtail Loop to East of I-10. Discussion occurred about moving this project up on this priority list.

    Non-SIS Priority #34 will be removed as it will be included in Non-SIS Priority #9.

12. Table 2 –SIS Priority 16. I-10 from Avalon Boulevard to the Okaloosa County Line. Priority should be for Design since the PD&E has been funded.

    This language will be updated for SIS Priority #16.

13. Table 9 – Pensacola International Airport Project Priorities.
It was mentioned that the Pensacola International Airport Project Priorities were derived from the Pensacola International Airport Master Plan.
ENCLOSURE F
SUBJECT: Information Items (No Presentation Necessary)

ORIGIN OF SUBJECT: ECRC Staff

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACTION NEEDED: None

Attached are the following:

- TCC and CAC May Meeting Minutes
- FL-AL TPO May Actions Report
- Letter from Secretary Gainer to FL-AL TPO – Theo Baars Bridge
- FTA National Transit Database Narrative Report
- 2020 FL-AL TPO Schedule

RECOMMENDED ACTION: This item is for information. Please contact Mr. Cameron Smith, ECRC staff, at 1-800-226-8914 Extension 207 or Cameron.smith@ecrc.org if additional information is needed.
Members in Attendance:
Griffin Powell, Chair  City of Orange Beach
Terri Malone, Vice Chair  Escambia County
John Fisher  Escambia County
Max Rogers  Escambia County CRA
Mike Ziarnek  City of Pensacola
Tim Milstead  City of Milton
Andrea Levitt  Pensacola Airport
Amy Miller  Port of Pensacola

Members Not in Attendance:
Zakkiyyah Osuigwe  Santa Rosa County
Cassie C Boatwright  Purchasing and Auxiliary Services
Chip Chism  University of West Florida
Chris Phillips  Santa Rosa County
Colette Wiedeman  Escambia County Transit
Derrik Owens  City of Pensacola
Glenn C Griffith  Brownfields Coordinator
Horace Jones  Escambia County
Jenny Cook  City of Milton
John Dosh  Escambia County
Jud Crane  Santa Rosa County
Morgan Lamb  Santa Rosa Bay Bridge Authority
Sarah C Hart  Baldwin County
Stephen L Furman  Santa Rosa County
Steve Harrell  Escambia County
Steve Opalenik  Pensacola Naval Air Station
BRATSVince Jackson  Baldwin County
Virginia Sutler  Santa Rosa County
Ryan Novota  City of Pensacola
Samantha Abell  City of Gulf Breeze

Others in Attendance
Bryant Paulk  FDOT
Vince Beebe  ALDOT
A. CALL TO ORDER / PLEDGE / INVOCATION –
Chairman Powell called the meeting to order.

B. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
Ms. Malone moved to approve the FL-AL TCC agenda. Ms. Miller seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

C. PUBLIC FORUM
No speakers from the public.

D. FDOT/ALDOT UPDATES:
1. FDOT UPDATE Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, or Ms. Christy Johnson, AICP, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Urban Liaisons.

   Mr. Paulk stated that there were no new updates at this time.

2. ALDOT UPDATE Mr. Vincent Beebe, P.E., Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT)

   Ms. Washnock gave an update on the SR-180 widening from Foley Beach Express to west of SR-181 project. She reported that the north side is complete and that the south side is under construction beginning on the east end. She stated that there is ongoing utility, lighting, and drainage work in progress. She stated that completion is expected at the end of the year 2020.

   Ms. Washnock reported that ALDOT is currently finalizing design for the new roadway and bridge from SR-180 to the Foley Beach Express. She stated that ALDOT is currently finalizing the design as well as completing some Right of Way (ROW) acquisition. She stated that ALDOT is also requiring some environmental permits and clearances.

   Ms. Washnock reported on the CR-99 shoulder widening from Carrier Dr. to Spanish Cove Dr. South. She stated that the project is being led by Baldwin County
with ALDOT oversight. Ms. Washnock reported that the anticipated construction is scheduled for fall of 2020.

Mr. Beebe reported on the I-10 and SR 181 diverging diamond interchange. He stated that there were construction delays. He reported the drainage portion of the project was recently completed and that widening the road has started on the north bound lane. He stated the construction completion is anticipated to be at the end of the summer of 2020.

E. CONSENT:

1. ALL COMMITTEES Approval of February 2020 Meeting Minutes.

   Ms. Malone moved to approve the February 2020 FL-AL TCC meeting minutes. Ms. Miller seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

F. ACTION:

1. ENCLOSURE A- TPO ONLY Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-10 Authorizing Emergency Approvals Under a Declared County, State or Federal State of Emergency to Ensure Compliance with State and Federal Requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; Repealing all Resolutions in Conflict; Providing an Effective Date – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC Chief Executive Officer

2. ENCLOSURE B – TPO ONLY Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-09 Approving Entering an Interlocal Agreement with the Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC), the Okaloosa-Walton TPO, the Bay County TPO, Washington County, and Holmes County Designating the ECRC Board as the Designated Regional Transportation Area to Serve the Regional Needs of Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Bay, Washington, and Holmes Counties, per section 339, Florida Statute – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC Chief Executive Officer

3. ENCLOSURE C- TPO ONLY Approval of Resolution FL-AL 20-08 Amending the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019/2020 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to De-obligate Funds in the Amounts of $216,467 (PL Funds), $53,500 (SU Funds), and $87,500 (SA-LRTP Funds) – Ms. Mary Beth Washnock, ECRC Transportation Manager

4. ENCLOSURE D - ALL COMMITTEES Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-07 to Adopt the Congestion Management Process Major Update – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

   Mr. Kramer reported that the 2040 LRTP was adopted on November 3, 2015. He said that in order to maintain the 5-year requirements between Long-Range
Transportation Plan (LRTP) updates the plan need to be adopted by November 3, 2020. Mr. Kramer reported that the FL-AL TPO approved the scope of services for the 2045 LRTP at their February 2019 meeting.

Mr. Kramer stated that included in the scope of services are the 5 major tasks required. He said that the documents included in an LRTP are Goals and Objectives, Evaluation Criteria, Financial Resources, Congestion Management Process, Needs Plan and a Cost Feasible Plan.

Mr. Kramer reported that the Goals and Objectives were adopted by the FL-AL TPO at the July 10, 2019 meeting. He reported that the Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources documents were adopted at the February 12, 2020 TPO meeting.

Mr. Kramer stated that the Congestion Management Process was a review item at the February TPO and advisory committee meetings. He reported that a steering committee was appointed by the TPO in December of 2018.

Mr. Kramer reported that the steering committee met twice regarding the Congestion Management Process and the meeting summaries are included in the agenda enclosure.

Mr. Kramer reported that the draft Congestion Management Process was sent to the review agencies on February 3, 2020 and that comments were requested by the close of the business day on February 24, 2020. Mr. Kramer stated that the comments and the responses were included in the agenda enclosure.

Mr. Rogers moved to recommend the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-07 to adopt the Congestion Management Process major update with any changes that may have been presented. Mr. Fisher seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

5. ENCLOSURE E – TPO ONLY Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-11 Reaffirming the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement – Ms. Jill Lavender, ECRC Staff

G. MULTI MODAL UPDATE

H. PRESENTATIONS (no action):
   1. ENCLOSURE F – ALL COMMITTEES Review of Draft Florida-Alabama TPO 2045 Long-Range Transportation Plan Needs Plan – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff
Mr. Kramer presented, stating that the Congestion Management Process is ready for the TPO to consider approval for May 12, 2020. He stated that the next step in the process is developing the Needs Plan. He explained that the Needs Plan considers current and future transportation needs without consideration of financial constraints. He also explained that the Needs Plan helps prioritize which projects should be funded to achieve a more efficient and interconnected transportation system.

Mr. Kramer stated that there would be a steering committee meeting that week at which the deficiencies would be presented. He said that there would be another steering committee meeting to follow in case any comments needed to be addressed. Mr. Kramer said the draft Needs Plan would then be presented for public review. He said that there would be a steering committee meeting in May or early June after the public review. Mr. Kramer explained that the Needs Plan would then be presented at the TPO meeting in July 2020.

Mr. Kramer stated that the Needs Plan was presented for review at the TPO and advisory committee meetings. He stated that the steering committee met three times regarding development of the Needs Plan. He stated that the summaries from these meetings were included in the agenda enclosure. He stated that the plan is still a draft and that any comments be submitted by May 20, 2020.

2. ENCLOSURE G - ALL COMMITTEES Review of the Draft East by Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) – Ms. Caitlin Cerame, AICP, ECRC Staff

Ms. Cerame presented, stating that the purpose of the plan is to look at how well the roadway is operating. She showed the project limits on a map. Ms. Cerame provided the schedule of the plan and said the first workshop was held in November 2019. Ms. Cerame stated that in February 2020 the recommendations were presented to the public. Ms. Cerame presented some of the concerns, the assessment, and recommendations that came from the public meetings.

3. ENCLOSURE H - ALL COMMITTEES Review of the Draft FL-AL TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer stated that this is the end product of the cycle which began with the TPO adoption. He stated that the draft was included in the agenda enclosure. He stated that the draft is up for review this month and for action in July 2020.

I. INFORMATION ITEMS:

1. ENCLOSURE J - ALL COMMITTEES
   - TCC and CAC February Meeting Minutes
   - FL-AL TPO February 2020 Actions Report
J. OTHER BUISNESS- The next Florida-Alabama TPO meeting will be Wednesday, July 8, 2020 at 9:00 a.m., Location TBD. Advisory committee meetings: The TCC will meet on Monday, 6, 2020 at 8:30 a.m. The CAC will meet on Tuesday, July 7, at 3:00 p.m., Location TBD.

K. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 9:18 A.M.
A. CALL TO ORDER / PLEDGE / INVOCATION – 
Chairman Compton called the meeting to order.

B. APPROVAL OF AGENDA 
The committee requested a discussion on the boundaries of the TPO with regards to Hwy 98 on the Alabama side.
Mr. McClellan moved to approve the agenda with an addition of discussion regarding FL-AL TPO boundaries. Mr. Bullington seconded the motion and it was approved.

Chairman Compton stated that the discussion would be added after Enclosure H.

C. PUBLIC FORUM

No speakers from the public.

D. FDOT/ALDOT UPDATES:

1. FDOT UPDATE Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, or Ms. Christy Johnson, AICP, Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Urban Liaisons.

Mr. Paulk stated that there were no new updates for the CAC at this time.

2. ALDOT UPDATE Mr. Vincent Beebe, P.E., Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT)

Mr. Beebe gave an update on the widening of SR-180 from Foley Beach Express to west of SR-181. He reported the north side is complete and they are currently working on the south side starting at the east end of the project. He stated that there is ongoing lighting, utility, and drainage work in progress. He stated that completion is expected at the end of the year 2020.

Mr. Beebe reported that ALDOT is currently finalizing design for the new roadway and bridge from SR-180 to the Foley Beach Express. He stated that ALDOT is finalizing the design and completing some ROW acquisition. He stated that ALDOT is also requiring some environmental permits and clearances. He stated that the anticipated construction would start in the fall of 2020.

Mr. Beebe reported on the CR-99 shoulder widening from Carrier Dr. to Spanish Cove Dr. South. He stated that this project is being led by Baldwin County with ALDOT oversight. He reported that design has started, and that construction is anticipated to be started in the fall of 2020.

Mr. Beebe reported on the I-10 and SR 181 diverging diamond interchange. He stated that there were construction delays. He reported that the drainage portion of the project was recently completed and that widening the road has started on the northbound lane. He stated that the construction completion is anticipated to be the end of the summer of 2020.
Mr. Jefferies asked if he could get an update on the bypass at SR 161 and Mel Road.

Mr. Beebe reported that the project has been put on hold, but that there is discussion in relation to the project.

E. CONSENT:
1. ALL COMMITTEES Approval of February 2020 Meeting Minutes

   Mr. Jefferies moved to approve the February 2020 FL-AL CAC meeting minutes. Mr. Roberts seconded the motion and it was unanimously approved.

   Mr. Bullington asked if Ms. Washnock was able to add in an item for the county Commissioners to give updates.

   Ms. Washnock stated that she did send out an email to all local governments but did not receive a response. She said that hopefully when they wanted to bring an issue to the CAC’s attention that they would reach out.

   Mr. Smith stated that he received some updates from Escambia County as well as Santa Rosa County. He stated that Santa Rosa County does not have updates at this time but will have updates for the July 2020 meeting.

   Mr. Smith stated that Escambia County provided an update on Perdido Key Drive Multi-Use Path from Perdido Key State Park western boundary to Alabama state line, stating that construction is to begin in January 2021 and is estimated to be a 4-month construction project.

   Mr. Smith said the FL-AL pedestrian crossing construction is ongoing, and the estimated completion date is the end of June 2020.

   Mr. Smith said the Kingsfield Road extension is at 60% plans with ROW acquisition ongoing.

   Mr. Smith stated that the Detroit Blvd. corridor from Pine Forest Road to US 29 is in the Right of Way (ROW) acquisition stage. He stated that ROW is needed to add paved shoulders, drainage, turn lanes and to replace bridges.

   There was discussion regarding the Cervantes Street project, extending the plan and adding it to the Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) list. Ms. Washnock stated that there was a vote to have it added to the LRTP. Mr. Kramer stated that it was discussed at the Steering Committee meeting.
F. ACTION:

1. **ENCLOSURE A – TPO ONLY** Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-10 Authorizing Emergency Approvals Under a Declared County, State or Federal State of Emergency to Ensure Compliance with State and Federal Requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; Repealing all Resolutions in Conflict; Providing and Effective Date – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC Chief Executive Officer

2. **ENCLOSURE B – TPO ONLY** Consideration of Resolution FL -AL 20-09 Approving Entering an Interlocal Agreement with the Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC), the Okaloosa Walton TPO, the Bay County TPO, Washington County, and Holmes County Designation the ECRC Board as the Designate Regional Transportation Area to Serve the Regional Needs of Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Bay, Washington, and Holmes Counties, per section 339, Florida Statute – Mr. Austin Mount, ECRC Chief Executive Officer

3. **ENCLOSURE C – TPO ONLY** Approval of Resolution FL -AL 20-08 Amending the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019/2020 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to De-obligate Funds in the Amounts of $216,467 (PL Funds), $53,500 (SU Funds), and $87,500 (SA-LRTP Funds) – Ms. Mary Beth Washnock, ECRC Transportation Manager

4. **ENCLOSURE D – ALL COMMITTEES** Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-07 to Adopt the Congestion Management Process Major Update – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer reported that the 2040 LRTP was adopted on November 3, 2015. He said that in order to maintain the 5-year requirements between Long Range Transportation Plan updates the plan need to be adopted by November 3, 2020. Mr. Kramer reported that the FL-AL TPO approved the scope of services for the 2045 LRTP at their February 2019 meeting.

Mr. Kramer stated that included in the scope of services are the 5 major tasks required of a LRTP. He said the documents included in an LRTP are Goals and Objectives, Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources, Congestion Management Process, Needs Plan and a Cost Feasible Plan.

Mr. Kramer reported that the Goals and Objectives were approved by the FL-AL TPO at the July 10, 2019 meeting. He reported that the Evaluation Criteria and Financial Resources documents were approved at the February 12, 2020 TPO meeting.
Mr. Kramer stated that the next task is the Congestion Management Process. He explained that the purpose of this process is to develop a systematic procedure to effectively manage and operate the transportation system.

Mr. Kramer stated that the Congestion Management Process was a review item at the February TPO and advisory committee meetings. He reported that a steering committee was appointed by the TPO in December of 2018.

Mr. Kramer reported that the steering committee met twice regarding the Congestion Management Process and the meeting summaries are included in the agenda enclosure.

Mr. Kramer reported that the draft Congestion Management Process was sent to the review agencies on February 3, 2020 and that comments were requested by the close of the business day on February 24, 2020. Mr. Kramer stated that the comments and the responses were included in the agenda enclosure.

Mr. Larson moved to recommend that the TPO authorize the TPO chairman to sign Resolution FL-AL 20-07 to adopt the Congestion Management Process major update with any changes that may have been presented. Mr. Jefferies seconded the motion and it was approved.

5. ENCLOSURE E – TPO ONLY Consideration of Resolution FL-AL 20-11 Reaffirming the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement – Ms. Jill Lavender, ECRC Staff

G. MULTI MODAL UPDATE
There were not presentations.

Mr. McClellan discussed congestion issues at the Florida/Alabama state line on Hwy 98 and plans to add four lanes into Alabama and extending the boundaries of the MPO.

Ms. Washnock stated that the boundaries of the MPO are dealt with every two years. She said that action doesn't need to be taken today.

Mr. Mount discussed the boundaries of the Florida-Alabama TPO and the possibility of speaking with South Alabama Regional Planning Commission.

Mr. Beebe stated that he would take the concerns of the committee back to ALDOT.

H. PRESENTATIONS (no action):

Mr. Kramer presented, stating that the Congestion Management Process is ready for the TPO to consider approval for May 12, 2020. He stated that the next step in the process is developing the Needs Plan. He explained that the Needs Plan considers current and future transportation needs without consideration of financial constraints. He also explained that the Needs Plan helps prioritize which projects should be funded to achieve a more efficient and interconnected transportation system.

Mr. Kramer stated that there would be a steering committee meeting that week at which the deficiencies would be presented. He said that there would be another steering committee meeting to follow in case any comments needed to be addressed. Mr. Kramer said the draft Needs Plan would then be presented for public review. He said that there would be a steering committee meeting in June after the public review. Mr. Kramer explained that the Needs Plan would then be presented at the TPO meeting in July 2020.

Mr. Kramer stated that the Needs Plan was presented for review at the TPO & advisory committee meetings. He stated that the steering committee met three times regarding the development of the Needs Plan. He stated that the summaries from these meetings were included in the agenda enclosure.

2. **ENCLOSURE G – ALL COMMITTEES** Review of the Draft East Bay Boulevard Corridor Management Plan (CMP) – Ms. Caitlin Cerame, AICP, ECRC Staff

Ms. Cerame presented, stating that the purpose of the plan is to look at how well the roadway is operating. She showed the project limits on a map. Ms. Cerame provided the schedule of the plan and said the first workshop was held in November 2019. Ms. Cerame stated that in February 2020 the recommendations were presented to the public. Ms. Cerame presented some of the concerns, the assessment, and recommendations that came from the public meetings.

3. **ENCLOSURE H – ALL COMMITTEES** Review of the Draft FL-AL TPO FY 2021-2025 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – Mr. Gary Kramer, ECRC Staff

Mr. Kramer stated that this is the end product of the cycle which began with the TPO adoption. He stated that the draft was included in the agenda enclosure. He stated that the draft is up for review this month and for action in July 2020.

I. **INFORMATION ITEMS** (no presentation necessary)

   1. **ENCLOSURE J - ALL COMMITTEES**
J. OTHER BUSINESS- The next Florida-Alabama TPO meeting will be Wednesday, July 8, 2020 at 9:00 a.m., Location TBD. Advisory committee meetings: The TCC will meet on Monday, July 6, 2020 at 8:30 a.m. The CAC will meet on Tuesday, July 7, 2020 at 3:00 p.m. Location TBD.

K. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:27 P.M
MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 1, 2020

TO: Mr. Bryant Paulk, AICP, FDOT Urban Liaison
    Ms. Christy Johnson, AICP, FDOT Urban Liaison
    Mr. Vincent Beebe, P.E., ALDOT

COPIES TO: TPO, TCC, and CAC Members

FROM: Cameron Smith, Transportation Program Coordinator

RE: TPO Actions Report – May 2020

The following items were discussed and acted upon by the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) at the May 13, 2020 meeting. The TPO requests the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and the Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT) to share this report with the appropriate department directors and to take action if requested by the TPO. Copies are sent to local government representatives for coordination with local plans.

EMERGENCY APPROVALS AUTHORIZATION:

The TPO unanimously approved Resolution FL-AL 20-10 Authorizing Emergency Approvals Under a Declared County, State or Federal State of Emergency to Ensure Compliance with State and Federal Requirements for Metropolitan Planning Organizations; Repealing all Resolutions in Conflict; Providing an Effective Date. This action was recommended

INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT:

The TPO unanimously approved Resolution FL-AL 20-09 Approving Entering an Interlocal Agreement with the Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC), the Okaloosa-Walton TPO, the Bay County TPO, Washington County, and Holmes County Designating the ECRC Board as the Designated Regional Transportation Area to Serve the Regional Needs of Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Bay, Washington, and Holmes Counties, per section 339, Florida Statute. This action was recommended
**UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM DEOBLIGATION OF FUNDS:**

The TPO unanimously approved Resolution FL-AL 20-08 Amending the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019/2020 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) to De-obligate Funds in the Amounts of $216,467 (PL Funds), $53,500 (SU Funds), and $87,500 (SA-LRTP Funds). This action was recommended.

**CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS MAJOR UPDATE:**

The TPO unanimously approved Resolution FL-AL 20-07 to Adopt the Congestion Management Process Major Update. This action was recommended.

**INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION AND REVIEW:**

The TPO approved Resolution FL-AL 2011 Reaffirming the Intergovernmental Coordination and Review and Public Transportation Coordination Joint Participation Agreement. This action was recommended.

**TPO LEADERSHIP:**

The TPO approved Commissioner Steven Barry as Chair and Commissioner Dave Piech as Vice-Chair to serve for FY2021 (July 1, 2020 – June 30, 2021).
June 16, 2020

The Honorable Steven Barry, Chairman
Escambia County Board of County Commissioners
221 Palafox Place, Suite 400
Pensacola, Florida 32502

Subject: SR 292 Theo Baars Bridge

Dear Chairman Barry:

I am writing to notify you of upcoming work by Quanta Communication Services on SR 292 across the Theo Baars Bridge. The Department recognizes the importance of this corridor to residents and businesses on Perdido Key and the associated interest of Escambia County.

Quanta Communications Services will be hanging new communications lines below the bridge deck, under permit with the Department, to provide service to the residents and businesses on Perdido Key. Although no lane closures are anticipated, the utility work will involve daily eastbound shoulder closures across the bridge. Work is anticipated to begin Monday, June 22, 2020 and take approximately four weeks to complete. Work operations will be suspended for the upcoming Independence Day holiday weekend.

If there are any questions regarding the planned work operations, please contact Heather Baril, P.E., FDOT Milton Operations Engineer, at 850-981-2739 or via e-mail at heather.baril@dot.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Phillip Gainer

Phillip Gainer, P.E.
District Secretary
National Transit Database Narrative Report

Under the FAST Act and MAP-21, “transit providers are required to submit an annual narrative report to the NTD that provides a description of any change in the condition of its transit system from the previous year and describes the progress made during the year to meet the targets previously set for that year.”

This narrative report reflects the targets reported for FDOT’s subrecipients of Section 5311. The subrecipients covered include: Marion Transit, Liberty County Transit, Good Wheels, Ride Solution, Gulf County ARC, Sumter Transit, Suwannee River Economic Council, Suwannee Valley Transit Authority, Key West Transit, Calhoun County Transit, Nassau County Transit, Wakulla Transit, Big Bend Transit, Baker County Transit, Levy County Transit, Tri-County Community Council, DeSoto-Arcadia Regional Transit, JTrans, and Central Florida Regional Planning Council. This narrative supports FDOT’s recordkeeping and justification of its transit asset management program for its subrecipients.

Agency Information
Florida Department of Transportation, NTD ID # 4R02
605 Suwannee Street, MS 26
Tallahassee, FL 32399
850-414-4500
Accountable Executive – Elizabeth Stutts
Reporting Year – 2019

Useful Life Benchmark – Revenue Vehicles
FDOT’s subrecipients have a mixed fleet of revenue vehicles including 18 automobiles, 59 buses, 331 cutaways, 131 minivans, 8 school buses, 10 sports utility vehicles, and 189 vans.

What targets did your agency set?
Less than 50% of automobiles exceeding their ULB of 8 years
Less than 10% of buses exceeding their ULB of 14 years
Less than 20% of cutaways exceeding their ULB of 10 years
Less than 18% of minivans exceeding their ULB of 8 years
Less than 25% of school buses exceeding their ULB of 14 years
Less than 25% of sports utility vehicles exceeding their ULB of 8 years
Less than 35% of vans exceeding their ULB of 8 years
How did your agency calculate these targets?
The targets for all asset classes of revenue vehicles were conservatively rounded down to the next whole number. While our subrecipients prioritize the rehabilitation and replacement of their vehicles that provide the transit service, funding for capital improvement is limited. FDOT and its subrecipient transit providers monitor all assets for unsafe conditions. If an unacceptable safety risk associated with an asset is identified, that asset will be ranked with higher investment prioritization to the extent practicable.

How has your agency made progress toward its targets?
The subrecipients made new fleet acquisitions as well as vehicle retirements that led to the reduction of vehicles exceeding their ULBs assets in the following categories:

- Reduction of approximately 2% of automobiles exceeding their ULB of 8 years
- Reduction of approximately 4% of buses exceeding their ULB of 14 years
- Reduction of approximately 7% of cutaways exceeding their ULB of 10 years
- Reduction of approximately 12% of minivans exceeding their ULB of 8 years
- Reduction of approximately 11% of vans exceeding their ULB of 8 years

What challenges face your agency in making progress toward the targets?
Availability of funding for capital funding prohibits more aggressive targets.

Useful Life Benchmark – Non-Revenue Vehicles
FDOT’s subrecipients have a mixed fleet of non-revenue vehicles to support their respective rural transit systems.

What targets did your agency set?
Less than 37% of non-revenue automobiles exceeding their ULB of 8 years
Less than 1% of non-revenue truck and other rubber tire vehicles exceeding their ULB of 14 years

How did your agency calculate these targets?
The targets for both asset classes of non-revenue vehicles were conservatively rounded down to the next whole number. Our subrecipients prioritize the rehabilitation and replacement of their vehicles that provide the transit service, so their non-revenue vehicles may be delayed for replacement. FDOT and its subrecipient transit providers monitor all assets for unsafe conditions. If an unacceptable safety risk associated with an asset is identified, that asset will be ranked with higher investment prioritization to the extent practicable.

How has your agency made progress toward its targets?
The subrecipients reduced non-revenue automobiles exceeding their ULB of 8 years by approximately 30% and reduced non-revenue truck and other rubber tire vehicles exceeding their ULB of 14 years by approximately 49%.

What challenges face your agency in making progress toward the targets?
Availability of funding for capital funding prohibits more aggressive targets.
**Facilities - Condition**

FDOT’s subrecipients have several administrative/maintenance buildings that support their respective rural transit operations.

*What targets did your agency set?*

Less than 6% of administrative and maintenance facilities under 3 on the TERM scale.

*How did your agency calculate these targets?*

Based on our most recent assessments, approximately 94% of our subrecipients’ facilities are already at a 3 or higher on the TERM scale. No portion of the remainder of facilities is below a TERM rating of 2. Facilities rated 2 to 3 are still functioning and safe, so a target for 2020 remains the same as 2019.

*How has your agency made progress toward its targets?*

Our subrecipients did not drop below the target set for 2019 and maintained their facilities at a satisfactory level.

*What challenges face your agency in making progress toward the targets?*

Availability of funding for facilities prohibits more aggressive targets.

---

*What are extenuating circumstances that impact your agency’s transit asset management?*

Hurricane Michael affected FDOT itself and several of FDOT’s subrecipients whose service areas were in the path of the storm.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FEBRUARY</th>
<th>MAY</th>
<th>JULY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>TCC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>TCC:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, Feb., 10, 8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Monday, May 11, 8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Monday, July 6, 8:30 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Pensacola City Hall</td>
<td>Location: Virtual Meeting Presentation</td>
<td>Location: TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensacola, Florida</td>
<td>Virtual Meeting Information Forthcoming</td>
<td>NEW DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>CAC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>NEW DATE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, Feb., 11, 3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Tuesday, May 12, 3:00 p.m.</td>
<td><strong>CAC:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Pensacola City Hall</td>
<td>Location: Virtual Meeting Presentation</td>
<td>Tuesday, July 7, 3:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensacola, Florida</td>
<td>Virtual Meeting Information Forthcoming</td>
<td>Location: TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TPO Board:</strong></td>
<td><strong>TPO Board:</strong></td>
<td><strong>NEW DATE</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, Feb., 12, 9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Wednesday, May 13, 9:00 a.m.</td>
<td><strong>TPO Board:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: 5976 Chumuckla Hwy, Pace, FL</td>
<td>Location: Virtual Meeting Presentation</td>
<td>Wednesday, July 8, 9:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Virtual Meeting Information Forthcoming</td>
<td>Location: TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEPTEMBER</th>
<th>OCTOBER</th>
<th>DECEMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TCC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>TCC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>TCC:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, Sept., 8, 8:30 a.m.</td>
<td><strong>NEW DATE</strong></td>
<td>Monday, Dec., 7, 8:30 a.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Hagler/Mason Conference Room, Pensacola City Hall</td>
<td>Tuesday, Oct. 13, 8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Location: Hagler/Mason Conference Room, Pensacola City Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensacola, FL</td>
<td>Location: TBD</td>
<td>222 West Main Street, Pensacola, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>CAC:</strong></td>
<td><strong>CAC:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, Sept., 8, 3:00 p.m.</td>
<td><strong>NEW DATE</strong></td>
<td>Tuesday, Dec., 8, 3:00 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Whibbs Conference Room</td>
<td><strong>NEW DATE</strong></td>
<td>Location: Whibbs Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensacola City Hall</td>
<td>Tuesday, Oct. 13, 3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Pensacola City Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensacola, FL</td>
<td>Location: TBD</td>
<td>222 West Main Street, Pensacola, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TPO Board:</strong></td>
<td><strong>TPO Board:</strong></td>
<td><strong>TPO Board:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, Sept., 9, 9:00 a.m.</td>
<td>Wednesday, Oct. 14, 9:00 a.m.</td>
<td><strong>TPO Board:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Pace Community Center 5976 Chumuckla Highway Pace, FL</td>
<td>Location: Community Room Studer Community Institute 220 West Garden Street, Pensacola, FL</td>
<td>Wednesday, Dec., 9, 9:00 a.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Florida-Alabama TPO is staffed by the Emerald Coast Regional Council (ECRC), a regional entity providing professional planning, coordinating, and advisory services to local governments, state and federal agencies, and the public to preserve and enhance quality of life in northwest Florida. Public participation is solicited without regard to race, color, national origin, sex, age, religion, disability, or family status. Reasonable accommodation will be made for access in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Contact Brittany Ellers, 850-332-7976, ext. 220 or brittany.ellers@ecrc.org, or TTY 711, at least 48 hours in advance. Para informacion en espanol, puede llamar a Ada Clark at 850-332-7976, ext. 278 o TTY 711. Si necesita acomodaciones especiales, por favor llame 48 horas de antemano.